The Logic of the Method in Comparative Research

Seminar, 2nd term 2014-2015

Organized by Stefano Bartolini

Please register with Mariana Spratley (mariana.spratley@eui.eu)

Topic
The Winter seminar on the Logic of the Method in Comparative Research focuses on the key logical choices in preparing a comparative research design and on the implications of the distinction between comparative statements, comparative research, and the comparative method. The seminar reviews the methodological issues of explanatory comparative research. The main goals are 1) to underline their point of strength and disadvantages of the multiplicity of approaches to comparative politics; 2) to increase the awareness of the conceptual complexity of a comparative research design and of the comparative control of generalizations.

Audience
The seminar is devoted to first and second year researchers, but third year researchers are welcome.

Requirements
The course takes the form of lectures accompanied by readings and discussions. The list of readings is restricted; it is general for the entire course and readings are not assigned weekly. Participants are expected to read them thoroughly and attentively in the early phase of the seminar so as to use them in the discussions throughout it. Participants are asked to pick up one specific methodological issue discussed during the course and present an exemplary application of it to their preferred research topics. Such exemplary or applicative papers should be between 4 and 8 pages long. Schematic and/or dialogic papers are both acceptable. Depending on the number of participants, these reports will be discussed individually. If necessary, extra sessions may be scheduled after March for these discussions. The researchers’ participation to the seminar and the seminar papers will be the basis for the accreditation of the seminar.

Schedule
The seminar takes place on Thursdays at 11:00-13:00, in Seminar Room 2 in the Badia and will run from January 15th to March 26th. The full list of the seminar sessions is the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Session 1</td>
<td>Thursday 15th January</td>
<td>Seminar room 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 2</td>
<td>Thursday 22nd January</td>
<td>Emeroteca (15:00-17:00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 3</td>
<td>Thursday 29th January</td>
<td>Seminar room 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday 5th February</td>
<td>No session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 4</td>
<td>Thursday 12th February</td>
<td>Seminar room 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 5</td>
<td>Thursday 19th February</td>
<td>Seminar room 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 6</td>
<td>Thursday 26th February</td>
<td>Seminar room 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 7</td>
<td>Thursday 5th March</td>
<td>Seminar room 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 8</td>
<td>Thursday 12th March</td>
<td>Seminar room 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Thursday 19th March</td>
<td>NO session (applicants interviews)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 9</td>
<td>Thursday 26th March</td>
<td>Seminar room 2 (9:00-11:00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Session 10</td>
<td>Thursday 26th March</td>
<td>Seminar room 2 (11:00-13:00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Syllabus

1) Historical Approaches to comparative politics
- Traditional Country-by-Country Description
- Theoretical Case studies
- Traditional Cross-Institutional Analysis
- Typological
- Functional analysis
- Group Analysis
- System Analysis
- Phenomenon analysis
- Elite Analysis
- Comparative Historical Analysis
- Profile and Scale analysis
- Political Arithmetic
- The cross-country mass-survey
- What is ‘new’ in the newism’?

2) Some assumptions
- That some external (to our mind) reality exists
- That human beings’ minds have some common properties that allow inter-subjectively exchangeable perceptions
- Science as effectiveness; science as inter-subjective control
- Why are we interested in generalizable knowledge? Truth versus ‘utility’

4) Problem selection and formulation
- Selection
  - motivations: subjective, theoretical, and socio-political
  - normative versus empirical components (relationships)
- Formulation
  - explicitness (central question versus secondary and peripheral questions)
  - clearness (lexicographic definitions to avoid terminological ‘vagueness’ and ‘ambiguity’)
  - theoretical value (formulated in such a way as to contribute to existing and accumulated knowledge)

6) Why to compare?
- Comparison and ‘comparing’ as mental activity
- Comparison as a method to generate hypotheses about variations
- The ‘comparative method’ for controlling hypotheses

7) What can be ‘compared’?
- What is comparable? a false question?
- The ‘minimal’ (or ‘elementary’) comparative statement
- The logical analysis of the ‘minimal’ comparative statement
- The conceptual construction of the comparison
- The incomparability of objects
- Objects, properties, values/statuses
- Do we need more than one object to compare?
- Objects, properties, value/statuses, time
- Conclusion: the conceptual construction of comparisons

8) From elementary to causal comparisons
- From the ‘minimal/elementary’ comparison to the ‘causal’ comparison
- The logical analysis of the ‘causal’ comparative statement
- Hypotheses: selection, formulation and conceptual dimension
- Hypothesis as relationships among properties of objects
- Reflection about alternative hypotheses
- Bases for choice/exclusion of hypotheses: division of labour, coeteris paribus clauses, existing literature
- The hypothesis:
  formulation (relationships among properties)
  selection: reflection about alternative hypotheses: bases for their exclusion: division of labour, coeteris paribus clauses, existing literature results, etc.
  control

9) How to compare. Concept formation
- Concept formation
- ‘Observational’ and theoretical concepts
- Concept treatment: definitional procedures
- Lexicographic definitions
- Vagueness and ambiguity
- Conceptual intension and extension
- Level of abstraction

10) How to compare: Concept ‘measurement’
- Concept operationalization
- Direct and indirect operationalization
- Indicators
- The ‘validity’ and ‘reliability’ of indicators
- The impoverishment of operationalization
- Operationalization: from concepts to variables (nominal, ordinal and cardinal (quantitative) measurement)
- Conclusion: the complex relationship between theory and empirical research

11) How to compare: classificatory and typological treatment of concepts (The ‘nominal’ measurement)
- The two meanings of ‘classification’
- The criteria of good classification
- Classification as a mental prerequisite? More or less of what?
- Typologies
- Too many types and too few typologies?
- Types as implicit typologies.
- Making explicit the implicit typologies
- Reducing the combination of values/statuses: logical, frequential and pragmatic reduction
- The ‘ideal-type’: an useful instrument?

12) Strategies for controlling the truthiness of HPs
- Causal relations and the process of research
- Reduction of the potential sources of variation: division of labour; heuristic assumptions (coeteris paribus), hypotheses formulation

13) The logic of control: parametrisation
- The logic of parametrisation in science
- Experimental parametrisation
- Statistical parametrisation (covariation)
- Qualitative techniques of parametrisation:
  - Argumentation
  - Inadequacy of alternative HPs
  - Supporting evidence and illustrative examples
  - Counterfactual mental experiments
- Comparative parametrisation of potential causal conditions
14) Necessary and sufficient conditions analysis
   - Necessary and sufficient causal conditions
   - The truth tables
   - Logic based on the effects
   - Logic based on the causes
   - The argument of the sufficient cause
   - The argument of the necessary cause
   - ‘Law-like’ statements and frequential statements
   - Bivariate and multi-variate analysis

15) Conclusion: the existence of a comparative method (as opposed to the experimental/statistical)
   - Problems of the comparative parametrisation of potential causal conditions

16) Strategy of research and case selection
   - Units of analysis and cases of analysis
   - Mental data matrix:
     - time dimension (synchronic versus diachronic)
     - space dimension: from one to many cases (more or less extensive strategy)
     - property dimension: (more or less intensive strategy)

17) From ‘units’ of analysis to ‘cases’
   - Temporal and spatial units
   - The choice of the ‘number’ and ‘type’ of units
   - The choice of the number of properties
   - Cases ‘representative’ of the variation in the causes(independent variables) or in the effects (dependent variables)
   - ‘Reasoned’ non-representative choice of cases in order to maximise or minimise the variance
   - Homogeneity-non-homogeneity in causes, effect and contexts variables
   - Why this choice?
   - With which relations with the definition of the problem?
   - With which implications for the strategies of control of the HP?
   - Choice of cases: which ones

18) The case study: does it exist?
   - Case study
   - A-theoretical, descriptive
   - Interpretative, hypotheses generating
   - Explicative
   - Theory controlling
   - Deviant-case study

19) A neglected problem in comparative research: temporal units
   - Temporal and spatial units
   - The definition of temporal units
   - Types of diachronic comparisons
   - Developmental versus synchronic generalisation in comparative research
   - Multi-co linearity in space and time
   - A possible strategy combining space and time variation to maximise control

20) Conclusion: Paradigms, schools, sects and the comparative method
Readings


