

The analysis of political trends based on Web linking patterns: The Case of Political Groups in the European Parliament

Esteban Romero-Frías

erf@ugr.es

Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

Liwen Vaughan

lvaughan@uwo.ca

Faculty of Information and Media Studies, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

The following pages contain two documents:

1.- A paper accepted for publication:

Romero-Frías, E. and Vaughan, L. (in press), "European Political Trends Viewed Through Patterns of Web Linking", *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*.

"This is a preprint of an article accepted for publication in Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology copyright © [year] (American Society for Information Science and Technology)."

2.- A follow up study (work in progress):

"The analysis of political trends based on Web linking patterns: The Case of Individual Political Groups in the European Parliament".

Both studies are part of a larger project to analyse European political parties using Web hyperlink analysis methods.



Journal of the American Society for
Information Science and Technology

European Political Trends Viewed Through Patterns of Web Linking

Journal:	<i>Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology</i>
Manuscript ID:	JASIST-2009-12-0568.R3
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Research Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Romero-Frías, Esteban; University of Granada, Accounting and Finance Vaughan, Liwen; University of Western Ontario, Faculty of Information and Media Studies
Keywords:	web mining < data mining < knowledge discovery < automatic extracting < computer applications < computer operations < (activities and operations), information mapping < information operations < (activities and operations), political science < social sciences < (fields and disciplines)



European Political Trends Viewed Through Patterns of Web Linking

Esteban Romero-Frías^{a,*}, Liwen Vaughan^b

^a Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Granada, Spain

Campus Universitario de Cartuja, 18071, Granada, Spain. Phone: (+34) 958241000 ext. 20161. Fax: (+34) 958246249, erf@ugr.es

^b Faculty of Information and Media Studies, University of Western Ontario, Canada

Faculty of Information and Media Studies, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, N6A 5B7 Canada. Phone: 519-661-2111 ext. 88499, lvaughan@uwo.ca

* Corresponding author.

Abstract

This study explored the feasibility of using Web hyperlink data to study European political Web sites. Ninety-six European Union political parties belonging to a wide range of ideological, historical and linguistic backgrounds were included in the study. Various types of data on Web links to party Websites were collected. The Web co-link data were visualized using multidimensional scaling (MDS) while the inlink data were analyzed with a two-way analysis of variance test. The results showed that Web hyperlink data did reflect some political patterns in the European Union (EU). The MDS maps showed clusters of political parties along ideological, historical, linguistic and social lines. Statistical analysis based on inlink counts further confirmed that there was a significant difference along the line of the political history of a country, such that left-wing parties in the former communist countries received considerably fewer inlinks to their Websites than left-wing parties in countries without a history of communism did. The study demonstrated the possibility of using Web hyperlink data to gain insights into political situations in the EU. This suggests the richness of Web hyperlink data and its potential in studying social-political phenomena.

Keywords Internet politics, Web data mining, co-link analysis, Webometrics

1. Introduction

In the past ten years Internet politics have become part of the social science mainstream (Chadwick & Howard, 2009). The Web is increasingly used for political and social purposes and consequently has become an object of study in social sciences. In information science, Webometrics methods have been used to explore the social and political dimensions of the Web. For instance, Vaughan and Zhang (2007) examined the search engine coverage of Websites from different countries to explore possible biases and their implications; Gao (2009) analyzed Web server logs of China's largest online newspaper to gauge the public's political sentiment; Thelwall and Vaughan (2004) investigated the Internet archive to find out whether the Web history of different countries was fairly represented there; Bar-Ilan and Echerman (2005) examined the Web linking patterns related to the political event of anthrax scare; and Holmberg (2009) analyzed Web hyperlink patterns to study cooperation and geopolitical connections among regional governments in an area of Finland.

Building on these earlier studies, the current study examined patterns of Web linking to Europe's political parties to find out if and how information gained from Web data reflects Europe's political trends. The study included the main political parties of the European Parliament (EP). The current study differs from earlier ones in that it examines a large number of parties with diverse political orientations and social, historical backgrounds. The complexity of the political phenomena was both a challenge and an opportunity for the study. The 2009 election of the EP provided an opportunity for this kind of study, as the political parties all have a Web presence and increasingly use the Web for campaigning. Our research questions are:

Do Web link data effectively reflect the main political relationships in the European Union?

Can the relative positions of political parties visualized through Web hyperlink data be explained in terms of ideological, historical, linguistic and social variables?

1
2
3
4
5 The political parties in the study represent the most important political trends in Europe, in a context in
6 which varied social, cultural, linguistic and historical backgrounds are present. We collected both inlink
7 and co-link data to Websites of these parties and analyzed the data using multidimensional scaling (MDS)
8 and other methods. An inlink is a hyperlink embedded on one page that points to another page. For
9 example, if there is a hyperlink on page Z that points to page X, then page X has an inlink. If both page X
10 and page Y receive inlinks from page Z, then page X and page Y are co-linked. Co-link counts as a
11 measure of relatedness between co-linked entities have been used successfully to analyze business sites
12 (Vaughan & You, 2006, 2008), university sites (Thelwall & Wilkinson, 2004) and government sites
13 (Holmberg, 2009).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 In this study, we first looked at the general picture of the political parties belonging to distinct political
28 groups, and then focused on the two most prominent groups representing the right and left sides of the
29 political spectrum. The political history of a country – specifically, whether or not it was a former
30 communist country – was a factor that was specifically analyzed and found to be an important variable in
31 explaining the linking pattern.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38

39 **2. Literature Review**

40
41
42 Although research on Internet politics has been carried out in different areas, this section focuses on some
43 of the main issues that could be relevant to an analysis of political trends in the European Union. Burt and
44 Taylor (2001) suggest that the ways in which technologies are exploited are influenced by social
45 conditions, philosophies and value systems. Ward and Gibson (2009) add the framework of the political
46 system as an additional relevant factor. March (2006) suggests that newer democracies in which political
47 communication and political systems are less fixed and developed could allow a greater role for new
48 technologies. This could be the case in Eastern European countries where democratic systems are very
49 recent as a result of the past communist domination.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 E-campaigning and e-mobilization are also important areas of research, as the Internet has been posited as
4 a powerful tool to mobilize people. However, some studies in the United States (Gibson et al., 2003,
5 2005) and Europe (Norris, 2003) show that many visitors to political sites are already politically active.
6
7 Web 2.0 has also arisen as a relevant subject in the development of Internet politics (Chadwick &
8
9 Howard, 2009).
10
11
12

13
14 Some research (Kluver et al., 2007; Davis et al., 2008) has focused on political parties in the national
15 electoral context. Regarding the analysis of European parties, it is worth mentioning that Norris (2003)
16 analyzed 134 party Websites from 15 Member States in the EU and provided an analysis based mainly on
17 Website contents and design. The green parties are at the top of the ranking in Norris' study followed by
18 these other groups: far right, conservative and right-wing parties, center, social-democrats, liberal and
19 extreme left.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 This paper applies both inlink and co-link analysis to heterogeneous groups of political parties. This
30 heterogeneity implies that there are many factors that can influence parties' positions in the European
31 political spectrum. These factors range from the level of Internet access in a particular country or region
32 to the various political, cultural, historical and social contexts of the different countries (van Dijk, 2009).
33
34 Earlier studies also used Web link data to study political and socio-economical phenomena. The triple
35 helix model (Etzkowitz & Leydesdorff, 1997) refers to the analysis of knowledge-based innovation
36 systems through the interconnections between three institutional spheres: university, industry and
37 government (Leydesdorff & Meyer, 2003, 2007). Some research (Stuart & Thelwall, 2006; García-
38 Santiago & de Moya-Anegón, 2009) used Web link data to visualize these connections.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 **3. An overview of the European Union political system**

52 A brief overview of the European Union (EU) political system is provided here to aid the understanding
53 of the current study's context and results. The European Union is a supranational organization whose
54 Member States are independent nations that pool their sovereignty in order to gain more influence in the
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 international context (European Parliament, 2009; European Union, 2009). The European Parliament is
4 the only institution in the EU whose members are democratically elected every five years by direct
5 universal suffrage. The EP is made up of 736 Members elected in 27 Member States. The seats are, as a
6 general rule, allocated to Member States in proportion to population. The Members of the European
7 Parliament are grouped by political affiliation rather than nationality. There are currently seven political
8 groups in the European Parliament. Members may not belong to more than one political group. Some
9 Members do not belong to any political group and are known as non-attached Members. Twenty-five
10 Members are needed to form a political group, and at least one-quarter of the Member States (currently
11 seven countries) must be represented within a group.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24

25 The political groups in the EP play a significant role in the functioning of the institution. Outside the EP,
26 political parties also exist at the European level. These political parties and political groups are composed
27 of national parties and individuals as members. In practice, the political groups within the EP are
28 supported by the political parties at the European level. The former carry out political initiatives within
29 the European Parliament, while the latter coordinate political will at the European level. This study
30 focused on political parties as the units of analysis; therefore, we took into account the political parties at
31 the European level rather than considering the political groups in the EP. However, both types of entities
32 are strongly connected and often have the same name. In order to select the parties within the study, we
33 focused on the seats obtained by each national party in their own country. These parties and their
34 members in the EP belong simultaneously to a political group and to the corresponding political party at
35 the European level.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

51 It is worth noting that the largest groups in the EP, both currently and historically, are the Group of the
52 European People's Party (the related party is the European People's Party, EPP) and the Group of the
53 Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats in the European Parliament, S&D (the related party is
54 the Party of European Socialists, PES; this group was previously named "Group of the Party of European
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Socialists” and also “Socialist Group in the European Parliament”). For the sake of clarity, S&D will be
4 referred to as PES.
5
6
7
8

9 10 **4. Methodology**

11 12 **4.1 Selection of political parties to study**

13
14
15 All national political parties represented in the June 2009 elections of the European Parliament (EP) were
16 candidates in the study. Due to the high number of parties and groups in the EP, this study focused on the
17 two largest groups: EPP and PES (S&D) (see Table 1). To analyze the overall situation, we also
18 considered other major national parties and the European level parties (ALDE, GREENS and ECR).
19 These five groups represent 87.2% of EP members. Due to the small size of the GUE/NGL group, only
20 the European level party was included in order to situate the group within the context of the other
21 European parties. The EFD Website was under construction at the time of data collection so it was not
22 included in the study.
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 Insert Table 1 here
35
36

37 TABLE 1. Composition of the EU Parliament as a result of the 2009 European Elections
38
39

40 The composition of the parliament, as shown in Table 1, corresponds to the Incoming Parliament
41 (Constituent) of the seventh legislature (2009-2114). Changes are expected after the approval and
42 application of the Lisbon Treaty.
43
44
45

46
47 The complete list of the parties in the study is included in Appendices A, B and C to facilitate the
48 understanding of the findings in Figures 1 and 2. Appendices include the following information: label in
49 the MDS maps, name, abbreviation and URL of the political party, the number of seats in the EP, and the
50 number of inlinks pointing to the Website. Composition of the political groups was taken at the end of
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 July 2009 from an EP Website

4
5 (http://www.europarl.europa.eu/parliament/archive/elections2009/en/new_parliament_en.html).

6
7
8 In order to facilitate the identification of political parties, the party labels in the MDS maps are made up
9
10 of a capital letter indicating the political family followed by the country code (the top-level domain used
11
12 on the Internet). The full list with labels can be found in the Appendices. If a country has more than one
13
14 party in the EP, the label is followed by a number, with 1 being the political party that obtained the
15
16 highest number of seats.
17

18
19
20 Each party's Website address was collected from the directory of each party at the European level and
21
22 manually checked to ensure its correctness. The vast majority of parties in the study have only one URL
23
24 for their Websites and use their own country code for the top-level domain. When a coalition of parties
25
26 took part in the elections, usually there was no separate Website for the coalition; therefore, the party with
27
28 the most inlinks was selected for the study. For the few that have alternative URLs in the form of an alias
29
30 or redirect, we checked each URL to determine which one had more inlinks and used that one to collect
31
32 inlink data. We considered including both URLs in data collection; however, Yahoo!, the search engine
33
34 used for data collection, cannot handle the complex query syntax for collecting co-link data using two
35
36 URLs.
37
38

39 40 **4.2 Collection of Web link data**

41
42
43 Of the three major search engines, Google, Yahoo! and MSN Bing, only Yahoo! could be used for data
44
45 collection for the study. Google's inlink search only returns a sample of all inlinks that the Google
46
47 database records (Google, 2009). In addition, Google cannot filter out internal inlinks (inlinks originating
48
49 from within the Website itself, such as "back to home" links) as the query term "link" cannot be
50
51 combined with any other query terms (Google, 2006). In other words, Google cannot report the external
52
53 inlink counts required for the study. MSN Bing (formerly MSN Live Search) used to have inlink search
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 functions but the service was turned off around March 2007 (Live Search, 2007). At the time of data
4 collection, summer 2009, Yahoo! was the only option for collecting the inlink data required for the study.
5
6

7
8 Because search engines from different countries may have databases that favor Websites from the host
9 country (Vaughan & Thelwall, 2004), we considered using the country-specific version of Yahoo! to
10 retrieve inlinks to the political parties from that country. However, tests of some country-specific versions
11 of the search engine, such as the Spanish, French, and UK versions of Yahoo!, returned the same inlink
12 search results as the global version of Yahoo!. Unlike the Chinese version of Yahoo!, which has a
13 database that is different from that of the global version of Yahoo!, these country-specific versions of
14 Yahoo! had a different interface but the same underlying database. So the global version of Yahoo!
15 (www.yahoo.com) was used for all data collection through the application programming interface (API).
16
17

18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Yahoo! has two inlink search query terms, “link” and “linkdomain”. The “link” query term finds links to a particular page (e.g., link:http://www.abc.com finds links to the homepage of www.abc.com) while the “linkdomain” query term retrieves all links that point to all pages of a particular Website or domain, including the homepage. We used the “linkdomain” query term for data collection because all links, not just links to the homepage, are relevant to the study. The query syntax for the data collection is illustrated in Table 2 using the example URLs www.abc.com and www.xyz.com. We truncated the www portion of the URLs in the queries to capture links to all subdomains such as mail.abc.com. The “-site:abc.com” part of the query filters out internal links coming from within the domain of abc.com itself.

Insert Table 2 here

TABLE 2. Illustration of Yahoo! Queries for Data Collection

Since co-links involve a pair of Websites, the co-link data are collected in the form of a matrix with row x and column y of the matrix representing the number of co-links between URL x and URL y . We collected two sets of co-link data: one including all of the political families in the EP and one including only EPP and PES parties.

4.3 Methods of data analysis

Each co-link matrix was analyzed using multidimensional scaling (MDS) to generate an MDS map. MDS uses a heuristic method to place parties with higher co-link counts closer together in the resulting MDS map. Since similar or related parties are more likely to receive co-links, the number of co-links between a pair of political parties is a measure of their relatedness. Therefore, similar or related political organizations will be placed closer together in the MDS map. The positions of the parties in the map reveal only their relative relationship to each other. We hoped to use the MDS map to see clusters of parties that would reveal their ideological positions, as well as geographical, linguistic and social features.

5. Results

In this section we present an analysis of the overall positioning of the most relevant national and European parties and a comparison between the two largest groups, EPP and PES (S&D). Limitations and future research prospects are discussed in the next section. The current study analyzes heterogeneous parties in terms of their ideology and country; therefore, our first expectation is to find clusters of similar parties, that is, parties belonging to the same political family and country are expected to be grouped in the MDS maps.

5.1 All political groups

This section analyzes the plurality of ideologies in the EP. Accordingly, an MDS map showing parties belonging to the five largest groups in the study is presented (see Figure 1). Including all of the parties would result in a concentration of data points in the MDS map that would make interpretation unfeasible. Therefore, only parties belonging to the EU 12 (the first twelve Member States of the European Union) and with more than one seat in the parliament are considered. EU 12 includes some of the most important countries in terms of historical, political and economic influence. The EU 12 countries are Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, France, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Portugal, Spain, and United Kingdom. In addition, GUE/NGL (F in the map) as a European level party is included. These

1
2
3 criteria reduce the number of parties in the map to 50, which includes most of the influential parties in the
4
5 EU. Aie, the conservative Irish party, had no co-links with most parties so it was excluded from the
6
7 analysis. The stress value of the MDS analysis of Figure 1 is 0.07, which is fairly low and suggests a good
8
9 fit between the map and the data.
10

11
12
13 Insert Figure 1 here
14

15
16
17 FIG. 1. MDS map for all political groups
18

19
20 Figure 1 shows five clusters of parties. With a few exceptions, parties belonging to the same political
21
22 group are located in the same cluster. To make the interpretation clearer, we will only comment on
23
24 significant clusters in terms of geographical and linguistic similarities. The following five major party
25
26 groupings are discernible based on political affiliation:
27

- 28
29
30 1. Political parties at the European level are shown in the upper area. This area includes the
31
32 umbrella parties that integrate most of the national parties in the European Union. As
33
34 coordinators of the political initiatives at the European level, they are expected to have a central
35
36 position. The map shows that all of these organizations are in the same area; however, they do not
37
38 form a very tight cluster. The EPP (A) and GREENS (D) parties are located relatively close to
39
40 their affiliated national parties. The most noteworthy case is PES (B), which is in a central
41
42 position in the map between the conservatives (EPP parties), the liberals (ALDE parties) and the
43
44 greens and also close to the British and French social democrat parties (Buk and Bfr). This central
45
46 position could be the result of the party's intensive work on Internet politics in recent years, in
47
48 particular through the launching of the Manifesto 2009 campaign. PES is the only European party
49
50 that has a central position close to parties with different ideologies. Other European parties are
51
52 not as central and are close only to the parties of their own family.
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
2. The EPP area is located in the upper left of the map. Euk1, the British Conservative party, is located within this political group, although in this legislature they no longer belong to EPP but rather to a new political group in the EP called ECR. Ideologically, however, they are conservative and the co-link analysis correctly positioned them in this group. Ces, which stands for a Spanish coalition, is represented by the label CiU in the study. This party is a nationalist conservative Catalanian party that is close in political orientation to the PP (Aes), the main conservative Spanish party. Therefore, its position is meaningful in spite of being the only ALDE party located within the EPP area of the map. This similarity explains why the two parties are positioned very close to each other. Other national clusters, such as the Italian and German parties, are also highlighted in the map, and parties from Mediterranean countries likewise occupy the same area (Bes, Bgr, Bit, Bpt).
 3. The PES area is in the lower left section of the map. The social democrat parties are grouped together in a tighter cluster than the conservative parties. This tight cluster is evidence of the higher degree of integration of the social democrat parties, which could be explained by the fact that their ideological position is based on internationalism, in contrast to the more nationalist ideologies of the conservative parties. This explanation applies to Figure 2, which will be introduced later. The British Labour Party (Buk) and the French Socialist Party (Bfr) occupy a central position outside the PES area. This positioning could be explained not only by their influence on European politics, but also by their significant Internet presence. In addition, the linguistic factor also comes into play as English and French are probably the two most important languages in the EU.
 4. Parties belonging to ALDE are located at the center of the map. This reflects the party's ideological diversity, which ranges from progressive positions to conservative ones. This is also the political group with more parties scattered outside of its area (shown by triangles in Figure 1).

1
2
3 Apart from the previously mentioned case of Ces, the Danish party, Venstre (Cdk), is located
4 within the PES group and very close to the Danish socialist party (Bdk) and other socialist parties
5 from neighboring countries (Bbe1 and Bbe2 of Belgium and Bn1 of Netherlands).
6
7
8
9

- 10
11 5. The GREENS area is located in the lower right of the map. These parties are scattered but
12 consistently in the same area. Parties with the same language are close to each other (English
13 speaking Duk1 and Duk2 and French-speaking Dfr and Dbe1).
14
15
16
17

18
19 The positions of two particular clusters are worth noting. These are the British and French parties in the
20 center of the map. Their linkages are so strong that they break the ideological pattern. The British cluster
21 comprises the Labour Party (Buk), the Conservative Party (Euk1) and the Liberal Democrats (Cuk). This
22 central position could be explained by Britain's linguistic advantage, which results from the fact that
23 English Webpages are accessible by a majority of users in an international context. Despite Britain's
24 reluctance to get involved in European affairs, British politics is very relevant internationally. Moreover,
25 the British Labour party (Buk) holds positions that are more liberal than those held by other European
26 parties in the same group. The French cluster is made of *Union pour un Mouvement populaire*, UMP
27 (Afr), and the Socialist Party (Bfr). The language and the political influence of France could explain why
28 these parties occupy a central position together with British parties.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 To further our analysis, we examined inlink counts. It is worth noting that parties in the center of the map
42 have more inlinks, which reinforces their significance within the group. Table 3 shows the top 10 parties
43 in terms of inlink counts. According to this impact measure, German, British, French and Spanish parties
44 are the most relevant. The size of the country and the predominance of the English and French languages
45 in Europe are also factors that help explain these counts. It is remarkable that only the French and British
46 parties in the top ten list occupy a central position on the map while the Spanish and German parties do
47 not. Again, language is a plausible explanation, as English and French are commonly used as working
48 languages in Europe. Only one European-level party, the PES, is included in the top ten list, which
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 means that PES attracted more inlinks than any other European-level party. In the next section, we will
4
5 make a specific comparison between PES and its opponent, EPP.
6
7

8
9 Insert Table 3 here
10

11
12 TABLE 3. Ranking of political parties with more inlinks
13
14

15 16 **5.2 The right wing EPP vs. the left wing PES**

17
18 EPP and PES parties took 449 out of 736 (61%) seats in the EP. They represent the two opposite ends of a
19
20 political spectrum, with EPP being the right wing and PES the left wing. They are the two most
21
22 prominent parties at a European level and in most of the Member states. Historically, only these two
23
24 groups have won European elections. We are thus justified in taking a closer look at these two political
25
26 families by carrying out an MDS analysis just for these two groups. A total of 73 parties belong to these
27
28 two groups. The MDS map would be too crowded if we included all these parties; consequently, we
29
30 decided to omit fringe parties and included in our analysis only parties that have more than one seat. In
31
32 addition, Aie, Aro1 and Aro2 had few co-links with other parties so they were also excluded from the
33
34 analysis. Ultimately, 54 parties are included in the MDS analysis. The stress value of this MDS analysis is
35
36 0.03, which is very low and suggests a very good fit between the map and the data. Figure 2 shows the
37
38 MDS map.
39
40
41
42

43
44 Insert Figure 2 here
45
46

47
48 FIG. 2. MDS map for EPP and PES political parties
49

50
51 The MDS map shows, without any exception, a clear division between conservative and progressive
52
53 parties. They form two distinctive clusters, although parties within each cluster are grouped differently.
54
55 The cluster of left-wing parties is much tighter than that of right-wing parties. This suggests a stronger
56
57 integration and homogeneity among the left. In addition, there are some other relevant clusters in the map.
58
59
60

1
2
3 These are marked by bold lines and show the political core of the EU. On the left side, the British (Buk),
4 French (Bfr), German (Bde), and Spanish (Bes) parties are in the same cluster as the PES (B). On the
5
6 French (Bfr), German (Bde), and Spanish (Bes) parties are in the same cluster as the PES (B). On the
7
8 right side, the corresponding conservative parties (Afr, Ade1, Aes) are placed almost in a mirror position.
9
10 The British Conservative party is not included in the map because it is not currently part of the EPP. The
11
12 other clusters in the map show parties from the same country and region (right-wing parties: Germany,
13
14 Italy, Poland, Slovakia) or from neighboring countries (left-wing parties: Baltic and Eastern,
15
16 Mediterranean, and Scandinavian countries).
17

18
19 The division between the left-wing and right-wing parties could be explained by several factors.

20
21 First, left-wing parties are likely to establish more connections with civil right movements, grassroots
22
23 organizations and NGOs. These organizations tend to have a strong presence on the Web and are likely to
24
25 create co-links to left-wing parties. In addition, left-wing parties have traditionally supported and
26
27 promoted internationalism, which translates into the creation of links with other national parties belonging
28
29 to the same political family. In contrast, right-wing parties tend to be more nationalist. These two factors
30
31 may explain why the degree of cohesion is lower for right-wing parties as seen in Figure 2.
32
33

34
35
36 However, this general explanation needs to be read in light of the countries' different historical and
37
38 political histories. Probably the most prominent factor that determines departures from this general trend
39
40 is the distinction between formerly communist countries and countries without a communist heritage. In
41
42 former communist countries, the reaction against left-wing ideas and the communist heritage of many
43
44 new social democrat parties make right-wing parties more attractive. In an attempt to compensate for this
45
46 weakness, left-wing parties may tend to claim their European roots by approaching the political core of
47
48 the EU in order to gain legitimacy. In contrast, right-wing parties do not need to build these European
49
50 linkages with other conservative national parties; therefore the nationalist component is more significant.
51
52 For example, Poland and the Czech Republic, both former communist countries with conservative
53
54 leaders, demonstrated a reluctance to sign the Lisbon Treaty.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The difference between the former communist countries and other countries is also revealed in Figure 2.
4
5 As shown by the area with dashed line, some right-wing parties in former communist countries are
6
7 located in a fringe position, far from the center of the map. This cluster has only a few exceptions: a
8
9 Portuguese party is included in this area (Apt2) while the Hungarian (Ahu) and Slovenian (Asi) parties
10
11 are not. This pattern of seeing parties from former communist countries located on the fringes of the map
12
13 is also visible on the left side of the map, although the effect is less pronounced. Parties from the Czech
14
15 Republic, Lithuania, Poland and Slovakia form a cluster in the lower part of the map while parties from
16
17 Bulgaria, Hungary and Romania are located in the upper part of the map. Both clusters are tighter than the
18
19 cluster on the right.
20
21
22
23
24

25 Finally, the roles of the political parties at the European level are also different, as is reflected in their
26
27 positions in the map. PES (B) is in a more central position than EPP (A), which may be a result of PES's
28
29 intense and proactive work in recent years organizing gatherings not only among party members but also
30
31 with European activists. For example, PES organized an active online campaign to prepare for the June
32
33 2009 European elections. This campaign, known as "Manifesto 2009," began in October 2007 with the
34
35 aim of engaging activists from all over Europe to provide ideas for the manifesto in the elections. The
36
37 campaign was based on a Web platform hosted on the pes.org domain and combined a complete set of
38
39 Web 2.0 tools (e.g., YouTube, Vimeo, Facebook, Twitter, Flickr, and MySpace, among others) to
40
41 coordinate actions and promote debates.. Four languages, English, French, German and Spanish, were
42
43 used in the campaign, especially the first two. This dynamic e-campaign could explain why, in Figure 2,
44
45 the PES group forms a tighter cluster than the EPP group and also why the position of the social democrat
46
47 European party is more central than that of the conservative party.
48
49
50

51 52 **5.3 Comparison of inlink counts**

53
54 Results from Figures 1 and 2 showed that the two factors affecting Web co-link patterns are: political
55
56 orientation (left vs. right) and political history (whether or not a the country is a former communist
57
58
59
60

country). We further analyzed inlink counts by a two-way analysis of variance test to determine if these two factors are significant. The original data are presented in Table 4. Germany is excluded from this analysis as only part of the country can be considered to be formerly communist. The dependent variable of the test is the number of inlinks and the two independent variables are type of party (EPP vs. PES) and the country's political history (formerly communist or not). The test showed that the country's political history is indeed a significant factor ($p=0.01$). As shown in Figure 3, right-wing parties are more popular in the former communist countries while left-wing parties are more popular in countries without a history of communism. The difference between EPP and PES is not statistically significant ($p=0.26$), nor is the interaction between political history and political orientation ($p=0.20$). This conclusion makes sense given that the EPP and PES have the opposite online popularity in the two types of countries. Their popularity, as measured by the inlink count, is not significantly different when the two types of country (formerly communist or not) are combined in the analysis.

Insert Figure 3 here

FIG. 3. Inlink count comparison by party and country

Insert Table 4 here

TABLE 4. Comparison of inlink counts based on former vs non former communist countries

6. Discussion and Conclusions

The study has shown a clear difference between left-wing and right-wing parties in Web presence. The MDS maps generated from Web co-link data reflect Europe's political trends. Ideology seems to be the main factor in the clustering of political parties, followed by country or regional affiliation. This clustering pattern based on ideology could be explained a result of replication of Web production practices on a global scale (Foot et al., 2009). Also, visitors to political sites are typically already politically active (Gibson et al., 2003, 2005; Norris, 2003). Consequently, political Websites may be of

1
2
3 limited use in reaching the majority of voters, which could explain why the greater Web presence of the
4
5 left-wing parties in the EP did not translate into a higher number of seats in the 2009 election.
6
7

8
9
10 The results show a distinct Web linking pattern based on the political history of the country (whether it is
11
12 a former communist country). This Web linking pattern reflects political trends in countries in which
13
14 conservative governments are in power and right-wing ideology is currently more attractive. These trends
15
16 could be considered a reaction against communist political heritage. By contrast, in countries without a
17
18 communist heritage, Websites of social democrat parties are more inlinked than Websites of conservative
19
20 parties, irrespective of which party is in power.
21
22

23
24
25 The influence of Web 2.0 on Internet politics (Chadwick & Howard, 2009) needs to be taken into account
26
27 because of its potential impact on linking patterns. Ward and Gibson (2009) point out that one positive
28
29 effect of online channels is the creation of stronger links between an organization and its supporters and
30
31 between organizational supporters. Ward and Gibson are referring to offline ties but we believe that
32
33 online links are also created. This effect is especially significant in the context of our study as the creation
34
35 of political content on the Web is often accompanied by the creation of links, which increases the
36
37 likelihood that Web data will more accurately reflect offline political patterns. This in return makes link
38
39 analysis increasingly useful in analyzing political trends.
40
41
42

43
44 In order to understand the findings of our study properly, the limitations of the study must be
45
46 acknowledged. As noted in section 4.2, we were able to use only one search engine for data collection
47
48 because of the state of major search engines at the time of the study. Different search engines provide
49
50 different Web coverage (Vaughan & Zhang, 2007), so the result from a single search engine may not be
51
52 as reliable as a result from multiple search engines. Future studies should consider using multiple search
53
54 engines for data collection if possible. An additional problem is the potential for measurement errors in
55
56 commercial search engines, as earlier studies have pointed out (e.g. Bar-Ilan, 2004, 2005). These errors
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 make studies based on quantitative data from search engines vulnerable. Qualitative studies could help to
4 determine the nature of the limitations of the current study as well as provide insight into the motivations
5 behind Web links. This kind of qualitative studies have been carried out for other types of Websites,
6 including academic (Thelwall, 2003) and business Websites (Vaughan, Kipp & Gao, 2007), but not the
7 Websites of political parties. Further research is needed in this area.
8
9
10
11
12
13

14
15
16 A methodological issue of data analysis needs to be discussed. The study used MDS for data analysis but
17 MDS is not the only statistical method that can be used to generate clusters. Cluster analysis is an
18 alternative method. To compare the two methods, we ran cluster analysis on the two data sets used to
19 generate Figures 1 and 2. The clusters generated from the cluster analysis did not match very well the
20 clusters in the MDS maps. The advantage of cluster analysis is that it requires less human judgment than
21 MDS. However, this could also be a disadvantage because the method is more data-driven than theory-
22 driven. When theoretically meaningful clusters are not clearly delineated (i.e., when neighboring clusters
23 are not far apart, as is the case in this study), cluster analysis results in clusters that fit the data but are not
24 theoretically meaningful. There are many interrelated factors affecting the positions of political parties
25 and we believe this to be the main reason that the clusters in the MDS maps (Fig. 1 and Fig. 2) are not far
26 apart. MDS is more effective than cluster analysis in this situation because it is both data-driven (the
27 MDS maps are generated from the data) and theory-driven (human interpretation of the maps), and
28 provides better visualization of the data.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 This study used an innovative method to investigate European politics and offered some insights into
49 political trends by exploiting Web hyperlink data. The work focused on an overall analysis of European
50 political parties and therefore presents many possibilities for analyzing political issues at both the
51 European and national levels. The diverse variables that are likely to affect the parties' positions in the
52 political spectrum are complex. Future research could examine variables not considered in this study,
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 such as language. Some earlier studies have analyzed the linguistic variable (Thelwall, Tang & Price,
4
5 2003; Vaughan, 2006) and found it to be a significant factor. The dominance of the English language
6
7 could play a role in political sites. The impact of Web 2.0 on the link structure that interconnects political
8
9 parties could be studied using content analysis, particularly to test whether or not the types of Webpages
10
11 that co-link or inlink parties differ based on a party's ideology. In addition, a longitudinal study could test
12
13 the feasibility of using link analysis to monitor political evolution in the European Union. Finally, in-
14
15 depth analysis could be carried out to examine unusual cases of Web presence, such as the Italian parties,
16
17 which do not appear in central positions in the MDS maps generated in the current study, despite the
18
19 relative importance of the country in European politics. One potential explanation for this situation is the
20
21 continual change to Italian party websites as a result of political instability. For example, the Website of
22
23 Ait1 (the party of the Italian prime minister) appears for the first time in the Internet Archive only in April
24
25 2008.
26
27
28
29

30 **Acknowledgement**

31
32 The second author is supported by a research grant on from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research
33
34 Council of Canada (SSHRC). Comments and suggestions provided by anonymous referees helped
35
36 strengthen the paper and are greatly appreciated.
37
38
39
40

41 **References**

- 42
43
44 Bar-Ilan, J. (2005). Expectations versus reality – Search engine features needed for Web research at mind.
45
46 *Cybermetrics*, 9(1), paper 2.
47
48 Bar-Ilan, J. (2004). The use of Web search engines in information science research. *Annual Review of*
49
50 *Information Science and Technology*, 38, 231-288.
51
52 Bar-Ilan, J. & Echerman, A. (2005). The anthrax scare and the Web: A content analysis of Web pages
53
54 linking to resources on anthrax. *Scientometrics*, 63(3), 443-462.
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Burt, E., & Taylor, J. (2001). When 'virtual' meets values: insights from the voluntary sector. *Information,*
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Communication and Society, 4(1), 54-73.

Chadwick, A., & Howard, P.N. (2009). Introduction. New directions in internet politics research. In A. Chadwick & P.N. Howard (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Internet Politics* (pp. 1-9). New York: Routledge.

Davis, R., Owen, D., Taras, D., & Ward, S.J. (2008). *Making a Difference? The internet and elections in comparative perspective*. Lanham, MD: Lexington Books.

Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (1997). *Universities and the Global Knowledge Economy: A Triple Helix of University-Industry-Government Relations*. London: Pinter.

European Parliament (2009). European Parliament Website, available at: <http://www.europarl.europa.eu/> (accessed 2 November 2009).

European Union (2009). European Union Website, available at: <http://europa.eu/> (accessed 2 November 2009).

Foot, K.A., Xenos, M., Schneider, S.M., Kluver, R., & Jankowski, N.W. (2009). Electoral web production practices in cross-national perspective. The relative influence of national development, political culture, and web genre. In A. Chadwick & P.N. Howard (eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Internet Politics* (pp. 40-55). New York: Routledge.

Gao, Y. (2009). Gauging Public Interest from Server Logs, Surveys and Inlinks: A Multi-method Approach to Analyze News Websites. PhD dissertation. University of Western Ontario, Canada.

García-Santiago, L., & de Moya-Anegón, F. (2009). Using co-outlinks to mine heterogeneous networks. *Scientometrics*, 79(3), 681-702.

1
2
3 Gibson, R.K., Lusoli, W., & Ward, S.J. (2003). The internet and political campaigning: the new medium
4 comes of age? *Representation*, 39(3), 166-80.

5
6
7
8 Gibson, R.K., Lusoli, W., & Ward, S.J. (2005). Online participation in the UK: testing a contextualised
9 model of internet effects. *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 7(4), 561-83.

10
11
12
13 Google (2006). "Google SOAP Search API Reference", available at:
14 http://www.google.com/apis/reference.html#2_2 (accessed 3 June 2009).

15
16
17
18 Google (2009). "Links to your site", available at:
19 <http://www.google.com/support/webmasters/bin/answer.py?hl=en&answer=55281> (accessed 3 June
20
21
22
23 2009).

24
25
26 Holmberg, K. J. (2009). Webometric network analysis: Mapping cooperation and geopolitical
27 connections between local government administration on the Web. PhD dissertation, Åbo Akademi
28 University, Finland.

29
30
31
32
33 Kluver, J., Jankowski, N.W., Foot, K.A., & Schneider, S.M. (Eds.) (2007). *The Internet and National*
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Elections: a comparative study of web campaigning. New York: Routledge.

Leydesdorff, L., & Meyer, M. (2003). The triple helix of university-industry-government relations.
Scientometrics, 58(2), 191–203.

Leydesdorff, L., & Meyer, M. (2007). The scientometrics of a triple helix of university-industry-
government relations: Introduction to the topical issue. *Scientometrics*, 70(2), 207–222.

Live Search (2007). "We are flattered, but...", available at:
<http://www.bing.com/community/blogs/search/archive/2007/03/28/we-are-flattered-but.aspx> (accessed 3
June 2009).

1
2
3 March, L. (2006). Virtual parties in a virtual world: Russian parties and the political internet. In S. Oates,
4 D.M. Owen & R.K. Gibson (eds.), *The Internet and Politics: citizens, voters, and activists*. London:
5
6
7 Routledge.
8
9

10
11 Norris (2003). Preaching to the converted? Pluralism, participation and party websites. *Party politics*,
12
13 9(1), 21-46.
14

15
16 Stuart, D., & Thelwall, M. (2006). Investigating triple helix relationships using URL citations: a case
17
18 study of the UK West Midlands automobile industry. *Research Evaluation*, 15(2), 97–106.
19

20
21 Thelwall, M., Tang, R., & Price, E. (2003). Linguistic patterns of academic web use in Western Europe.
22
23 *Scientometrics*, 56(3), 417-432.
24

25
26 Thelwall, M., & Vaughan, L. (2004). A fair history of the Web? Examining country balance in the
27
28 Internet archive. *Library & Information Science Research*, 26(2), 162-176.
29

30
31 Thelwall, M. & Wilkinson, D. (2004). Finding similar academic Web sites with links, bibliometric
32
33 couplings and colinks. *Information Processing and Management*, 40, 94–101.
34

35
36 Thelwall, M. (2003). What is this link doing here? Beginning a fine-grained process of identifying
37
38 reasons for academic hyperlink creation. *Information Research*, 8(3) paper no. 151, available at:
39
40 <http://informationr.net/ir/8-3/paper151.html>
41
42

43
44 van Dijk, J.A.G.M. (2009). One Europe, digitally divided. In A. Chadwick & P.N. Howard (Eds.),
45
46 *Routledge Handbook of Internet Politics* (pp. 288-304). New York: Routledge.
47

48
49 Vaughan, L. (2006). Visualizing Linguistic and Cultural Differences Using Web Co-Link Data. *Journal*
50
51 *of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 57(9), 1178-1193.
52

53
54 Vaughan, L., & Thelwall, M. (2004). Search engine coverage bias: Evidence and possible causes.
55
56 *Information Processing & Management*, 40(4), 693-707.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Vaughan, L., & You, J. (2006). Comparing business competition positions based on Web co-link data:
4
5 The global market vs. the Chinese market. *Scientometrics*, 68(3), 611–628.
6
7

8
9 Vaughan, L., & You, J. (2008). Content assisted web co-link analysis for competitive intelligence.
10
11 *Scientometrics*, 77(3), 433-444.
12

13
14 Vaughan, L., & Zhang, Y. (2007). Equal representation by search engines? A comparison of Websites
15
16 across countries and domains. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 12(3), available at
17
18 <http://jcmc.indiana.edu:80/vol12/issue3/vaughan.html>
19

20
21 Vaughan, L., Kipp, M., & Gao, Y. (2007). Are co-linked business Websites really related? A link
22
23 classification study. *Online Information Review*, 31(4), 440-450.
24

25
26 Ward, S., & Gibson, R. (2009). European political organizations and the internet. In A. Chadwick & P.N.
27
28 Howard (Eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Internet Politics* (pp. 25-39). New York: Routledge.
29
30

31
32
33 **APPENDIX A. EPP political parties**

34
35 Insert Appendix A here
36
37

38
39 **APPENDIX B. PES political parties**

40
41 Insert Appendix B here
42
43

44
45 **APPENDIX C. Other political parties**

46
47 Insert Appendix C here
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Composition of the EU Parliament as a result of the 2009 European Elections

Political group (*)	Number of seats	Percentage of seats
EPP (A)	265	36
PES (B)	184	25
ALDE (C)	84	11.4
GREENS/EFA (D)	55	7.5
ECR (E)	54	7.3
GUE/NGL (F)	35	4.8
EFD	32	4.3
NA	27	3.7
Total	736	100

EPP: Group of the European People's Party (e.g. Christian Democrats).
 PES: Since the elections of June 2009, the PES group in the EP is called "Group of the Progressive Alliance of Socialists and Democrats in the European Parliament".
 ALDE: Group of the Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe.
 GREENS/ EFA: Group of the Greens/European Free Alliance.
 ECR: European Conservatives and Reformists Group.
 GUE/NGL: Confederal Group of the European United Left - Nordic Green Left.
 EFD: Europe of Freedom and Democracy Group.
 NA: Non-attached.
 (*) means the label to identify the parties at European level and the national parties belonging to the corresponding family.

Table 2. Illustration of Yahoo! Queries for Data Collection

Types of links searched for	Query
Inlinks to www.abc.com	linkdomain:abc.com –site:abc.com
Co-links between www.abc.com and www.xyz.com	(linkdomain:abc.com –site:abc.com) (linkdomain:xyz.com –site:xyz.com)

Table 3. Ranking of political parties with more inlinks

Ranking	Label	Abbreviation	Name	Country	Seats	Linkdomain
1	Bde	SPD	Social Democratic Party of Germany	Germany	23	735 000
2	Cuk	LD	Liberal Democrats	UK	11	269 000
3	Bfr	PS-f	Socialist Party (France)	France	14	232 000
4	Adel	CDU	Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands	Germany	34	221 000
5	Euk1	Cons	Conservative Party	UK	24	186 000
6	Bes	PSOE	Spanish Socialist Workers' Party	Spain	21	177 000
7	Buk	Lab (UK)	The Labour Party (UK)	UK	13	170 000
8	B	PES	Party of European Socialists	Europe	184	160 000
9	Dde	GRÜNE	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	Germany	14	144 000
10	Aes	PP	Partido Popular	Spain	23	127 000

Table 4. Comparison of inlink counts based on former vs non former communist countries

Political party	Country	Inlink count	Party affiliation	Former communism
Socialist Party	France	285 000	PES	no
The Labour Party	UK	180 000	PES	no
Spanish Socialist Workers' Party	Spain	177 000	PES	no
Partido Popular	Spain	127 000	EPP	no
Union pour un Mouvement populaire	France	120 000	EPP	no
Dutch Labour Party	Netherlands	81 400	PES	no
The Swedish Social Democratic Party	Sweden	41 600	PES	no
Social Democratic Party of Austria	Austria	39 400	PES	no
Moderata Samlingspartiet	Sweden	38 300	EPP	no
Fidesz - Magyar Polgári Szövetség, Kereszténydemokrata Néppárt	Hungary	37 400	EPP	yes
Panhellenic Socialist Movement	Greece	31 100	PES	no
Democratic Left	Italy	30 700	PES	no
Il Popolo della Libertà	Italy	30 500	EPP	no
Christen Democratisch Appel	Netherlands	29 900	EPP	no
Platforma Obywatelska RP	Poland	27 500	EPP	yes
Österreichische Volkspartei	Austria	22 700	EPP	no
Social Progressive Alternative	Belgium	21 800	PES	no
Danish Social Democratic Party	Denmark	18 200	PES	no
Christen-Democratisch & Vlaams	Belgium	17 200	EPP	no
Socialist Party	Belgium	16 400	PES	no
Czech Social Democratic	Czech Republic	13 900	PES	yes
New Democracy	Greece	12 200	EPP	no
Labour Party	Ireland	11 500	PES	no
Socialist Party	Portugal	11 500	PES	no
Partit Nazzjonalista	Malta	11 200	EPP	no
Hungarian Socialist Party	Hungary	11 200	PES	yes
Kansallinen Kokoomus r.p. /	Finland	10 900	EPP	no

1	Samlingspartiet r.p.				
2	Strana maďarskej koalície - Magyar				
3	Koalíció Pártja	Slovakia	10 500	EPP	yes
4	Unione dei Democratici Cristiani e				
5	Democratici di Centro	Italy	10 400	EPP	no
6	Uniunea Democrată Maghiară din				
7	România	Romania	10 400	EPP	yes
8	Křesťanská a demokratická unie –				
9	Československá strana lidová	Czech Republic	9 380	EPP	yes
10	Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej - Unia				
11	Pracy	Poland	8 050	PES	yes
12	Socialdemocracy	Slovakia	7 700	PES	yes
13	Partido Social Democrata PPD/PSD	Portugal	7 480	EPP	no
14	Citizens for European Development of				
15	Bulgaria	Bulgaria	5 870	EPP	yes
16	Social Democratic Party	Romania	5 870	PES	yes
17	Slovenska Demokratska Stranka	Slovenia	4 690	EPP	yes
18	Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	Poland	4 530	EPP	yes
19	Lithuanian Social Democratic Workers'				
20	Party	Lithuania	4 280	PES	yes
21	Partido Popular CDS/PP	Portugal	4 230	EPP	no
22	Tėvynės sąjunga - Lietuvos krikščionys				
23	demokratai	Lithuania	3 840	EPP	yes
24	Social Democrats	Slovenia	3 040	PES	yes
25	Coalition for Bulgaria	Bulgaria	2 940	PES	yes
26	Slovenská demokratická a kresťanská				
27	únia - Demokratická strana	Slovakia	2 040	EPP	yes
28	Kresťanskodemokratické hnutie	Slovakia	1 990	EPP	yes
29	Labour Party	Malta	1 600	PES	no
30	Chrëschtlech Sozial Vollekspartei	Luxemburg	1 580	EPP	no
31	Democratic Rally of Cyprus	Cyprus	1 430	EPP	no
32	Partidul Democrat Liberal	Romania	1 320	EPP	yes
33	Fine Gael	Ireland	833	EPP	no
34	Social Democratic Party of Finland	Finland	668	PES	no
35	Pilsoniska Savieniba	Latvia	402	EPP	yes

Appendix A. EPP political parties

Label	URL	Abbreviation	Name	Country	Seats	linkdomain
A	http://www.epp.eu	EPP	European Popular Party	Europe	265	97 400
Ade1	http://www.cdu.de	CDU	Christlich Demokratische Union Deutschlands	Germany	34	221 000
Afr	http://www.u-m-p.org	UMP	Union pour un Mouvement	France	29	120 000

			populaire			
Ait1	http://www.ilpopolodellaliberta.it	PdL	Il Popolo della Libertà	Italy	29	30 500
Apl1	http://www.platforma.org/	PO	Platforma Obywatelska RP	Poland	25	27 600
Aes	http://www.pp.es/	PP	Partido Popular	Spain	23	127 000
Ahu	http://www.fidesz.hu	FIDESZ - KDNP	Fidesz - Magyar Polgári Szövetség, Kereszténydemokrata Néppárt	Hungary	14	37 400
Aro1	http://www.pdl.org.ro	PD-L	Partidul Democrat Liberal	Romania	10	491
Agr	http://www.nd.gr	ND	New Democracy	Greece	8	12 200
Apt1	http://www.psd.pt	PPD/PSD	Partido Social Democrata PPD/PSD	Portugal	8	7 480
Ade2	http://www.csu.de	CSU	Christlich Soziale Union Deutschlands	Germany	8	27 600
Aat	http://www.oevp.at	ÖVP	Österreichische Volkspartei	Austria	6	23 000
Anl	http://www.cda.nl	CDA	Christen Democratisch Appel	Netherlands	5	29 900
Ait2	http://www.udc-italia.it	UDC	Unione dei Democratici Cristiani e Democratici di Centro	Italy	5	10 400
Abg1	http://www.gerb.bg	GERB	Citizens for European Development of Bulgaria	Bulgaria	5	5 900
Aie	http://www.finegael.org	FG	Fine Gael	Ireland	4	835
Alt	http://www.tsajunga.lt	TS-LKD	Tėvynės sąjunga - Lietuvos krikščionys demokratai	Lithuania	4	3 840
Ase1	http://www.moderat.se	M	Moderata Samlingspartiet	Sweden	4	38 400
Apl2	http://www.psl.pl	PSL	Polskie Stronnictwo Ludowe	Poland	3	4 510
Abe1	http://www.cdenv.be	CD&V	Christen-Democratisch & Vlaams	Belgium	3	17 200
Alu	http://www.csv.lu	CSV	Chrëschtlech Sozial Vollekspartei	Luxembourg	3	1 570
Afi1	http://www.kokoomus.fi	KOK	Kansallinen Kokoomus r.p. / Samlingspartiet r.p.	Finland	3	10 900
Aro2	http://www.udmr.ro	UDMR	Uniunea Democrată Maghiară	Romania	3	6 000

			din România			
Amt	http://www.pn.org.mt	PN	Partit Nazzjonalista	Malta	2	11 300
Acz	http://www.kdu.cz	KDU- ČSL	Křesťanská a demokratická unie – Československá strana lidová	Czech Republic	2	9 420
Apt2	http://www.cds.pt	CDS-PP	Partido Popular CDS/PP	Portugal	2	4 230
Asi1	http://www.sds.si	SDS	Slovenska Demokratska Stranka	Slovenia	2	4 710
Ask3	http://www.kdh.sk	KDH	Kresťanskodemokratické hnutie	Slovakia	2	1 990
Ask1	http://www.sdkuonline.sk	SDKÚ – DS	Slovenská demokratická a kresťanská únia - Demokratická strana	Slovakia	2	2 040
Acy	http://www.disy.org.cy	DISY	Democratic Rally of Cyprus	Cyprus	2	1 430
Alv1	http://www.pilsoniska-savieniba.lv	PS	Pilsoniska Savieniba	Latvia	2	401
Ask2	http://www.mkp.sk	SMK- MPK	Strana maďarskej koalície - Magyar Koalíció Pártja	Slovakia	2	10 600
Abg2	http://www.dsb.bg	SDS- DSB	Blue Coalition	Bulgaria	1	4 820
Abe2	http://www.lecdh.be	cdH	Centre Démocrate Humaniste	Belgium	1	8 840
Asi2	http://www.nsi.si	N.Si	Nova Slovenija – Krščanska Ljudska Stranka	Slovenia	1	1 550
Adk	http://www.konservative.dk	C	Det Konservative Folkeparti	Denmark	1	10 400
Aee	http://www.irl.ee	IRL	Isamaa ja Res Publica Liit	Estonia	1	8 980
Aro3	http://www.elenabasescu.net	Băsescu	Băsescu Elena	Romania	1	700
Ase2	http://www.kristdemokraterna.se	KD	Kristdemokraterna	Sweden	1	12 700
Abe3	http://www.csp-dg.be	CSP	Christlich Soziale Partei	Belgium	1	870
Afi2	http://www.kristillisdemokraatit.fi	KD-PS	Vaaliliitossa - i Valförbund	Finland	1	1 180
Alv2	http://www.jaunaislaiks.lv	JL	Jaunais laiks (New Era)	Latvia	1	519
Ait3	http://www.svpartei.org	SVP	Südtiroler Volkspartei	Italy	1	2 110

Appendix B. PES political parties

Label	URL	Abbreviation	Name	Country	Seats	link-domain
B	http://www.pes.org	PES	Party of European Socialists	Europe	184	160 000
Bde	http://www.spd.de	SPD	Social Democratic Party of Germany	Germany	23	735 000
Bes	http://www.psoe.es	PSOE	Spanish Socialist Workers' Party	Spain	21	177 000
Bit	http://www.dsonline.it	PD	Democratic Left	Italy	21	30 800
Bfr	http://www.parti-socialiste.fr	PS-f	Socialist Party (France)	France	14	232 000
Buk	http://www.labour.org.uk	Lab (UK)	The Labour Party (UK)	United Kingdom	13	170 000
Bro	http://www.psd.ro	PSD	Social Democratic Party (Romania)	Romania	11	5 890
Bgr	http://www.pasok.gr	PASOK	Panhellenic Socialist Movement	Greece	8	31 100
Bcz	http://www.cssd.cz	CSSD	Czech Social Democratic	Czech Republic	7	13 900
Bpt	http://www.ps.pt	PS	Socialist Party (Portugal)	Portugal	7	6 800
Bpl	http://www.sld.org.pl	SLD-UP	Sojusz Lewicy Demokratycznej - Unia Pracy	Poland	7	8 090
Bsk	http://www.strana-smer.sk	SMER	Socialdemocracy	Slovakia	5	7 010
Bse	http://www.socialdemokraterna.se	S	The Swedish Social Democratic Party	Sweden	5	41 700
Bdk	http://www.socialdemokraterne.dk	A	Danish Social Democratic Party	Denmark	4	18 200
Bat	http://www.spoe.at	SPÖ	Social Democratic Party of Austria	Austria	4	39 500
Bbg	http://www.bsp.bg	BSP	Coalition for Bulgaria	Bulgaria	4	2 950
Bhu	http://www.mszip.hu	MSZP	Hungarian Socialist Party	Hungary	4	5 980
Bie	http://www.labour.ie	Lab	Labour Party	Ireland	3	11 500
Bmt	http://www.mlp.org.mt	PL (MLP)	Labour Party	Malta	3	1 600
Bbe2	http://www.ps.be	PS-b	Socialist Party (Belgium)	Belgium	3	9 440


Blt	http://www.lsdpl.lt	LSDP	Lithuanian Social Democratic Workers' Party	Lithuania	3	4 290
Bnl	http://www.pvda.nl	PVDA	Dutch Labour Party	Netherlands	3	81 400
Bsi	http://www.socialnidemokrati.si	SD	Social Democrats	Slovenia	2	2 620
Bfi	http://www.sosialidemokraatit.fi	SDP	Social Democratic Party of Finland	Finland	2	669
Bbe1	http://www.s-p-a.be	SP.A	Social Progressive Alternative	Belgium	2	17 400
Bcy2	http://www.diko.org.cy	DIKO	Dimokratiko Komma	Cyprus	1	1 310
Blu	http://www.lsap.lu	LSAP	Luxembourgian Socialist Workers' Party	Luxemburg	1	1 080
Blv	http://www.saskanacentrs.lv	LSDSP	Saskaņas Centrs	Latvia	1	1 770
Bcy1	http://www.edek.org.cy	EAEK	Movement of Socialdemocrats EDEK	Cyprus	1	2 810
Bee	http://www.sotsdem.ee	SDE	Estonian Social Democratic Party	Estonia	1	1 620

Appendix C. Other political parties

Label	URL	Abbreviation	Name	Country	Seats	link-domain
C	http://www.alde.eu	ALDE	Alliance of Liberals and Democrats for Europe	Europe	84	39 000
Cde	http://www.liberales.de	FDP	Freie Demokratische Partei - Die Liberalen	Germany	12	115 000
Cuk	http://www.libdems.org.uk	LD	Liberal Democrats	United Kingdom	11	269 000
Cit	http://www.italiadeivalori.it	IdV-LdP	Italia dei Valori - Lista Di Pietro	Italy	7	19 200
Cfr	http://www.mouvementdemocrate.fr	MoDem	Mouvement Démocrate	France	6	90 200
Cbe1	http://www.vld.be	Open VLD	VLD-Open Vlaamse Liberalen en Democraten	Belgium	3	13 500
Cnl2	http://www.d66.nl	D66	Democraten 66	Netherlands	3	40 000
Cnl1	http://www.vvd.nl	VVD	Volkspartij voor Vrijheid en	Netherlands	3	3 320

			Democratie			
Cdk	http://www.venstre.dk	V	Venstre – Danmarks Liberale Parti	Denmark	3	5 780
Cie1	http://www.fiannafail.ie	FF	Fianna Fáil	Ireland	3	25 300
Cbe2	http://www.mr.be	MR	Mouvement Réformateur	Belgium	2	91 700
Ces	http://www.ciu.cat	CiU-CpE	Coalición por Europa	Spain	2	3 680
D	http://www.greens-efa.org	GREENS / EFA	Greens/European Free Alliance	Europe	55	68 800
Dfr	http://www.europeecologie.fr	Europe écologie	Europe écologie	France	14	36 000
Dde	http://www.gruene.de	GRÜNE	Bündnis 90/Die Grünen	Germany	14	144 000
Dnl	http://groenlinks.nl	GroenLinks	GroenLinks	Netherlands	3	51 800
Duk2	http://www.snp.org	SNP	Scottish National Party	UK	2	61 800
Ddk	http://www.sf.dk	F	SF – Socialistisk Folkeparti	Denmark	2	22 900
Dbe1	http://web4.ecolo.be	ECOLO	Ecologistes Confédérés pour l'Organisation de Luttes Originales	Belgium	2	5 770
Duk1	http://www.greenparty.org.uk	Greens	The Green Party	UK	2	60 800
E	http://www.europeanreform.eu	ECR	European Conservatives and Reformists Group	Europe	54	4 840
Euk1	http://www.conservatives.com	Cons	Conservative Party	United Kingdom	24	186 000
F	http://www.guengl.eu	GUE/ NGL	Confederal Group of the European United Left - Nordic Green Left.	Europe	35	18 400


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



279x215mm (200 x 200 DPI)

review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60



220x161mm (72 x 72 DPI)

Review

The analysis of political trends based on Web linking patterns: The Case of Individual Political Groups in the European Parliament

Esteban Romero-Frías

erf@ugr.es

Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

Liwen Vaughan

lvaughan@uwo.ca

Faculty of Information and Media Studies, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

Further to our previous study (see JASIST paper above) focuses more on a global analysis of all the political groups in the EP and in the two main groups led by the European Popular Party (EPP) and the Party of European Socialists (PES), we carried out analysis of the parties belonging to the different political families in the EP. Our approach here is to present a sketch of each political group and then compare them to obtain general findings and patterns. The details of these results, including MDS maps, will be presented at the conference; below is a brief summary of the results.

- **EPP political parties**

The map does not present a clear pattern, which could be explained by the lower link impact of conservative parties in the Web measured by inlink counts. There is a cluster of core political parties (France, Germany and Spain). UK is not in the map because they belong to ECR group since the last elections. The EPP (EU) is near the core group but do not have a position as central as expected. There are some clusters based on the country or regional affiliation: Baltic parties, Belgium parties, Bulgarian parties, Italian parties, Polish parties, and Czech and Slovakian parties.

- **PES political parties**

This group shows a more clearly defined pattern than that of EPP. The higher presence of left parties in the web could lead to a more accurate image of the political situation. Also there are fewer parties in this map and therefore interpretation is clearer.

The MDS map displays a three layer structure as follows:

1. Core. It includes the most relevant parties at European level: France, Germany and United Kingdom.
2. EU 15. It comprises most of the countries belonging to the EU of 15 members. Only Belgium, Ireland, Denmark and Luxembourg remains out of this area.
3. All members. Parties from the rest of member states are located in this wide area, with the exception of the aforementioned countries. A majority of these countries accessed EU membership in 2004 and 2007.

PES, the European-level party is in the second layer in an intermediate position between EU of 15 countries and new member states' parties. The position reveals how PES bridges both set of parties reflecting its active role on political integration. As already said, PES occupies a more central position in the map than EPP (Romero-Frías & Vaughan, in press), even when the groups are considered on their own. In the same area it is remarkable how the Greek party; PASOK, is closer to the core parties than the Spanish one, PSOE, despite that the former is more relevant in political influence. A reasonable explanation is that the leader of the Greek party, George Papandreou, is currently the leader of the Socialist International and therefore it attracts more attention from third parties. Due to this international position of its leader, the PASOK has developed an English section in its own domain. English covers of political parties websites need to be analyzed in order to understand the effect on Web positioning.

The whole picture shows how the parties belonging to new Member states still remain in more peripheral positions. However, as already suggested, its political initiative is to get closer to the UE 15 and Core political parties, especially in the seeking of a legitimacy that allow them overcoming the communist past. Nevertheless this trend should be confirmed in future studies. At this point the positions reflect also the situation of countries where Internet is not as developed as in other European countries and civil society movements are still developing.

- **Other political groups**

It is more difficult to interpret these maps because generally the parties belonging to these groups are not governing parties at national level and because many Member states do not have a party belonging to these groups in the EP. Despite these limitations, there are some positions parties within the groups that are worth commenting and we will report this in the conference presentation.

- **Overall conclusions:**

- ☐ In maps focused on single political groups, a core cluster is identified with the parties from the most relevant countries in political terms.
- ☐ PES parties are more concentrated than EPP parties. This means more integration due to an ideological support of internationalism vs. nationalism of conservative parties.
- ☐ It is significant to note that a better presence on the Internet, measured by inlink counts, has not had a significant influence in the political results. If commercial search engines allowed us to segment the results by the date of inlinking webpage creation, maybe correlations with the results in the elections could be found.
- ☐ There is a limitation due to language preferences towards English and French. Moreover, the extreme diversity of European Union in terms of political, social, economic, cultural, technological, historical and linguistic characteristics makes analysis very complex and difficult.