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Description
The purpose of this workshop is to explore the meaning and utility of the concept ideology. Particularly, we will reflect on the relation between a holistic understanding of ideology that was developed in various branches of neo-Marxist thinking one the one hand, and a narrower understanding that is used in more positivist research on the other hand. Are they fundamentally separate ideas or just gradually different? Can they be reconciled, or are they incommensurable? Was it fruitful to abandon the holistic conception of ideology in mainstream Political Science and International Relations, or is there promise in a revival of a more fundamental understanding or critique of ideology? If yes, how can such approaches communicate their importance to the mainstream of the field?

However, what do we think of when we speak of ideology? In 1989, Francis Fukuyama proclaimed the end of history and thereby also the end of ideology as a relevant analytical category. The big struggle between capitalism and communism had allegedly ended with the victory of the former. Subsequent political conflict was presumably post-ideological. This has been a pervasive idea that influenced academic and non-academic thinking about politics and international relations over the past decades. In the wake of this, the concept of ideology has played a marginalized role in the mainstream of these fields, and a role that arguably conflicts with its initial purpose.

For example, the term ideology does figure in research on political behaviour or party politics. Yet, their definition of the concept covers only the least common denominator and does depart substantially from the more fundamental understanding of ideology found in various (neo-)Marxist approaches. In mainstream Political Science, the concept was translated into positivist empirical research by referring only to explicitly held beliefs about the world. Generally, the aim is to situate actor’s beliefs in an ideological space (for example a left-right scale) and use this positioning as a potential explanation for their behaviour.

This stands in opposition to an earlier understanding of ideology that goes back to Marx and others. There is a wide range of critical, post-structuralist, neo-Marxist, and other scholars who have developed the notion in many different directions (for example, Slavoj Žižek, Michel Foucault, Theodor Adorno, etc). What they have in common is that ideology is not a variable amongst others, but a pervasive category that
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It precedes many other variables. It is a precondition for actors to navigate and act in the social and political world, both on the structural and individual level.

To give an example, before an actor acts purposively in the world, he needs to interpret the world, its different parts, and his position within. Ideology serves this need and, understood in this way, it neither needs to be explicit, conscious, nor all the way coherent. Nor can ideology be seen as a variable that acts on the same level as, for example, economic or material factors. The interpretation of economic or material factors is already influenced by ideology. In that sense, the study of ideology would ask why actors think in terms of economic or material factors, and how do they interpret them.

Duration
10 hours

Requirements
This workshop is worth 10 credits. In order to be credited for this workshop, participants are required to read all the materials, participate in the discussions and act as a discussant for one of the sessions.

Everybody is welcome to attend without taking credit points.

Readings

For the first session – Ideology: A Conceptual and Theoretical Toolkit

For the second session – Is Ideology a Useful Analytical Concept?

For the third session – Nationalism: Thick or Thin Ideology?

For the fourth session – The Role of Ideology in Mass Political Violence
**Schedule**
The workshop takes place on **Monday 23 and Tuesday 24 May.**

**Monday 23 May**
- **10.00 – 10.30** Introductory remarks and coffee
- **10.30 – 12.30** Ideology: A Conceptual and Theoretical Toolkit  
  Jonathan Maynard, University of Oxford
- **12.30 – 14.00** *Lunch break*
- **14.00 – 16.00** Is Ideology a Useful Analytical Concept?  
  Siniša Malešević, University College Dublin
- **16.15** Drinks reception

**Tuesday 24 May**
- **10:30 – 12:30** Nationalism: Thick or Thin Ideology?  
  Siniša Malešević, University College Dublin
- **12:30 – 14:00** *Lunch break*
- **14:00 – 16:00** The Role of Ideology in Mass Political Violence  
  Jonathan Maynard, University of Oxford
- **16:00 – 16:30** *Coffee break*
- **16:30 – 18:30** Ideology in International Relations  
  Jennifer Welsh, European University Institute