Foundations of International Relations Theory

Seminar, 1st term 2015-2016

Organized by Jennifer Welsh

Please register online

Contact: Martina.Selmi@eui.eu

Description
This course focuses on ideas about, and explanations of, international relations, concentrating mainly (but not exclusively) on the major theoretical approaches in the academic study of international relations since 1945. The key theories and approaches to be examined include: realism and neo-realism; theories about war, security and the use of force in international relations; classical liberalism, globalization, and transformation in world politics; theories about inter-state co-operation and transnationalism; the concept of international society; constructivism and the impact of law and norms in international relations; neo-Marxist and critical theory approaches to international relations; and normative theory and international ethics. The seminar should be seen as a core on which students build, not as complete coverage of all developments in the subject. It seeks to provide not only an overview of the key theoretical debates, but also a ‘toolkit’ of concepts that students can use to analyze international relations. Throughout the course, an emphasis will be placed on the need to marry empirical analysis and normative reflection in the study of international relations.

Seminar Content
The ten topics this term are:
1. Theorizing in International Relations
2. Traditions of thought about International Relations
3. Classical Realism
4. Neo-realism
5. Liberalism and Liberal Institutionalism
6. Constructivism
7. International Society
8. Critical Theory and Post Structuralism
10. TBD (to be discussed with course participants)

Requirements
The seminar is taught in two-hour sessions. At each meeting there are two short oral presentations by students on the topic questions (of no more than 10-15 minutes), followed by discussion. Normally, these are circulated to the whole class just prior to the sessions. Students who wish to take this seminar for credit must meet Department attendance requirements, complete all required readings, and participate actively in seminar discussions. This seminar is worth 20 credits.

Students have the option of writing a term paper for the class, and if they wish to take this option they should discuss their proposed topic with Professor Welsh well before the Department’s due date for term papers. Anyone wishing to audit the class must fulfil all requirements expected of other students.
Those who wish to write a term paper for this seminar should submit it to Professor Welsh by email (with a cc to Martina Selmi). The deadline for the submission of the paper is **15 January 2016**.

**Schedule**

The seminar takes place on **Tuesdays at 11:00-13:00**, in Seminar Room 4 in the Badia, and will run from **Tuesday 6th October to Tuesday 8th December**. The full list of the seminar sessions is the following:

1. **Session 1**: Tuesday 6th October
2. **Session 2**: Tuesday 13th October
3. **Session 3**: Tuesday 20th October
4. **Session 4**: Tuesday 27th October
5. **Session 5**: Tuesday 3rd November
6. **Session 6**: Tuesday 10th November
7. **Session 7**: Tuesday 17th November
8. **Session 8**: Tuesday 24th November
9. **Session 9**: Tuesday 1st December
10. **Session 10**: Tuesday 8th December

**Readings**

**General background readings**


*Please note that we are reading a number of chapters from the Oxford Handbook of International Relations denoted OHIR below and you therefore might wish to purchase a copy of the book.*


Burchill, Scott, Andrew Linklater (eds.), *Theories of International Relations* 3rd edn. 2005.


***NOTE: All readings in bold are required readings for each session, other readings are recommended.***

**Session 1: Tuesday October 6th, 2015**

**What it means to do IR Theory**

This seminar deals with questions of theory at a general level. The questions raised here have no definitive answers but are subject to on-going contention and evolution in the field – and in the course.

1. Is it possible to do “science” in international relations?
2. What is (or should be) the relation between the practical/empirical and the conceptual/theoretical in IR theory?

Christian Reus-Smit and Duncan Snidal. “Between Utopia and Reality: The Practical Discourses of IR.”, OHIR, Chapter 1

Peter Katzenstein & Rudy Sil. “Eclectic Theorizing in the Study and Practice of IR.” OHIR, Chapter 6

Patrick Jackson, The Conduct of Inquiry in International Relations. Chapters 1, 2 and 7

Kenneth Waltz, Theory of International Politics (1979), chs. 1,4


Hollis, Martin & Steve Smith, Explaining and Understanding International Relations (1991)


Session 2: Tuesday October 13th, 2015

Traditions of thought about international relations

This class will begin by examining the thought (and subsequent reception) of perhaps the most widely cited classical theorist of the post-Cold War era, Immanuel Kant. It will then consider the merits and problems of approaching the study of international relations through the study of traditions.

1. Was Kant a ‘Kantian’?

2. What problems are involved in the construction of traditions or patterns of thought in international relations?

Question 1


Habermas, J., ‘Human rights, international law and the global order: Cosmopolitanism 200 years later: Kant's idea of perpetual peace, with the benefit of 200 years' hindsight’, in Bohman, J., and Lutz-Bachman, M. Perpetual Peace: Essays on Kant's Cosmopolitan Ideas (1997)

Hurrell, Andrew, ‘Kant and the Kantian Paradigm in International Relations’, Review of International Studies 16 (July 1990)


Clark, Ian and Neumann, Iver (eds.), Classical Theories of International Relations (1996), chapters 1 and 4

Doyle, Michael, ‘Kant, Liberal Legacies and Foreign Affairs’ Philosophy and Public Affairs, volume 12, 3 and 4 (Summer and Fall 1983). Also his Ways of War and Peace (1997), chapter 8

Jahn, Beate ed., Classical Theories of International Relations (Cambridge: CUP, 2006), chapters 1, 3, 4 and 8


Question 2


Beitz, Charles, *Political Theory and International Relations* (1979), part 1


Session 3: Tuesday, October 20th, 2015

Realism

This seminar discusses the general realist understanding of international order and its critique of interwar idealism.

1. What are the primary arguments that Carr mounts against the liberals of the interwar period?

2. How does Morgenthau generate his “laws” of international politics, and what do they consist of?


Wohlforth, William, ‘Realism’, OHIR, Chapter 7

Donnelly, Jack, ‘The Ethics of Realism’, OHIR, Chapter 8

Smith, Michael, *Realist Thought from Weber to Kissinger* (1986)
Session 4: Tuesday, October 27th, 2015

Neo-realism

This seminar discusses the differences between classical realism and neo or structural realism, and the nature of neo-realism’s explanatory approach. It also deals with the particular neo-realist focus on the balance of power.

1. “For classical realists conflict stems from human nature, while for neo-realisits conflict stems from the nature of the international system”. Is this an accurate assessment of the differences between classical and neo-realisits?

2. Does the “balance of threat” approach (Walt) undermine the scientific aspirations of neo-realism?

**Question 1**

Waltz, Kenneth, *Theory of International Politics* (1979), esp. chs. 5-6
Shimko, Keith, “Realism, Neorealism and American Liberalism”, *Review of Politics*, vol. 54. 2 (Spring 1992)
Niebuhr, R., *Moral Man and Immoral Society* (1932), esp. ch. 4
Rose, Gideon, “Neoclassical Realism and Theories of Foreign Policy”, *World Politics*, vol. 51, no. 1 (October, 1998)

**Question 2**

Vasquez, John A., 'The realist paradigm and degenerative versus progressive research programs', *American Political Science Review*, 91.4, Dec 1997 and responses in same issue

Session 5: Tuesday, November 3rd, 2015

Liberalism and Institutionalism

This seminar will look at classical liberalism and its account of war and change in international relations and contrast these writings with contemporary analytical liberalism. It will also examine liberal institutionalism’s response to neo-realism and its analysis of inter-state cooperation and the origin of institutions.

1. To what extent does Moravcsik transform liberalism from an ideology into a theory?

2. How successful is institutionalism in explaining international cooperation?
Question 1


Simpson, Gerry. ‘The Ethics of the New Liberalism.’ OHIR Chapter 14


Long, David and Peter Wilson, *Thinkers of the Twenty Years Crisis* (1995)


Question 2

Baldwin, David A., (ed.), *Neorealism and Neoliberalism: The Contemporary Debate* (1993), esp. chs. 1,2,4 and 11

Stein, Arthur, ‘Neoliberal Institutionalism’ OHIR, Chapter 11

James Richardson “Ethics of Neoliberal Institutionalism.” OHIR, Chapter 12

Keohane, Robert, *After Hegemony: Co-operation and Discord in the World Political Economy* (1984), chs. 4-6


Hasenclever, Andrea, Peter Mayer and Volker Rittberger, *Theories of International Regimes* (pb. 2004)


Ruggie, John, *Constructing the World Polity* (1998), Part I
Session 6: Tuesday, November 10th, 2015

Constructivism

This seminar will assess the contributions of constructivism to the theory of international relations, particularly through the “state centric” approach of Wendt. It will also examine constructivist claims about the origins, evolution, and impact of norms in international relations.

1. How convincing is the argument that “anarchy is what states make of it”?

2. What are “norms” in international relations, and how do they matter?

Question 1


Hurd, Ian, ‘Constructivism’, *OHIR*, Chapter 17.


Boli, John and George Thomas (eds.), *Constructing World Culture* (1999)


Wendt, Alex, *Social Theory of International Relations* (1999), esp. Introduction, chs. 3 and 6


Question 2


Kratochwil, F., ‘How Do Norms Matter?’ in Michael Byers, ed., *The Role of Law in International Politics: Essays in International Relations and International Law* (2000), ch. 3

Tannenwald, Nina, *The Nuclear Taboo: The United States and the non-use of nuclear weapons since 1945* (2007), Introduction, Chapters 1 and 2

Hurrell, Andrew ‘Norms and Ethics in International Relations’ in Carlsnaes et al, *Handbook of International Relations*
International Society

This seminar will introduce the concept of “international society” (its foundations, its membership, and its primary institutions). It will also examine whether/how “English School” theorizing about international society has contributed to historical understanding of the evolution of international society.

1. In what ways do international society approaches represent a challenge to realism?

2. Does English School theorizing help us understand the evolution and expansion of international society?

**Question 1**


Cochran, Molly, ‘The Ethics of the English School.’ *OHIR*, Chapter 16

Dunne, Tim, ‘The English School.’ *OHIR*, Chapter 15


**Question 2**
Session 8: Tuesday, November 24th, 2015

Critical Theory and Poststructuralism

1. What is “critical” about Critical Theory? Can it reveal progressive possibilities immanent within the society of states?

2. How can poststructuralism’s concern with subjectivity, identity, power, and discourse connect to the categories and concerns of IR?

Question 1


Shapcott, Richard, ‘Critical Theory’, *OHIR*, Chapter 19

Eckersley, Robyn ‘The Ethics of Critical Theory’, *OHIR*, Chapter 20

Question 2


Burke, Anthony, ‘Postmodernism’, *OHIR*

Lawler, Peter, ‘The Ethics of Postmodernism’, *OHIR*

Booth, Ken, Steve Smith and Marysia Zalewski (eds.), *International Theory: Positivism and Beyond* (1996), Part IV
Normative Theory and International Ethics

This seminar will address ethical approaches to understanding international relations. The discussion will focus on two issues that have been central to normative theorizing: how justice claims are grounded; and the right and/or duty of humanitarian intervention.

1. How can claims about international justice be grounded?

2. Is humanitarian intervention a legitimate exception to the general prohibition on the use of force in international relations?

**Question 1**


Bell, Duncan, ed., *Ethics and World Politics* (2010), chapters 1, 4 and 8

Nardin, Terry, ‘International Ethics.’ *OHIR*, Chapter 34


Miller, David, *National Responsibility and Global Justice* (2007), Chapters 1, 2 and 9


**Question 2**

Holzgrefe, J.L., and Keohane, R.O., *Humanitarian Intervention: Ethical, Legal and Political Dilemmas* (2003), esp. chs 1, 3, 7, and 8

Pattison, James, *Humanitarian Intervention and the Responsibility to Protect* (2010), Chapter 1, 2, 3 and 7

Don E. Scheid (ed.), *The Ethics of Armed Humanitarian Intervention* (2014), chapters TBD

Welsh, Jennifer (ed.), *Humanitarian Intervention and International Relations* (2004). Especially Introduction and Conclusion and Part One


**Session 10: Tuesday, December 8th, 2015**

(TBD)

*Last updated 30.07.2015*