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Foreword

The MWP celebrated its tenth anniversary in 2016. As is indicated by the record number of applications to the Max Weber Programme in that year, 1,356 applicants from 98 countries, the MWP has truly established itself as one of the world's most attractive postdoctoral programmes. That esteem seems fully justified given the high satisfaction of the 2017/18 cohort of Fellows with the Programme shown in our assessment surveys and their incredible success on the job market, with only four Fellows without a job by the end of the Programme in August 2018. As is detailed below, that success largely rests on the MWP being a genuine Programme, which offers Fellows training in all features of an academic career, from publishing and presenting their research, to teaching, organising conferences and workshops and perhaps above all being collegial and supporting each other. The multidisciplinary nature of the Programme and the existence of a critical mass of Fellows has a great deal to do with this success. It means the Fellows are mutually supportive rather than competitive, given few if any will be targeting the same jobs or journals, and learn to appreciate and learn from each other's work and approach. They also form a global network of Fellows, which has become increasingly important for their future success after leaving the EUI. In sum, the MWP has lived up to its aim to shape a new kind of global academic. Of course, that does not mean there are no improvements to be made. We take the criticisms and suggestions of Fellows seriously and have made a number of changes for the coming year with regard to the Lectures and some of the Groups that we hope will address a number of the issues raised by Fellows below.

As ever, it has been a privilege to work with the brilliant Fellows and the wonderful Max Weber team, whose efforts are once again acknowledged fulsomely by the Fellows in the surveys. Like them, I too am indebted to their hard work and cheerful collaboration, which is itself a model for us all to emulate.

Richard Bellamy
Director, Max Weber Programme
1. APPLICATIONS FOR THE 2017-2018 MAX WEBER FELLOWSHIPS

The Max Weber Programme celebrated its 10th anniversary in 2016. A very high number of applications aptly marked this milestone. The Programme received 1,356 applications by 25 October 2016, a large increase compared with the previous year (1,023) and a record according to the Programme’s history.

The increase in applications came from all regions in the world with the exception of Oceania. Additionally, the worldwide reach of the Programme is highlighted by the fact we received applications from nationals of 98 different countries. The largest group of applicants was Italian (183), followed by US American (171), British (98), Turkish (69), German (62), Canadian (59), French (52), Indian (50), Greek, Israeli, Rumanian, Spanish (29), Polish (28), Russian (24), Brazilian, Chinese, Iranian, and Dutch (21), with the remaining nationalities under 20 applications each (Fig.2).
The gender of the applicants is slightly skewed in favour of males (56%). Once we break down the distribution by departments we notice that applications to the Department of Law are the most gender balanced (52/48%) while those to Economics are the most imbalanced in favour of males (Fig.3).

Confirming a long lasting trend, the departments of Political and Social Sciences (SPS) and History and Civilization (HEC) together received more than half of the total number of applications with 41 and 29 per cent respectively. Economics and Law followed with around 10% each, whilst the Robert Schuman Centre received the smallest share of applications at 8% of the total (Fig.4).
As in the two previous calls, applicants had the opportunity to choose a Thematic Research Group (TRG) as an interdisciplinary forum to discuss their common research interests. Alternatively they could opt out of the thematic frame. The largest group of applicants opted for the latter (32%). Among the TRGs, four received a fairly balanced share of applications within the range of 15-18 percent, whilst only 4% of the applicants picked the Tommaso Padoa Schioppa TRG (Fig.5).

The composition of preferences for a TRG by department shows that each TRG attracts from all disciplines, although some received more applications from a given discipline (Fig.6). In particular, the economists show a strong preference for either the Padoa Schioppa TRG or opting out altogether. Applicants to Law instead opt mostly for two groups: Governance Constitutionalism and Democracy or Legal, Political and Social Theory. Applicants to HEC and SPS instead cover a greater span of themes.
2. MAX WEBER FELLOWS: THE 2017-2018 COHORT

The Programme awarded 53 Fellowships making the success rate about 4%. In total the cohort consisted of 64 Fellows, of whom 11 carried on their Fellowship from the previous year.

The average age of the Max Weber Fellows in 2017-2018 was 32.5 years and 55% of Fellows were males. The Department of Political and Social Sciences (SPS) received a larger share of Fellowships than the other departments (Fig.8), and it attracted the largest number of applications. Twenty-four of those offered a Fellowship withdrew before registration in September. They were either offered tenure track positions or longer fellowships elsewhere.

Differently from the general trend, this year SPS was the department most affected by withdrawals (Fig.10), followed by ECO and marginally by the Robert Shuman Centre.
The 2017-2018 cohort of Max Weber Fellows included 27 different nationalities, confirming the global character of the Programme. The predominance of Italian (14) and German (8) Fellows was marked.

Equally global and diverse is the list of institutions to award PhDs to the 2017-2018 cohort, spreading from Europe to North America and Australia. Once again British academic institutions lead the ranking with 16 Fellows obtaining their PhDs in the UK, followed by the US (12) and Italy (9.5).
MAX WEBER FELLOWS BY INSTITUTIONAL PROVENANCE AND COUNTRY OF INSTITUTION, 2017-2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Institution</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>Université Libre de Bruxelles</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia (1)</td>
<td>University of Melbourne</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium (1)</td>
<td>University of Antwerp</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic (1)</td>
<td>CERGE-EI, Prague</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France (7.5)</td>
<td>CREST, Paris</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecole des hautes études en sciences sociales</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecole Polytechnique, Université Paris-Saclay</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Paris School of Economics</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SciencesPo Paris</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Toulouse School of Economics</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (1)</td>
<td>Goethe University Frankfurt</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece (2)</td>
<td>University of Athens</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Crete</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary (1)</td>
<td>Central European University</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ireland (2)</td>
<td>Dublin City University</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Trinity College Dublin</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy (9.5)</td>
<td>Bocconi University Milan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Istituto Italiano di Science (SUM)-Scuola Normale Superiore (SNS)</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Bologna</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Florence</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Milan</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Trento</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slovenia(1)</td>
<td>University of Maribor</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain (1)</td>
<td>University of Valencia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden (2)</td>
<td>Lund University</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Gothenburg</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland (4)</td>
<td>Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Geneva</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Zurich</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands (1)</td>
<td>University of Amsterdam</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey (1)</td>
<td>Ankara University</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. MAX WEBER FELLOWS AND THE JOB MARKET

The 2017-2018 cohort had a 91.5% success rate on the job market over the year: 43 Fellows had moved on to new jobs by September 2018 (42 academic jobs, 1 non-academic job), 17 started their second year in the Fellowship and 4 were still on the job market (Fig.12). Among those moving to new jobs, 23 went to a country different from the one where they received their PhD and 20 moved to the same country of the awarding institution, confirming that the Fellowship enhances the mobility of young academics, one of the aims of the Programme.
Max Weber Programme Activities 2017-2018

1. ACADEMIC PRACTICE
The activities of the Max Weber Programme are concentrated around two core themes: Academic Practice and Multidisciplinary Research. Over the years of the Programme, the objectives of the activities have become more structured and focused. An important lesson has been that the practice skills are best learned when working with individuals, and thus a strong focus on tutorials and individual feedback based on different needs is now a central part of the Programme. The research activities, on the other hand, demand a multidisciplinary focus and a strong level of participation from as many Fellows as possible to reach their aim of enhancing and fostering multidisciplinary understanding.

ACADEMIC PRACTICE ACTIVITIES
Based on the experience gained in the first few years, the Academic Practice activities programme is organised into three Modules: i) Job Market ii) Publishing and Writing and iii) Teaching. The modules overlap in time to allow for continuity and follow-up sessions on activities within the discipline groups (Academic Practice Groups) and the Academic Communication Skills support, offered within the programme throughout the academic year.

Job Market Module
The Max Weber Programme actively supports Fellows seeking an academic position. First, in addition to the workshops in which Fellows discuss and develop their CVs, cover letters, bio-sketches and web pages, they also share information and discuss job market strategies in their fields. Second, Fellows receive professional feedback on their presentation and interviewing skills. Mock interviews are filmed and assessed by professionals and provide Fellows with the opportunity for further individual self-assessment on interview techniques. An increasingly vital part of the job market is self-presentation on the internet. To assist the Fellows in presenting themselves and their research in the best possible way, the MWP offers all Fellows the opportunity to construct their own website. A special CMS system has been set up which is very easy for Fellows to use. The CMS offers a space where they can upload their publications, their research agendas and their teaching experience and present themselves in a professional manner (↗ http://www.mwpweb.eu/). Max Weber Fellows can take their personal websites to their new academic institutions when their Fellowship comes to an end.

The MWP is also a platform for obtaining information about, and reflecting on, the current state of the academic job market. In particular, the Academic Careers Observatory (ACO) offers a unique resource for researchers looking for a job in academia and, in general, for people interested in the international comparison of academic careers.

The 2017-2018 MWP activities on the job market were:
• September presentations, filmed and followed up with individual feedback sessions by the EUI Academic Communications Skills Staff (English Unit)
• Early bird job market sessions for those applying in the US (with Laurie Anderson, MWP)
• Departmental presentations by Fellows in seminars
• Job market session with ACO and the MWP Team
• Advancing a personal Academic File with a draft Research Grant Proposal and a new Course syllabus outline
• Mock interviews by EUI Faculty and Fellows, with direct feedback from EUI Faculty
• Engagement with Academic Careers Observatory activities
• Job talks by the Fellows, with feedback from MWP peers and EUI Faculty
• Fellows’ June Conference: organization and on-going research presentations

The Max Weber Programme proves to be very successful in the placement of its Fellows in an increasingly competitive academic job market: of the 2017-2018 Max Weber Fellows all but 5 Fellows moved on to an academic position following their Max Weber Fellowship.

Publishing and Writing Module
The MWP considers writing and publishing a core element of academic advancement. Two sets of activities are carried out to support the Fellows in this area; the workshops organised by the Programme and the writing activities offered by the EUI Academic Communications Skills staff (English Unit)/FIESOLE Group. The activities are designed not only to assist non-native Fellows in fine-tuning their English language skills but also to support the writing process...
The activities are organised into three components: i) an academic writing course, offered in the First Term, ii) individual tutorials and iii) disciplinary writers’ groups, the latter two continuing throughout the year. The EUI Language Unit and the Max Weber Programme also offer the Fellows an extensive language revision service. English language revision is offered to all Fellows for their publications and working papers. Fellows can also use the revision service for their Power Point slides, CVs and cover letters.

The 2017-2018 activities on publishing and writing were:

- Workshop, ‘Research and Grant application: how to write a research proposal’ (with UCL Faculty)
- Workshop, ‘Inside the journal editing process: A roundtable with journal editors’ (with MWP Director Richard Bellamy and EUI Faculty)
- Workshop ‘Writing a book proposal, general session and individual tutorials’ (with Richard Fisher, former director CUP, and Sarah Caro, Princeton UP)
- Research Grant Proposal (with written feedback from EUI Faculty)
- MWP Working Paper, to be published in Cadmus (with written feedback from EUI mentor)
- Taught module, ‘Draft to Submission’
- Writers’ Groups, both by discipline and interdisciplinary
- Individual tutorials on written work: research proposal, working paper, book proposal, course syllabus, job talks, PowerPoint presentations, etc.

### Teaching Module

The Max Weber Programme aims to improve and develop standards of excellence in Fellows’ teaching skills. Completion of the Max Weber Teaching Module gives the Fellows the Max Weber Teaching Certificate. The Max Weber Teaching Certificate is a valuable addition to Fellows’ academic profile. It is worth 3 points in the European Credit Transfer System (ECTS).

It is designed to enhance their ability to respond flexibly and professionally to the teaching and learning needs of today’s increasingly diverse higher education scene. Fellows who elect to do the Certificate will take part in an innovative programme culminating in teaching practice weeks at top European universities (Humboldt, Masaryk University, Natolin College of Europe, Pompeu Fabra, Trinity College Dublin, University College London and University of Florence).

Hands-on workshops designed to foster a reflective, transnational approach to the teaching/learning process lead up to this teaching experience abroad. In order to obtain a [Max Weber Programme Teaching Certificate](#), a Fellow must have successfully completed the prescribed academic practice activities organized by the MWP.

The 2017-2018 MWP activities on Teaching were:

- Preparatory meetings for the Humboldt, UPF and LSE Teaching Exchanges (David Bowskill from Humboldt University in Berlin, Silvia Ruiz Babot from UPF Barcelona, Libor Stepanekl from Masaryk University and Sarah O’Brien from Trinity College Dublin)
• Workshop ‘Introduction to Teaching Portfolio’ with Lynn McAlpine (Oxford)
• Workshop, ‘Curriculum and Course Development’ with Lynn McAlpine (Oxford)
• Workshop, ‘Learning outcomes and strategies’ with Lynn McAlpine (Oxford)
• Workshop, ‘Developing reflective practice: Approaches to classroom observation’ with Laurie Anderson (MWP)
• Workshop and individual feedback on the micro-teaching sessions with Lynn McAlpine (University of Oxford)
• Workshop, ‘Teaching at University from a cross-cultural perspective’ with Mireia Trenchs (UPF), followed by tutorials for UPF teaching exchange Fellows
• Workshop, ‘Examining supervision: an individual and collective responsibility’ with Lynn McAlpine (Oxford)
• UPF Teaching Exchange
• Humboldt Teaching Exchange
• UCL Teaching Exchange
• Masaryk Teaching Exchange
• Trinity College Teaching Exchange

Actual teaching by Fellows is not a MWP requirement but taking into account that Fellows arrive with differing teaching experience, and that teaching methods differ across fields and university systems, the MWP offers different options for gaining practical teaching experience. This supports Fellows in their search for an academic position. It is the strategy of the Max Weber Programme to offer opportunities not only outside the EUI (where undergraduate teaching is possible) but also within the EUI.

Within the EUI

Where mainly research-oriented seminars, master classes and workshops are ‘taught’, there is widespread opportunity to gain teaching experience at a high post-graduate level. Post-graduate teaching, tutoring and advising PhD researchers, as well as co-organising seminars and workshops, are activities very much appreciated by Max Weber Fellows. Fellows are also put in charge of organizing some of the summer schools for European MA students in the Social Sciences. The intended status of Max Weber Fellows as junior faculty is a core strategy of the Max Weber Programme. Departments hold Fellows’ seminars on a regular basis. Considering the standards of excellence of the Max Weber Programme, and the highly selective appointment of its Fellows, a systematic collaboration between EUI Professors and the MWP postdoctoral Fellows promotes the European and global academic reputation of all Departments and the appeal of the EUI as a whole.
Local Universities  
Over the past ten years, the MWP has expanded its network of collaboration with local universities and has established links with many of the Florence-based American campuses, and Italian Universities offering undergraduate or MA level courses. Among these are James Madison University, Gonzaga University, New York University at La Pietra, FIT/Polimoda and IMT Lucca. Max Weber Fellows are offered teaching and/or lecturing opportunities at several of these universities. The MA in European Union Policy Studies offered by James Madison University in Florence, for example, guarantees priority in the selection process to Max Weber Fellows with competences in line with James Madison University’s teaching needs. Courses can also be team-taught. Fellows are requested to use state-of-the-art, interactive, teaching methods and are monitored and supervised, in order to improve their performance. Grading methods and tutoring of papers, as well as assessment skills, are developed in conjunction with the academic coordinator. Fellows receive professional feedback on their performance and an overall written evaluation of their teaching skills by the end of each course. For an overview see:  

Teaching Abroad  
In 2008, the Max Weber Programme set up a ‘teaching abroad’ programme with the London School of Economics in which Max Weber Fellows were offered the possibility of a week’s teaching experience. In May 2008 five Fellows visited the LSE where they each gave a public lecture and a seminar and received professional feedback on their performance from Nick Byrne, Director, and Neil McLean, Professor, at the LSE Teaching and Learning Centre. In addition, the Fellows had meetings with LSE faculty members in their fields. Based on the success of this experience the Max Weber Programme continued the development of this exchange and in 2009 a selected group of sixteen Fellows had the opportunity to go either to the LSE in London or to Humboldt University in Berlin, for intensive teaching training practice for a week. For the academic year 2009-2010 an agreement was made between the EUI-MWP and the University of Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona, to set up a teaching exchange for a maximum of another eight Max Weber Fellows. In the academic year of 2014-2015, a total of twenty-five Max Weber Fellows that participated and in the academic year 2015-2016 a total of twenty-three Max Weber Fellows participated in a teaching practice week and obtained a Teacher Training Certificate as a result. In the academic year of 2016-2017 twenty-two Max Weber Fellows participated in the teaching module and teaching practice week, and obtained the Teacher Training Certificate. This year we extended our network for teaching placements of Fellows to UCL in London, Trinity College in Dublin, and Masaryk University in Brno, nine Max Weber Fellows organised their own teaching practice in Florence, both at the EUI and at other local institutions. In 2017-2018 twenty-seven Fellows chose to complete the teaching module and were awarded the MWP Teaching Certificate on 20 June.

On the Trinity College exchange  
The exchange took place from 9-15 March 2018. One Fellow went to Trinity College Dublin: Vivian Gerrand (RSC).

On the Masaryk exchange  
The exchange took place from 19-23 March 2018. Seven Fellows went to Masaryk University: Cyrille Thiébaut (SPS), Jamil Mouawad (RSCAS), Tatyana Zhuravleva (ECO), Carolin Schmitz (HEC), Marta Morvillo (LAW), Giulia Maria Dotti Sani (SPS) and Mario Quaranta (SPS).

On the UPF Exchange  
The UPF-MWP, Barcelona teaching exchange took place from 14-18 May 2018, and was set up in collaboration with the coordinators of the MWP exchange at UPF, Pau Solà, Marina Muñoz and Lucia Gil Royuela, of the Teaching Quality and Innovation Center (CQUID) and the Dean of the Faculty of Humanities, Mireia Trenchs. All Fellows taught a couple of sessions within the undergraduate courses and each gave a talk on a topic related to their research. They were also in contact with MA and PhD students and professors of the respective faculties and departments hosting their teaching. Eight Fellows went to UPF: Iakovos Iakovidis (LAW), Zbigniew Grzegorz Truchlewski (SPS), Seetha Menon (ECO), Per Fredrik Andersson (SPS), Denis Gorea (ECO), Francesca Lagioia (LAW), Christos Tsakas (HEC) and Leonardo Ariel Carrió Cataldi (HEC).

On the Humboldt exchange  
The exchange took place from 14-18 May 2018, and was set up by agreement between the Max Weber Programme and the English Department of the Language Centre, Humboldt University, Berlin. The Humboldt staff responsible for the organisation of the module were Connie Hacke and David Bowskill. The module included three components: class observation (prior to teaching), MWP Fellows observed the group they would be giving the tutorial to during a language class (English for Specific Purposes), the colleague teaching the class afterwards discussed all technical and other details of the tutorial to be taught by the Fellow; tutorial, three convenors observed the tutorial
and gave feedback to the Fellow, students filled out feedback forms which were discussed in the feedback session, other Fellows attended the tutorial and the feedback session; lecture, MWP Fellows gave an open undergraduate lecture in their own discipline, the convenors attended the lecture and there was a feedback session, other Fellows attended the lecture and the feedback session.

Five Fellows went to Humboldt: Valentin Jentsch (LAW), Gemma Scalise (SPS), Ioanna Hadjiyianni (LAW), Naoko Hosokawa (RSCAS) and Paul McDonough (LAW)

On the UCL exchange
The exchange took place from 14-18 May 2018 and was set up between the Max Weber Programme and the UCL Centre for Languages & International Education (CLIE). This collaboration was led by Jane Skirving, the International Academic Services Coordinator (Arena Lead Fellow) and Rosalind Duhs, the Principal Teaching Fellow UCL Arena Centre for Research-based Education. Several Fellows also had the opportunity to attend an additional workshop, Helping Students Write Better.

Seven Fellows went out to UCL: Saeed Bagheri (LAW), León Castellanos-Jankieicz (LAW), Rajeshwari Mishka Sinha (HEC), Chiara Destri (SPS), Gregor Maučec (LAW), Paul McDonough (LAW) and Tine Gade (RSCAS)

On the Natolin College of Europe exchange
Every year the College of Europe at Natolin invites Max Weber Fellows at the EUI to devise and lead two workshops on historical topics. This collaboration between the Max Weber Programme at the EUI and the European Civilization Chair at the College of Europe is part of a wider cooperation between the two institutions. One Fellow went to teach at Natolin this academic year: Blake Smith (HEC), who taught the workshop Europe and Asia in Economic History: Explaining Divergence and Convergence, on 30 April.

ACADEMIC PRACTICE GROUPS
The discipline-bound Academic Practice Groups were initiated in the second year of the Max Weber Programme. The Practice Groups complement the Practice Workshops and serve as follow-up or preparatory sessions for the existing workshops. Additional topics and themes are also discussed in the groups. The Practice Groups allow for more discussion and in-depth exchange of ideas and experience within the disciplines. It is the Fellows’ experience that the groups help establish very close working and personal ties.

Some of the topics discussed within the Academic Practice Groups 2017-2018 and as a collective were:

- Publishing and refereeing: i) improving our understanding: main journals (publishers) in the relevant field/discipline; ii) effective use of citation indexes; iii) strengths and weaknesses of current peer-review practices and the ethics of peer-reviewing; iv) designing a publishing and refereeing strategy; v) writing a book proposal, etc
- Developing new course curricula: best experiences or practices in teaching
- Ethical issues on sharing knowledge and ideas: being a mentor, and copyrights
- Making a research proposal and strategies for getting funding
- Analysing supervision
2. MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH
The Multidisciplinary research activities encompass the Thematic Research Groups; the Max Weber Lectures, with at least one Lecture linked to each of the TRGs, to which the Lecturer gives a Master Class as well as being interviewed by one or more of the TRG members; the Multidisciplinary Workshops, which are organized by the Fellows themselves; and the June Conference, which is likewise organized by the Fellows and provides a summation of the academic year.

MWP THEMATIC RESEARCH GROUPS
Fellows within a TRG get to present a paper based on their research, have a Master Class with at least one, and sometimes two or even three – in the case of TRGs involving several disciplines – Max Weber lecturers, and to discuss their research proposals. Each group is led by one or more professors.

Citizenship and Migration
Academic lead: Prof. Anna Triandafyllidou (RSC)
This theme had a double focus on citizenship and migration. It looked at issues of political and legal constructions of community, boundaries and membership at local, national and supranational levels and to newest developments (empirical and theoretical) in this field. It also discussed novel trends in the field of migration and mobility. Finally, and most importantly, the theme drew the link between evolving conceptions of citizenship and community with social and economic phenomena of transnationalism and multicultural diversity management in an increasingly mobile and interconnected world.

TRG Group Members: Vivian Gerrand (RSCAS), Naoko Hosokawa (RSCAS), Sergio Lo Iacono (SPS), Carolin Schmitz (HEC) and Giulia Tura (ECO)

Europe in the World • International Relations, International Security, World Politics
Academic leads: Ulrich Krotz (RSC/SPS) and Federico Romero (HEC)
This thematic area brought together cutting edge research in the areas of international relations, international security, and world politics, all broadly defined. It sought to integrate theoretical and conceptual insights with empirical research and political or historical relevance. It involved projects from the disciplines of international relations, political science, history, and law, as well as from related fields, and was both inter- and transdisciplinary. While the cluster focused on the EU and one or more European states, it also embraced innovative projects investigating other geographical regions or states or otherwise engaging with world politics, as well as comparative research. The sorts of topics explored include: Europe’s role and place in the emergent world of 21st century global politics; Europe’s foreign relations broadly, including the EU’s or European states’ evolving relations with the major and emerging powers (including the U.S., China, Russia, India, Brazil), as well as regional and global international organizations; foreign, security, and defense policy; the impact of the grand shifts and continuities in international affairs on Europe itself. The foreign affairs-international security-world politics thematic area was hosted by the programme on Europe in the World within the Global Governance Programme at the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies.

TRG Group Members: Angelo Caglioti (HEC), Ioanna Hadjiyianni (LAW), Marina Henke (SPS), Paul van Hooft (SPS), Robin Markwica (RSCAS), Christos Tsakas (HEC), Stefano Marcuzzi (RSC) and Akisato Suzuki (SPS)

Governance, Constitutionalism and Democracy
Academic leads: Richard Bellamy (MWP) and Gabor Halmai (Law)
This theme addressed national, transnational and supranational political systems in both democratizing and established democratic systems. It looked at issues of political equality, the shift from government to governance, and the relations between law and politics. It combined empirical and normative analysis, and perspectives from history, political science and law.

TRG Group Members: Per Fredrik Andersson (SPS), Hanna Kleider (SPS), Marta Morvillo (LAW), Jamil Mouawad (RSCAS), Bernardo Rangoni (LAW), Line Rennwald (SPS), Veneta Todorova Ivanova (SPS), Clara Rauchegger (LAW), León Castellanos-Jankiewicz (LAW), Gemma Scalice (SPS), Anna Wallerman (LAW), Cyrille Thiébaut (SPS), Mirjam Dageförde (SPS), Matthew Canfield (LAW) and Paul McDonough (LAW)

Legal and Political Theory and History of Ideas
Academic leads: Richard Bellamy (MWP) and Ann Thompson (HEC)
This group brought together researchers with an interest in legal, political and social theory, both past and present. Themes included the nature of law; justification and legitimacy; normative and metaethical theory; including the relationship of law and politics to morality; social and political philosophy and their application to the assessment of public policies and practices. The group provided a forum for interdisciplinary exchange on these and similar themes, and dialogue between those interested in various contemporary theories and/or the history of ideas.
TRG Group Members: Raquel Barradas de Freitas (LAW), Jared Holley (HEC), Francesca Lagioia (LAW), Chiara Destri (SPS), Rajeshwari Mishka Sinha (HEC), Birte Löschenkohl and Liav Orgad (RSCAS)

Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa: Money, Finance and Regulation in Europe (Economics, Politics and History)
Academic leads: Youssef Cassis (RSC/HEC) and Jean Pisani-Ferry (RSC)
This theme is inspired by the work of Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa (1940-2010), a central banker and Minister of the Economy and Finance in Italy (2006-08). He is often credited as the father of the European single currency. The theme brought together economists working on monetary policies, international macroeconomics and finance, economic historians, political economists, and lawyers interested in financial regulation and constitutionalism, to explore issues in these broad areas in which Padoa-Schioppa worked and wrote.

TRG Group Members: Madeleine Dungy (HEC), Francesco Molteni (ECO), Alexandra Fotiou (ECO), Andrea Papadia (RSCAS), Zbigniew Grzegorz Truchlewski (SPS), Tomasz Zawisza (ECO) Joao Rafael Sousa da Cunha (RSCAS) and Aydin Baris Yildirim (RSCAS)

MAX WEBER LECTURES
The 2017-2018 Max Weber Lectures were given by:

Wolfgang Schoen (Director, Max Planck Institute for Tax Law and Public Finance)
His lecture, Taxation and Democracy, took place on 11 October 2017.

Abstract: Since the principle ‘no taxation without representation’ became a formative feature of modern statehood in the 18th century, taxation and democracy seem to sit happily next to each other. This pre-stabilized harmony looks particularly convincing when ‘congruence’ of those who vote in fiscal matters, those who pay the taxes and those who enjoy the benefits of public spending is given. But more and more situations become visible where the democratic process in fiscal policy doesn’t guarantee a fully acceptable outcome.
On the one hand, protection of the individual taxpayer might require additional constitutional constraints, in particular in majority-minority conflicts.
On the other hand, powerful private players can encroach upon the democratic process, e.g. when factor mobility and tax competition put pressure on the nation state and its policy goals in the area of redistribution and the provision of public goods.
Last but not least one can ask whether the mere existence of substantial (direct) taxation is necessary to fuel political activism and to strengthen the citizens’ identification with ‘their’ community.

Cecilia L. Ridgeway (Stanford University)

Abstract: Status, which is based on differences in esteem and honor, is an ancient and universal form of inequality which nevertheless interpenetrates modern institutions and organizations. Given its ubiquity and significance, we need to better understand the basic nature of status as a form of inequality.
Dr. Ridgeway argued that status hierarchies are a cultural invention to organize and manage social relations in a fundamental human condition: cooperative interdependence to achieve valued goals with nested competitive interdependence to maximize individual outcomes in the effort.
She considered this claim in relation to both evolutionary arguments and empirical evidence. Evidence suggests that the cultural schema of status is two-fold, consisting of a deeply learned basic norm of status allocation and a set of more explicit, variable, and changing common knowledge status beliefs that people draw on to coordinate judgments about who or what is more deserving of higher status.
The cultural nature of status allows people to spread it widely to social phenomena (e.g., firms in a business field) well beyond its origins in interpersonal hierarchies.
In particular, she argued, the association of status with social difference groups (e.g., race, gender, class-as-culture) gives inequalities based on those difference
groups an autonomous, independent capacity to reproduce themselves through interpersonal status processes.

Odd Arne Westad (Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University)

Abstract: In his book, The Cold War: A New History, Odd Arne Westad offers a new perspective on a century when great power rivalry and ideological battle transformed every corner of our globe. From Soweto to Hollywood, Hanoi, and Hamburg, young men and women felt they were fighting for the future of the world. The Cold War may have begun on the perimeters of Europe, but it had its deepest reverberations in Asia, Africa, and the Middle East, where nearly every community had to choose sides. And these choices continue to define economies and regimes across the world.

This lecture sketched a new history of the global conflict between capitalism and communism since the late 19th century, and provided the larger context for how today’s international affairs came into being.

Jan-Werner Müller (Princeton University)
His lecture, After Populism, took place on 17 January 2018.

Abstract: The lecture addressed the question how best to understand populism and also what structural changes in modern democracies might facilitate its emergence. It then asked how professional politicians and citizens at large should deal with the challenge of populism. Finally, it made some suggestions as to how the structural problems associated with contemporary democracies might be addressed.

Dani Rodrik (Harvard Kennedy School)
His lecture, Globalization and the Populist Backlash, took place on 14 February 2018.

Abstract: Populism may seem like it has come out of nowhere, but it has been on the rise for a while. Economic history and economic theory both provide ample grounds for anticipating that advanced stages of economic globalization would produce a political backlash. While the backlash may have been predictable, the specific form it took was less so. Rodrik distinguished between left-wing and right-wing variants of populism, which differ with respect to the societal cleavages that populist politicians highlight. The first has been predominant in Latin America, and the second in Europe. These different reactions appear to be related to the relative salience of different types of globalization shocks.

Rachel Kranton (Duke University)
Her lecture, Deconstructing Group Bias: Groupy vs. Non-groupy social preferences, took place on 14 March 2018.

Abstract: This lecture presented a series of experiments that deconstructs the bias observed in group settings.
Following the methods and traditions of social psychology, economists conducting experiments on income allocation find that participants, on average, are inequity averse towards out-group participants and more so towards in-group participants. New experiments find significant, divergent patterns in individual allocations of income in group settings. Using a within-subject design, the results indicate bias need not depend on group identity but rather on individuals’ reactions to group divisions per se. Hence, the tendency to favor people conditional on a group affiliation, which we call ‘groupiness,’ could be an individual trait.

T. Alexander Aleinikoff (The New School for Social Research)
His lecture, Mobility and Immobility: Migrants and Refugees, took place on 18 April 2018.

Abstract: Across the globe, barriers to migration are increasing and the number of forcibly displaced persons has reached levels not seen since World War II. But these ‘headlines’ miss the real story. Overall, migration is in fact on the rise, particularly movement that does not necessarily result in settlement in the destination country. And the great crisis facing refugees is their immobility. They are forced out of their home states and then locked into countries of asylum. Scholarship can best address these issues through the lenses of mobility and immobility, focusing more on those who seek to move than the states that seek to regulate such movement.

Debra Satz (Stanford University)

Abstract: The belief in the more or less universal superiority of the government’s provision of unrestricted cash over in kind goods can be usefully contrasted with an older tradition of thought. According to that tradition, the provision of some goods is so fundamental to our equal standing as citizens that these goods should be treated just as we treat national defense – distributed in kind by the government more or less equally to all – even in the absence of market failure. In Musgrave’s (1957) terminology, these are merit goods – goods that could be supplied by the market according to people’s consumer preferences, but that society has reason to distribute in a different way. Ethical socialists such as R.H. Tawney, William Morris, G.D.H. Cole, and T.H. Marshall argued that there are goods and services that should be provided by the government universally, and often equally, to all citizens. They had in mind goods like a national health service, votes, a job guarantee, housing, protection against market vulnerability, public housing, and free public education, although their list can be extended to other goods, including child care, opportunity for political influence, broadband internet access, food stamps,
and even free time. My aim in this paper is to render this 'moral economy tradition more attractive to contemporary egalitarians and to offer a new defense of in kind good provision.

MAX WEBER FELLOWS IN CONVERSATION WITH THE MAX WEBER LECTURERS

Wolfgang Schoen was interviewed by MW Fellow Valentin Jentsch (LAW) on 11 October 2017 at the Max Weber Programme of the European University Institute. The two discussed the Max Planck Institute of Tax Law and Public Finance, taxation, democracy and the challenges of globalization.

Cecilia L. Ridgeway was interviewed by MW Fellow Nevena Kulic (SPS) on 8 November 2017 ahead of her presentation at the European University Institute. In their conversation, they defined what statues is and how it creates persistent inequalities that should be rebalanced.

Odd Arne Westad was interviewed by MW Fellows Madeleine Dungy (HEC) and Victor Petrov (HEC) on 14 December 2017 at the Max Weber Programme base in Villa Paola. They focused on his latest book on the Cold War and how to view this time in history from non-Eurocentric perspectives. The interview also touches on useful career tips for young scholars.

Jan-Werner Mueller was interviewed by MW Fellow Chiara Destri (SPS) on 18 January 2018 following his Max Weber Lecture at the European University Institute. The two discussed populism and democracy from a theoretical point of view, peppered with evidence from the contemporary political setting in Europe and around the world.

Dani Rodrik was interviewed by MW Fellows Zbigniew Truchlewski (SPS) and Aydin Yildirim (RSC) on 14 February 2018 at the Max Weber Programme of the European University Institute. The main topics involved tackling inequality through pre-production redistribution, making the public an equity owner of innovation and the five fundamental books that opened his eyes.

Rachel Kranton was interviewed by MW Fellow Giulia Tura (ECO) on 14 March 2018 in anticipation of her Max Weber Lecture at the European University Institute. The interview focused on how institutions and social settings affect economics. It also touches on social identity and group affiliations in society and how these identities and affiliations have effects on the world around us.

T. Alexander Aleinikoff was interviewed by MW Fellow Naoko Hosokawa (RSC) on 18 April 2018 at the Max Weber Programme base in Villa Paola. In their conversation, the tow discussed Dr. Aleinikoff’s experience at UNHCR and the importance of advocacy and restoring agency to refugees. He suggests that young scholars can become part of the solution through the production of excellent scholarship and engagement in advocacy.

Debra Satz was interviewed by the director of the Max Weber Programme, Richard Bellamy, and MW Fellow Jared Holley (HEC) on 16 May 2018. They talked about her book on the moral limits of the markets and her intellectual stance between ethics and economics.

MWP OCCASIONAL LECTURES

Andrea Sangiovanni (King’s College London) His lecture, When and Why is Freedom of Movement Worth Defending?, took place on 22 November 2017.

Abstract: We often hear that the free movement of persons in the EU is 'non-negotiable', a 'foundational pillar of the European project'. But why? In this lecture, Dr. Sangiovanni considered some common answers to this question and pointed out some difficulties they face. He then argued that the free movement of persons is best understood as a demand of justice and, indeed, of solidarity among member state peoples.

Timothy Endicott (University of Oxford) His lecture, Interpretation and homonymous activities, was given on 14 December 2017.

Abstract: Interpretation is a process of reasoning to support an answer to a question as to the meaning of some object. How, then, can we explain the modern practice of lawyers and judges, who sometimes offer something that they call an 'interpretation' in support of a conclusion that is incompatible with the meaning
of the object that they purport to interpret? In such a case, whether the interpreter is (1) aiming to help the lawmaker to achieve the lawmaker’s real purpose, or (2) opposing the policy of the lawmaker, it would be accurate to describe what they are doing as reasoning to support a departure from the act of the lawmaker. But he argued that the common modern practice of calling such reasoning processes ‘interpretation’ is not necessarily deceitful or misconceived. It is to be understood by the analogies between such reasoning processes, and the core instances of interpretation. That is, the word ‘interpretation’ is used analogically, or homonymously. The implication, which was addressed, is that the word ‘meaning’ is, likewise, homonymous.

Ugo Mattei (UC Hastings)
His lecture, *From commons to capital and back. The turning point in private law*, took place on 3 May 2018.

Abstract: This lecture discussed the necessary steps to transform the role and the function of private law in order to put in tune with the requirements of ecological survival.

Kenneth A. Armstrong (University of Cambridge)
His occasional lecture, *Brexit and Constitutional Requirements*: *What Happens When an Under-Constitutionalised State Leaves an Over-Constitutionalised EU?*, took place on 8 June 2018.

Samuel Moyn (Yale Law School)
His lecture, *National Welfare and International Human Rights*, was given on 11 June 2018.

Abstract: This talk took up the transition in the history of political economy from national welfare states to neoliberal globalization, and how international human rights law relates to both.

MASTER CLASSES

12 October 2017
Wolfgang Schoen (Max Planck Institute for Tax Law and Public Finance)  
Thematic Group: Diversity and Unity

9 November 2017
Cecilia L. Ridgeway (Stanford University)  
Status inequalities  
Thematic Group: Inequality and Efficiency in Education and Labour Markets

14 December 2017
Odd Arne Westad (Harvard Kennedy School)  
Writing the history of the Cold War within the framework of global history  
Thematic Group: Europe in the World

18 January 2018
Jan-Werner Mueller (Princeton University)
The wrong way to think about populism
Thematic Group: Legal, Political and Social Theory

15 February 2018
Dani Rodrik (Harvard Kennedy School)
Ideas, Interests, and Unpacking Them
Thematic Group: Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa

15 March 2018
Rachel Kranton (Duke University)
News Sharing and the Market for (Fake) News
Thematic Group: Inequality and Efficiency in Education and Labour Markets

19 April 2018
Alex T. Aleinikoff (The New School for Social Research, New York)
The Arc of Protection: Toward a New International Refugee Regime
Thematic Group: Citizenship and Migration

17 May 2018
Debra Satz (Stanford University)
Some (Largely) Ignored Problems with Privatization
Thematic Group: Legal, Political and Social Theory

MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH WORKSHOPS

1-2 March 2018
Picking up after Brexit: Explaining and assessing the British exit from the European Union

Organizers: Marina Henke (SPS), Robin Markwica (RSC) and Aydin Yildirim (RSC)

This workshop aimed to explain the rationale behind Brexit from different perspectives and explain how the European Union’s (EU’s) relationship with the United Kingdom (UK) as well as the rest of the world is likely to be affected. The organizers focused on the two most sensitive areas in which the exclusion of the UK might have serious implications on EU power projection capabilities: international trade and security. Questions examined were as follows: After Brexit, how will the EU security and defense policy proceed? Will the EU focus more or less on civilian (instead of military) conflict resolution techniques? How will EU defense industrial cooperation advance? Will Brexit affect the EU’s normative power? Can we explain the result of the Brexit referendum with insights from political economy? How will the EU shape its future trade policy vis-à-vis the UK? Under what conditions can both partners continue to have a preferential trade relationship?

6 April 2018
Moving (between) Cultures: Theories and Practices of Transfer
Organizers: Matthew Canfield (LAW), Naoko Hosokawa (RSCAS), Mishka Sinha (HEC) and Blake Smith (HEC)

This workshop explored the issue of cultural transfer from a variety of theoretical, methodological, and disciplinary perspectives. In our globalizing world, ideas, commodities, and individuals are constantly moving from one cultural context to another. Yet, when scrutinising specific cases, scholars of sociology, history, political science and many other disciplines, find that the kinds of cultural transfer happening all around us are anything but straightforward. The normalcy and ubiquity of these movements conceal fraught questions of interpretation and identity.

16 April 2018
Unilateral Trade Regulation in pursuance of Global Environmental Protection: Legal Perspectives

8 May 2018
Taking stock of regulatory governance, hierarchical and heterarchial approaches

22 May 2018
Legal perspectives on expertise, politics and the quest for accountability

31 May 2018
Socio-Legal Approaches to Regulatory Governance: Shadows of Power
6 June 2018
The governance of social investment. Theoretical considerations and empirical findings

Organizers: Matthew Canfield (LAW) Ioanna Hadjiyianni (LAW), Marta Morvillo (LAW), Bernardo Rangoni (LAW) and Gemma Scalise (SPS)

Regulatory governance is a field of scholarship that has attracted considerable attention over the past decade. Not only does it bring together scholars of different disciplines working on regulation and governance to address important social, economic and political problems, it is also open to different theoretical perspectives and to a variety of methodological approaches. The five Max Weber Fellows who organized and participated in the Regulatory Governance Colloquia drew on a range of sub-disciplinary perspectives to examine a variety of substantive policy domains – such as utilities, environment, food, and labour market regulation – across different polities – such as national and European, European Union, global, local. The Colloquia offered participants the opportunity to be introduced to and learn from different analytical approaches to the study of European and transnational regulation. Each session included two speakers, an invited scholar and a Max Weber Fellow, who shared complementary approaches to the field of inquiry; each presented a twenty minute paper, followed by discussion.

20 April 2018
Trust Matters

Organizers: Raquel Barradas de Freitas (LAW), Sergio Lo Iacono (SPS), Marta Morvillo (LAW) and Carolin Schmitz (HEC)

Trust matters in the lives of individuals and political communities. It matters whether or not individuals trust one another. It matters that citizens justifiably trust their democratic representatives, if they do trust them. It matters also, both morally and politically, whether an exercise of authority is based on a genuine claim to trustworthiness. As we witness a revival of interest in trust in public life, politics, and the media, this is a particularly good moment for a group of scholars from different academic traditions and research fields to discuss the various dimensions of trust. On the one hand, political theorists and legal philosophers can benefit from drawing on the rich literature on trust in the social, cognitive, and economic sciences. On the other, new dimensions can be added to ongoing work of sociologists, historians, and economists by considering philosophical writings on the attitude of trust. There is much we can learn from each other. The workshop aimed to contribute to a better understanding of trust and why it matters in personal relationships, social interactions, and political communities.

2 May 2018
European Security in an Age of American Restraint

Organizers: Marina Henke (SPS) and Paul van Hooft (SPS)

This workshop addressed the question of what is to become of European security in an age of American restraint? What are the EU’s options if American policy indeed shifts toward retrenchment? Will Europeans try to insist being kept under the US security umbrella? Or rather, will they strive to take care of their own security? Are any intermediate policy options possible? What political, societal, economic and military factors will determine this choice? Will the EU be united or divided in this policy decision-making process? Moreover, what military-technological deficiencies does the EU need to address when it tries to decide between possible policy options?

4 May 2018
Fears of Trolls and Little Green Men: Does Hybrid Warfare Work, for Whom, and Where?

Organizers: Stefano Marcuzzi (RSCAS), Akisato Suzuki (SPS) and Paul van Hooft (SPS)

Hybrid warfare has become a buzzword for scholars, policymakers, and journalists to describe Russian intervention in Ukraine. The use of online misinformation, cyberattacks on infrastructure, the covert insertion of forces, and so on, seemed highly successful tactics to accomplish Russian goals of destabilization. Given that new prominence, scholars and policy analysts have hotly debated how we can define hybrid warfare to establish the analytical leverage of the concept, or whether hybrid warfare is new after all (Giles 2016; Renz and Smith 2016). However, little has been discussed so far regarding whether hybrid warfare, understood as the blend of multiple conventional and unconventional methods to fight, is in fact an effective strategy and, if so, for whom and at what level. Can states accomplish more than short-term gains, such as undermining the legitimacy and cohesion of adversaries, or conversely strengthening domestic support (Chiozza and Goemans 2011)? Or is possible to achieve long-term strategic goals? In short, how much should we fear online trolls and little green men? Understanding
what goals hybrid warfare can effectively achieve is therefore crucial. This workshop brought together expertise in warfare and security studies with multidisciplinary perspectives, including strategic studies, military history, and political science, thereby shedding new light on the hotly topic of hybrid warfare with analytical and empirical rigor.

4 May 2018
Modern Readings of Epicureanism – Political Receptions and the Politics of Reception

Organizers: Jared Holley (HEC) and Federico Testa (AEUFAI-HEC)

This one-day workshop was dedicated to modern receptions and readings of the Epicurean tradition (and its relations to Classic and Hellenistic philosophies). From the materialists and mortalists in theological debates in England (17th century), to the French libertines and free-spirits, passing through Hobbes, Spinoza and Rousseau and, finally Marx, Guyau and Nietzsche (in the 19th century), the workshop investigated the continuity of Epicurean themes in Western thought, emphasising its social and political implications. Traditionally considered as a form of withdrawal from political arena, Epicureanism has been characterised as the apolitical doctrine par excellence. However, the hegemony of this view has become target of careful historical and philosophical criticism, one that shows the political aspects of Epicurus’ philosophy. If, on the one hand, the Stoics developed a reflection on politics beyond the limits of the polis (extending it to the cosmos), playing a central role in the modern discussion on social duties and natural law; on the other, Epicureans dedicated their attention to smaller, subtler forms of association, such as friendship, below the level of the city laws and walls. The Epicurean tradition played a central role in the modern discussion on the conventionality of law and justice, the notion of social contract, and on the idea of social progress. Notions such as friendship, conventional justice, social contract, utility, and the passions mark the modern engagement with the work of Epicurus, Lucretius and the Epicurean school. The workshop was interdisciplinary, situating itself in the intersection between philosophy, intellectual history, history of political thought and political theory, bringing together researchers concerned with modern Epicureanism, especially its repercussion in politics, social theory, ethics and moral philosophy.

24 May 2018
What is corruption?
Organizers: Chiara Destri (SPS) and Tatyana Zhuravleva (ECO)

The aim of this workshop was twofold. On one hand, the organizers planned to address the overarching definitional question of what corruption is and how we identify it. To this end, the first session hosted a book symposium of a recent comprehensive work
on corruption, Making Sense of Corruption, by Bo Rothstein and Aiysha Varraich (Cambridge University Press 2017), and a hands-on presentation on corruption and clientelism in the Lebanese context. On the other hand, they intended to focus on the origins of corruptive human behaviour. Why do people behave corruptly in general? Why are some people ready to cooperate in order to increase their wealth at the expense of a third party? Why are some others willing to make efforts in order to punish corrupt behaviour? The second session of the workshop was dedicated to the understanding of corruption as a social norm and it aimed to explore the issue from the perspective of experimentalist economics.

28 May 2018
Taxation and Fiscal Policy

Organizers: Per Andersson (SPS), Alexandra Fotiou (ECO), Hanna Kleider (HEC), Andrea Papadia (RSCAS), Zbigniew Truchlewski (SPS) and Tomasz Zawisza (ECO)

The recent crisis has put taxation and fiscal policy at the centre-stage of academic and policy debates. The limits of monetary policy acting on its own, the debt troubles of European countries and the difficulties in implementing effective and coordinated policies to fight the crisis have raised numerous questions on how to conduct fiscal policy at both the national and international level. Independence movements in a number of European countries have also brought attention back to the issue of the relationship between local and central governments in the fiscal, as well as other, realms. Much remains to be learned regarding the effectiveness and optimal design of fiscal policy and, more broadly, the way states tax, borrow and spend public funds. Politics, economics, history and a myriad other factors intertwine to determine the policy options available to states, the obstacles they face, and the practical implementation of policies. While an interdisciplinary approach to these questions is desirable, it is also clearly underutilized. Today scholars are scattered between social science disciplines. Because of this organizational fragmentation there is need to create opportunities for scholars working on taxation and public finance to meet and exchange ideas. The organizers believed that this workshop could be a first step in this direction.

1 June 2018
Peace from Locals

Organizers: Tine Gade (RSCAS), Vivian Gerrand (RSC) and Akisato Suzuki (SPS)

In the major works of conflict research, the causes of conflict and conditions for peace have usually been sought in institutions, socio-economic factors, and politically active actors. What has been largely missing so far is the role of local actors such as ordinary people in allowing or preventing conflict. This workshop aimed to fill this gap, thereby contributing to developing peace and conflict research from a local perspective. The workshop will be concluded with a keynote speech, ‘Resurgent Nationalism? Local Peacebuilding and the Future of Ethnopolitics in the 21st century’

8 June 2018
Challenges to EU Law and Governance in the Member States

Organizers: Clara Rauchegger (LAW) and Anna Wallerman (LAW)

Eurosceptic and nationalist forces have been gaining ground in many Member States. The ideal of an ever closer union, built on fundamental freedoms and the rule of law, has been shaken by the UK’s decision to leave the EU and by illiberal democratic developments in Hungary and Poland. The free movement of citizens, economic migration and the influx of third-country refugees tend to be particularly controversial in the Member States. Against this backdrop, the conference examined to what extent the EU is being politicised and its law challenged in the Member States. The focus was not only on Member States that are known to be highly critical of the EU; for a complete picture, the aim was rather to find out whether challenges to EU law and governance are frequent occurrences or merely highly visible exceptions. The conference had two objectives. The first was to establish whether Euroscepticism takes the form of specific criticism of EU legislation and case law. Do governments and/or political parties criticise particular pieces of EU law and policy, and do they propose, or take, initiatives that are incompatible with those of the Union? Second, the conference explored to what extent criticism of the EU is translated into actual changes in national law. Is Eurosceptic rhetoric made manifest in the domestic legislation or case law of the Member States?

11 June 2018
National Political Communities and International Institution

Organizers: Angelo Caglioti HEC), León Castellanos (LAW) and Madeleine Dungy (HEC)

As Western democracies display an increasing ambivalence towards liberal internationalism, this
workshop explored the dual nature of international institutions as both a source of political leverage and instability for modern nation-states. International institutions emerged in the context of the long transition from a world of empires to a world of autonomous nation-states. During the course of the twentieth century, national communities have frequently used international organizations to assert their influence over foreign relations and the world economy. At the same time, a variety of actors have disrupted the locus of national political legitimacy by harnessing international structures to advance moral and legal claims. International institutions have also generated conflict by reinforcing asymmetries within and between different national communities, often as a legacy of imperial rule. The recent wave of 'anti-globalist' and anti-European sentiment has highlighted these ambiguities and elicited nationalist reinterpretations of international standards and norms. Considering these changing perceptions in the political mainstream, the workshop will assess whether the staying power that sustained international institutions during the twentieth century will continue in the twenty-first. The organizers aimed to facilitate a wide-ranging discussion of current nationalist and populist critiques of European and international institutions that will bridge the fields of political science, history, and law. The event also constituted an opportunity to discuss, in an interdisciplinary context, recent literature addressing these tensions, such as Mark Mazower’s No Enchanted Palace, Glenda Sluga’s Internationalism in the Age of Nationalism, Oona Hathaway and Scott Shapiro’s The Internationalists, Jan-Werner Müller’s What is Populism?, Guy Fiti Sinclair’s To Reform the World, and Samuel Moyn’s Not Enough: Human Rights in an Unequal World.

5 July 2018
The Role of Image-Making in the Prevention of Violence

Organizers: Saeed Bagheri (LAW) and Vivian Gerrard (RSC)

This workshop welcomed multidisciplinary perspectives in order to generate new insights into the potential role of image-making practices in the prevention of violence, broadly defined, and the specific question of violent extremism. The workshop therefore included speakers from a variety of backgrounds and disciplines, who had particular concern with image-making and representation, and the intersection of this with violence and violent extremism.

11TH MWP – JMU GRADUATE SYMPOSIUM
Diverging Problems in the EU was held on 10 April 2018. This joint conference by the EUI’s Max Weber Programme and James Madison University’s MA Program in European Union Policy Studies gave JMU’s MA students in European Union Policy Studies an opportunity to present and discuss their own research with Max Weber Fellows and the wider EUI community in an academic setting. This year, the symposium highlighted ever diverging problems in the EU, including economic, social, political, and security issues.

The symposium ended with a keynote lecture by Prof. François Foret, Professor of Political Science at Université Libre de Bruxelles, ‘The European Strategy against Radicalization: A Touch stone of Diversity of National Religions’.
12th Max Weber Fellows’ June Conference
States, Societies and Crises across Time and Space
13-15 June 2018

Each year, the Max Weber June Conference presents an opportunity to enhance academic exchange and collaboration between different generations of Fellows. At a time of perceived global upheaval and crisis, the goal was to bring together an eclectic group of scholars to discuss some of the major transformations that states and societies have undergone across time and space. The aim was to develop multidisciplinary perspectives on key current, past and likely future challenges faced by local, regional, national and transnational communities. These included, but were not limited to, issues related to income, employment, markets, finance, trade, education, health, mobility, migration, security, gender, sexuality, ethnicity, race, citizenship, religion, the workplace and the environment.

This year, we were pleased to welcome Professor Jürgen Osterhammel (University of Konstanz) and Professor Michael Ignatieff (Central European University) as keynote speakers.

Organising Committee of Max Weber Fellows:
Per Andersson (MWF SPS), Matthew Canfield (MWF LAW), Alexandra Fotiou (MWF ECO), Vivian Gerrand (MWF RSC), Valentin Jentsch (MWF LAW), Robin Markwica (MWF RSC), Francesco Molteni (MWF ECO), Mishka Sinha (MWF HEC), Cyrille Thiébaut (MWF SPS) and Christos Tsakas (MWF HEC).

Keynote Lectures
Jürgen Osterhammel (University of Konstanz)
Transgressing Boundaries in Space and Time: Remarks on the History of a Global Public Sphere

There are huge debates going on about global communication in an age of almost unlimited connectivity. They take place in sociology and law, in media studies and globalization theory. The focus of this lecture was more specific and revived the old concept of a ‘public sphere’, suggested by Jürgen Habermas as early as 1962. In what sense is it possible to speak of a global public sphere? And what kind of history may it be said to have?

Michael Ignatieff (Central European University)
Authoritarian Turn in Global Politics
WEDNESDAY, 13 JUNE 2018

Panel 1 (Emeroteca):
Representation Gaps in Times of Crisis

Chair:
Tine Gade (MWF RSC)

Panelists:
Mirjam Dageförde (MWF SPS)
Societal Inequality and Representation Gaps: Who Is Not Represented?

Iakovos Iakovidis (MWF LAW)
Some Transnational Political Effects of a Crisis: the Rise of the Extreme Right and Lack of Solidarity among European Nations in the Greek Crisis

Hanna Kleider (MWF SPS)
Economic Insecurity and Attitudes towards Immigrants: Evidence from a Panel Study

Stefanie Reher (University of Strathclyde)
Bridging the Gap? Opinion Congruence between Political Elites and Citizens with Disabilities

Cyrille Thiébaut (MWF SPS)
Could Euroscepticism be a Rational Answer from the French Public to Ambivalent Political Elites?

Panel 2 (Seminar Room 2):
Advances in Microeconomic Theory

Chair:
Kory Kantenga (MWF ECO)

Panelists:
Matteo Foschi (MWF ECO)
Subjective Performance Evaluation of Employees with Biased Beliefs

Maxim Goryunov (MWF ECO)
Continuous Spatial Monopolistic Competition: Matching Goods with Consumers

Kym Pram (MWF ECO)
Learning and Evidence in Principal-Agent Environments

Panel 3 (Emeroteca):
Labour and Demographic Economics

Chair:
Alexandra Fotiou (MWF ECO)

Panelists:
Ylenia Brilli (Gothenburg University)
Why Don’t You Take a Free Shot? Free Access to Flu Vaccination and its Effects on Take-up Probability and Health

Jeanne Commault (MWF ECO)
Consumption Does Not Evolve as a Random Walk around Small Income Shocks

Denis Gorea (MWF ECO)
Liquidity Constraints in the U.S. Housing Market

Jordi Teixido-Figueras (University of Barcelona)
The Weight of the Light Bill

Tomasz Zawisza (MWF ECO)
Optimal Taxation of Employment and Self-Employment: Evidence from Poland and its Implications

Panel 4 (Seminar Room 2):
International Justice and Human Rights

Chair:
León Castellanos-Jankiewicz (MWF LAW)

Panelists:
Matthew Canfield (MWF LAW)
Precarious Publics: Fighting for Food Justice in an Age of Network Governance

León Castellanos-Jankiewicz (MWF LAW)
International Status Meets Domestic Disenfranchisement: a Brief History of Statelessness

Valentin Jentsch (MWF LAW)
Corporate Social Responsibility and the Law: Regulatory Approaches for Transnational Companies to Protect Human Rights and the Environment

Alain Zysset (Durham University)
The Common Core between Human Rights Law and International Criminal Law: A Structural Account
Panel 5 (Emeroteca): International Security

Chair:
Robin Markwica (MWF RSC)

Panelists:
Marina Henke (MWF SPS)
A Social-Institutional Theory of EU Force Recruitment
Per Lægreid (University of Bergen)
Organizing for Internal Security and Crisis Management: Building Governance Capacity and Legitimacy

Stefano Marcuzzi (MWF RSC)
The Development of EU-NATO Collaboration among the Southern Flank

Akisato Suzuki (MWF SPS)
Why Citizens Let Their Leaders Engage in Armed Conflict

Paul Van Hooft (MWF SPS)
Partner, Trouble Spot, and Rival: Europe in American Grand Strategy

Panel 6 (Seminar Room 2): Gender and Society

Chair:
Jeanne Commault (MWF ECO)

Panelists:
David Do Paço (Sciences Po Paris)
Women in Inter-Imperial Diplomacy: Istanbul in the Late Eighteenth Century

Seetha Menon (MWF ECO)
The Effect of Marital Endowments on Domestic Violence in India

Katya Motyl (MWF HEC)
New Bodies, New Women: Gender Trouble in Vienna, 1890-1930
Panel 7 (Emeroteca):  
**Conflict and State-Society Transformations in MENA**

**Chair:** Aydin Yildirim (MWF RSC)

**Panelists:**
- Saeed Bagheri (MWF LAW)  
  *The Legal Aspects of War to Peace Transitions in Post-Islamic State Iraq*
- Georges Fahmi (Research Fellow RSC)  
  *The Muslim Brotherhood in Egypt and the Use of Violence*
- Tine Gade (MWF RSC)  
  *In-Group Policing and the Non-Occurrence of Civil War: Lebanon after 2011*
- Jamil Mouawad (MWF RSC)  
  *From ‘Nomads, to Traders, to Unemployed’: Economic Transformations in Lebanon’s Wade Khaled on the Border with Syria*
- Jan Claudius Völkel (Marie Skłodowska Curie Fellow, Free University of Brussels)  
  *North African Parliaments after the Arab Spring: Partners in Democratization?*

Panel 8 (Seminar Room 2):  
**Multidisciplinary Approaches to Economic and Social Policy**

**Chair:** Per Andersson (MWF SPS)

**Panelists:**
- Jonathan Chapman (New York University Abu Dhabi)  
  *Inequality and Poor Law Policy in Late-Victorian England*
- Andrea Papadia (MWF RSC)  
  *Ethnic Fragmentation and Public Goods Provision in Brazil: A Long-run Perspective, 1872-2010*
- Gemma Scalise (MWF SPS)  
  *Converging Policy Ideas, Divergent Outcomes: Varieties of Active Inclusion in Europe*
- Katalin Straner (University of Southampton)  
  *Urban Practices of Knowledge and Exile: The Central European Emigré Community in London, 1840s-1860s*
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Panel 9 (Emeroteca):  
**Fiscal Policy and Austerity**

**Chair:** Francesco Molteni (MWF ECO)

**Panelists:**
- Per Andersson (MWF SPS)  
  *Power-Sharing and Income Taxation in Authoritarian States*
- Alexandra Fotiou (MWF ECO)  
  *Plague or Panacea? State-dependent Effects of Public Investment (with B. Cozmanca, ECB, and M. Ferdinandusse, ECB)*
- Amuitz Garmendia Madariaga (Universidad Carlos III, Madrid)  
  *Fiscal Decentralization and Competitive Politics: Explaining the Survival of Subnational Governments in Federal States*
- Laura Seelkopf (Jean Monnet Fellow RSC)  
  *Global Lineages of the Tax State: A New Comprehensive Data Set of Tax Introductions Worldwide*
- Zbigniew Truchlewski (MWF SPS)  
  *Austerity: End of the Party?*
- Eugenia Vella (University of Sheffield)  
  *Should I Stay or Should I Go? Austerity, Unemployment and Migration (with G. Bandeira, Bank of Spain, and J. Caballe, Autonomous University of Barcelona)*

Panel 10 (Seminar Room 2):  
**Legal and Cultural Studies of Islam**

**Chair:** Vivian Gerrand (MWF RSC)

**Panelists:**
- Fedja Buric (Bellarmine University)  
  *Islamic Law in Interwar Europe: The Case of Bosnian Shari’a and its Implications for the Current Euro-Islamic Dynamic*
Panel 11 (Seminar Room 3): Constitutionalism and Democracy

Chair: Raquel Barradas De Freitas (MWF SPS)

Panelists:
- Besir Ceka (Davidson College)
  Socioeconomic Status and Support for Liberal Democracy: A Cross-National Comparison (with P. Magalhães)
- Hent Kalmo (University of Tartu/Harvard Law School)
  Does the EU Have an Economic Constitution?
- Steven Klein (University of Florida)
  Democracy and the Question of Capitalism

Poster Session 1

Chiara Destri (MWF SPS)
Informed Voting: Is It a Duty?

Giulia Dotti Sani (MWF SPS) and Mario Quaranta (MWF SPS)
Attitudes towards the Right to Adopt of Gay Male and Lesbian Couples: A Cross-National Comparison of 23 Countries

Carolin Schmitz (MWF HEC)
The Medical Encounter and Social Order in Early Modern Spain

Giulia Tura (MWF ECO)
Culture and Legal Status Trade-offs in Marriage Markets

Tatyana Zhuravleva (MWF ECO)
A Dynamic Analysis of Demand for Childcare, Maternal Employment and Child Obesity in Russia

Panel 12 (Emeroteca): The European Union's Legitimacy Crisis

Chair: Cyrille Thiébaut (MWF SPS)

Panelists:
- Or Bassok (University of Nottingham)
The Two European Regional Courts’ Legitimation Theories and their Destiny
- Ioanna Hadjiyianni (MWF LAW)
The Role of the Court of Justice of the European Union in Reviewing Extraterritoriality
- Marta Morvillo (MWF LAW)
Reviewing the Experts: A Principled Approach to the Scrutiny of Expert-based Decision Making in the CJEU
- Clara Rauchegger (MWF LAW)
The Primacy of EU Law over National Constitutional Law in light of the Case Law of the CJEU post-Lisbon
- Anna Wallerman (MWF LAW)
Negotiating Sovereignty, Ensuring Legitimacy? National Procedural Autonomy in the Preliminary Reference Procedure

Panel 13 (Seminar Room 2): Monetary Policy and Financial Regulation

Chair: Valentin Jentsch (MWF LAW)

Panelists:
- João Rafael Cunha (MWF RSC)
The Making of Financial Regulation – Voting on the U.S. Congress
- Francesco Molteni (MWF ECO)
Haircuts, Government Bonds and Sovereign Debt Crises
- Belen Olmos Giupponi (Kingstone University London)
Too Little, Too Late? A Critical Analysis of the Proposal on the Establishment of the European Monetary Fund
- Bernardo Rangoni (MWF LAW)
Retelling the European Banking Union: Experimentalist Governance in Hierarchical Disguise
- Michalis Rousakis (University of Oxford)
Central Bank Communication and Inflation
Panel 14 (Seminar Room 3):
Cultural Identity and Mobility

Chair:
Pavel Khazanov (MWF HEC)

Panelists:
Vivian Gerrand (MWF RSC)
*Transcultural Image-making as a Source of Resilience and Hope*

Naoko Hosokawa (MWF RSC)
*Word Choice and Identity Negotiation in Multicultural Communities: An Empirical Analysis of Media Discourse on ‘Asia’ and ‘Europe’*

Rinku Lamba (Jawaharlal Nehru University)
*Beyond East and West? Revisiting Rabindranath Tagore’s Critique of Nationalism*

Mishka Sinha (MWF HEC)
*The Work of Art in the Age of Digital Reproduction: History, Violence, Self and Nation in the Many (re) Makings of ‘Mother India’*

Panel 15 (Emeroteca):
Contemporary and Post-Socialism in China and the Soviet Union

Chair:
Matthew Canfield (MWF LAW)

Panelists:
Silvana Tarlea (University of Basel)
*European Studies in China. Images of Europe from Without*

Pavel Khazanov (MWF HEC)
*TV Humanism in Late Soviet Russia*

Veneta Ivanova (MWF HEC)
*The Psi Race: Telepathy, Suggestology and Parapsychology in Cold War Eastern Europe and the Soviet Union*

Victor Petrov (MWF HEC)
*The Other Information Society: Socialism, the Scientific-Technical Revolution, and the Future*

Panel 16 (Seminar Room 2):
Perspectives on Citizenship

Chair:
Chiara Destri (MWF SPS)

Panelists:
Emmanuel Comte (Vienna School of International Studies)
*Multi-National Citizenship and Inter-National Security Crises: European Citizenship and the End of the Cold War in Comparative Historical Perspective*

Diane Fromage (Maastricht University)
*The EU and Independence Movements Within its Member States*

Christine Hobden (University of Fort Hare)
*Citizenship in South Africa: Insights and Challenges*

Jared Holley (MWF HEC)
*Taste, Virtue, and Popular Sovereignty: the General Will and General Taste in Rousseau*

Gregor Maučec (MWF LAW)
*Discrimination as a Basis for Ethnic and Racial Inequality in the European Union*

Panel 17 (Seminar Room 3):
International Trade

Chair:
Ioanna Hadjiyanni (MWF LAW)

Panelists:
Maria Adele Carrai (Marie Skłodowska Curie Fellow, KU Leuven)
*The Lite Mega-Regional Order of the Belt and Road Initiative*

Madeleine Dungy (MWF HEC)
*Crisis and Competition in International Trade Policy during the First World War*

Laura Hering (Erasmus University Rotterdam)
*Looking for the Bright Side of the China Syndrome: Rising Export Opportunities and Life Satisfaction in China*

Vincent Rebeyrol (Toulouse School of Economics)
*Protection without Discrimination*

Aydin B. Yildirim (MWF RSC)
*Politics of European External Trade Policy: Globalization of Production and Firms’ Use of Anti-Dumping*
Protection within the European Union

Poster Session II
Nevena Kulic (MWF SPS)
The Role of Income and Money Management Practices in the Financial Well-being of Swiss Couples

Robin Markwica (MWF RSC)
The Passions of Power Politics: How Emotions Influence Crisis Bargaining

FRIDAY, 15 JUNE 2018

Panel 18 (Villa Salviati):
Globalization in History

Chair:
Blake Smith (MWF HEC)

Panelists:
Angelo Caglioti (MWF HEC)
Rethinking Italian Colonialism in a Global Age

Leonardo Ariel Carrió Cataldi (MWF HEC)
Translating Time, Constructing the World: From Mexico to the Eastern Mediterranean

Blake Smith (MWF HEC)
Finding South Asia in What is the Third Estate? French Revolutionary Orientalism

Christos Tsakas (MWF HEC)
West Germany, Greece, Norway and the EEC, 1957-81

Panel 19 (Seminar Room 2):
Governance of New Technologies

Chair:
Aris Trantidis (MWF SPS)

Panelists:
Mariavittoria Catanzariti (Jean Monnet Fellow RSC)
Information Control over Data beyond the Public/Private Divide

Gianluigi Fioriglio (Sapienza University of Rome)
Automating the Information Society between Transparency and Secrecy: What Role for the Law?

Francesca Galli (Jean Monnet Fellow RSC)
The Formalization of Interoperable Networks: Constitutionalising Information Sharing in the AFSJ?

Katarzyna Kryla-Cudna (Tilburg University)
Digital Market Manipulation and the Internet of Things

Francesca Lagioia (MWF LAW)
Dr. Watson vs. Dr. House (with G. Contissa)

Chantal Lavallée (Marie Skłodowska Curie Fellow, Free University of Brussels)
The EU Drone Policy: A New Field of Action for the European Commission

Panel 20 (Theatre):
Trust Matters

Chair:
Anna Wallerman (MWF LAW)

Panelists:
Raquel Barradas de Freitas (MWF LAW)
A Smidgen of Trust

Paul C. Bauer (Mannheim Centre for European Social Research)
The Polarization of Political Trust across Europe

Kory Kantenga (MWF ECO)
MSM HIV Testing, Prevention, and Risky Sexual Behaviour: Evaluating the Impact of Treatment and PrEP

Sergio Lo Iacono (MWF SPS)
The Perks and the Costs of Living in a Social Bubble. How Homogeneous Ego-Networks Affect Generalized Trust
1. EVALUATION SURVEY
During the Academic Year 2017-2018 we conducted two evaluation surveys – one at the end of the first term, and one at the end of the year (in June). In a separate survey, we also asked the Fellows to evaluate the Thematic Research Groups in which they participated.
We had a response rate of 85% for the first survey, and 78% for the second. Here are some of the findings:

SEPTEMBER PRESENTATIONS
Around 70% of the Fellows attended more than 30 presentations, and they considered them a helpful way to introduce themselves and their research to the EUI community.
Almost half of the Fellows (47%) was very satisfied with the individual feedback session on the presentations with the Academic Communication Staff.

Did you consider the format to be helpful in introducing yourself and your research to your colleagues and vice versa?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feedback Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Not helpful</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat helpful</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helpful</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quite helpful</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very helpful</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Were you satisfied with the individual feedback session on your presentation with Academic Communications Staff?

How useful did you find the filming of the presentations?
TEACHING CERTIFICATE
The workshop on Course Design (held by Prof. Lynn McAlpine) is one of the compulsory elements of the Max Weber Teaching Certificate. In 2017-2018, satisfaction with the workshop was high, with a large majority of those who participated finding the workshop a useful activity.

Did you consider this session useful?

MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH WORKSHOPS
All Fellows have an opportunity to organize a day or half-day workshop or mini-conference involving other Fellows, possibly one or two external speakers, and often a number of EUI Faculty and researchers as well. Ideally, workshops should involve Fellows from more than one discipline.

The majority of Fellows considered the Multidisciplinary Research Workshops a relevant forum for enhancing multidisciplinary understanding.

Please rank the overall relevance of the MRW’s as a forum for enhancing multidisciplinary understanding
ACADEMIC COMMUNICATION SUPPORT ACTIVITIES

The Academic Communications Skills (ACS) activities are designed to help Fellows refine the oral and written skills necessary for effective academic practice. They take three forms: workshops and short modules; facilitating of small groups of Fellows working towards similar goals; individual tutorials and coaching.

As shown from the results of the survey, these activities are greatly appreciated by all Fellows.

Did you take advantage of the individual tutorials offered?

- Yes: 75%
- No: 25%

Did you use the text correction / language editing services?

- Yes: 69%
- No: 31%

Please rank the overall relevance of the Academic Communications Support Activities

- Irrelevant
- Little relevance
- Relevant
- Very relevant
- Highly relevant
2. ACADEMIC PRACTICE GROUP REPORTS

ECO DEPARTMENT
(Rep: Kym Pram)

The ECO Fellows are pleased with the opportunities provided both within the Max Weber Programme and by the Economics Department.

Four of the ECO Fellows taught short courses this year: Kym Pram taught a course on Communication and Persuasion, Matteo Foschi taught a course on Bounded Rationality, Industrial Organization and Contract Theory, Seetha Menon taught a course on Development Economics and Maxim Goryunov taught a class on Economics for Non-Economists to researchers from other departments. In addition, Denis Gorea taught a one-day workshop on web scraping for the EUI Data and Methods clinic.

All Fellows regularly participated in at least one working group. Fellows presented their work in these groups and received valuable feedback from faculty and researchers. In addition, the department hosted many short- and long-term visitors, giving Fellows the opportunity to meet and interact with scholars from outside the EUI.

As well as interacting with researchers in workshops and reading groups, all Fellows provided feedback to researchers through the second-year forum and pre-defense forum. Many Fellows also discussed work informally or worked on joint projects with researchers in the ECO department.

Fellows going on the job market enjoyed excellent support from both the Max Weber Programme and the Economics department. One particularly helpful activity was a writing group that Fellows on the market set up with the assistance of Laurie Anderson.

Within the Max Weber Programme several Fellows participated in the teaching modules and teaching exchange, participated in thematic research groups and benefited from other professional development opportunities offered by the program. Throughout the year, all Fellows attended at least one international conference and several Fellows presented their work in conferences.

HEC DEPARTMENT
(Rep: Mishka Sinha)

I would like to begin by thanking Jared Holley, the MWP HEC Rep, and other MWP History Fellows for their contributions.

In general, the majority of Fellows have had good and fruitful relationships with the HEC Department and their individual mentors over the course of the academic year. The department is an intellectually rich and enabling environment for Fellows to work in and with. However this is not the uniform experience of all Fellows. Fellows’ experience of the department is not of course confined to their relationship with their mentors; the HEC department offers various opportunities to participate in its scholarly programme, through attending conferences and seminars, presenting papers, teaching, and other contributions. However and inevitably, mentors are to a large extent the channel through which Fellows can and do participate, and are introduced to professors, students and potential activities. Therefore a more or less engaged, accessible and responsive mentor can vastly influence how much a Fellow benefits from his or her affiliation with HEC. Unfortunately in the case of some mentors, the interest taken, and efforts made to communicate and involve Fellows in academic activities or career-building, and skill-enhancing opportunities is minimal. In such cases Fellows have had little or no communication and no feedback at all from their mentors. As mentors are busy academics and often formidable scholars in their fields, Fellows are naturally diffident about pressing them for opportunities or responses, and reluctant to complain and risk damaging their relationship with their mentor, the department and the field.

While it is difficult to see how the MWP can tackle this problem directly, it seems helpful perhaps for there to be some method of anonymous feedback to the HEC for mentors, just as there is for Researchers to give feedback on Supervisors.

A much more pervasive and wide-ranging problem is that of a general sense of disconnection felt by history Fellows, between the Max Weber Programme and History, both as a department and as a field. The simplest – and yet perhaps hardest to remedy – aspect of this is the geographical disconnection. The distance and topographical discontinuities between the Badia/Villa Paola and Villa Salviati makes it much harder for history Fellows to spend time at Salviati. The frequent irregularity of the shuttle service and the several changes in the shuttle time tables over the year (which were not always reflected in the printed notices up at the shuttle stops) have exacerbated this difficulty. Fellows tend to make their way to Salviati for specific events or seminars/teaching, and it is difficult on the whole to casually spend time there, working, or getting a coffee/lunch. This makes it far more unlikely that Fellows might meet mentors, other professors, students or Visiting Fellows casually,
and reduces the potential for fruitful intellectual interactions leading to collaboration or forming future networks. Particularly for those Fellows whose mentors are more recalcitrant, the possibility of such casual meetings might help counteract or mitigate their mentors’ disconnection with them.

One possibility for redressing this – as was suggested during the Self assessment meeting by others – might be to offer Fellows work spaces in Salviati. While having history Fellows’ offices in the Badia would reduce the potential for engaging with other Max Weber Fellows, having some sort of dedicated space such as a shared room or even a space where Max Weber historians could meet and work, would allow them to have the advantages of offices with other Max Webers in Villa Paola, but also have the possibility of benefitting further from the HEC department by working there for some part of the time.

Harder to remedy is a sense of intellectual disconnection that Max Weber historians have felt. On the whole the EUI is an institution focused on the Social Sciences and this is part of its founding principle. However, History is something of an odd field, in that it is a part-social science, part-humanistic study. This can make historians feel somewhat isolated from the other departments, which perhaps have an easier time connecting with each other because of more commonly shared methodologies, scholarly objectives and parameters. Amongst historians there are some whose research leans more towards social science, and others, especially those who might work with earlier periods, or for multiple other reasons be doing research that is more humanistic in approach. The distance to Salviati can extend this sense of isolation. This does not mean at all that historians have little to offer the other Fellows, or vice versa. On the contrary, we all feel that we have gained a great deal from the multiple points of connection and interaction across disciplines that is one of the real strengths of the Max Weber Programme. It is however widely felt that there could be greater integration of the Programme with HEC in particular, both as a field and with the department.

One possibility would be to include more by way of topics of interest for HEC Fellows in the annual programme of the MWP, such as in the MWP Lecture Series and the TRC Master Classes. We recognise, from the discussion during the self-assessment meeting that there are purely practical difficulties that come in the way of creating an optimum lecture series; it is hard enough to plan a programme that satisfies everyone, but a relative lack of response from incoming Fellows when asked for suggestions for speakers, compounds the issue. The suggestion by the Director that current Fellows could be asked for suggestions for the next years’ speakers seems a very fair one in this context. As far as the TRC Master Classes are concerned, these are wonderful opportunities to work closely and have in-depth discussions with eminent scholars
and leaders in the fields and if some of these could be more focused on historical topics it would help bind and connect the MWP and historians within it much more closely and integrally than is the case at present. The HEC Department, in its turn, could also perhaps do more to integrate Max Weber Fellows by offering more opportunities to engage with the department and more specifically with Fellows and students. Fellows were especially unhappy with the way their presentations to the department were scheduled at the beginning of the year. There seems to have been no effort made to communicate with Fellows at the beginning of the year to help plan the presentation schedule in a manner that would be convenient and conducive to everyone concerned. Fellows did not know when they were presenting until quite late, and quite close to the presentations. The presentations were scheduled immediately following the intense period of Max Weber presentations in September, which was also the month in which most Fellows arrived and had to cope with all the practical and bureaucratic ramifications of moving to a new institution, city, or even country, finding and setting up a home, settling in families, and other pressing matters. In addition, October and November are the months when those on the US job market are particularly preoccupied with applying for jobs and travelling to interviews. On the other hand the History presentations were a really important opportunity to present and discuss our research with historians, both professors and researchers in the department and it was a real loss not to be able to do this better. It is widely felt that better communication, planning and organization of these presentations would make a vast difference to the experience of MWP historians and help them integrate better with the department from the very beginning.

The extensive and pervasive sense of spatial and intellectual disconnection was reinforced by a real sense of structural and economic disparity felt by Max Weber historians, in relation to other Max Weber Fellows. Historians, like Fellows in Law, had no opportunity to apply for a second year. This made us feel the pressures of an intensely difficult and meagre job market even more acutely. The level of pressure in turn adversely affected our ability to integrate and engage intellectually and socially with the programme and with the HEC department and the EUI. Equally or more perniciously we felt for these reasons unable to take advantage of the many excellent, well-planned and well-executed opportunities for career development and enhancement and transferable skills training that were offered. Other Max Webers were able to take advantage of these or once enrolled, get more out of these than we could, simply because we were already juggling our own research and writing, Max Weber requirements and activities, family demands (for those who came with families) and over and above this the stark need to find another job immediately, for the coming academic year, constantly staring us in the face.
These various inequalities and discontinuities are understood by Fellows to be interrelated, and they affect each other. For example, if History Fellows had two-year Fellowships they would have more opportunities to interact and integrate with the HEC Department and build relationships and networks with not only mentors but other professors and researchers which could benefit them tremendously in their future careers and research.

In sum, the HEC is indubitably and strongly felt to be a fantastic department, intellectually strong with brilliant scholars and students, and a rich variety of research and interests amongst faculty and PhD researchers, as well as an excellent and enviable range of lectures, workshops, and seminars with EUI faculty as well as visitors and invited speakers who are at the forefront of their field. It is a wonderful place to work, learn and build relationships and future careers. However, Max Weber History Fellows cannot at present take full advantage of these excellent qualities and the exceptional context of the HEC Department because of the structural, spatial, and intellectual disconnections described above, which unhappily make for a less than optimal set of conditions in which to live and work, make lasting connections and build collaborations, produce field-changing research, or build sound and fruitful future careers.

**LAW DEPARTMENT**

(Reps: Valentin Jentsch and Anna Wallerman)

All of us enjoyed the programme a lot and were all extraordinarily happy to be part of it. After liaising with our colleagues, we would like to stress the following positive and negative points in particular:

- The general concept of the program – i.e., a few mandatory tasks with a lot of optional courses that we could select in addition to that – worked pretty well for (most/all of) us. The teaching certificate module was largely perceived to be the most useful component.
- Many of the Fellows felt that a one-year fellowship is insufficient to take full advantage of the opportunities provided by the MWP. This is particularly true as many Fellows are simultaneously on the job market, which is in itself highly time consuming. A majority would recommend that the programme be extended to two years also for LAW Fellows.
- We felt that there could be more interaction between the MW LAW Fellows and the LAW faculty. The mentorship system is great, but perhaps mentors could have received a little more guidance about integrating us into the disciplinary activities. It would be in particular desirable to include us Fellows even more often in seminars and workshops organized by EUI professors. It would also be nice to be informed of/included in the working groups. One simple strategy to improve this could be to receive departmental information early on (assuming that people can make better informed decisions about dividing their time between the MWP and activities over the year if they can be offered the guidance in September).
- Similarly, mentors could be more proactive about connecting MW Fellows with PhD researchers who have similar research interests. Perhaps organizing the LAW MWF presentations earlier in the year (this year they were held in February) and making them more open/attractive to researchers by better organizing them around particular themes would make it easier to identify common research interests earlier.
- We found the research environment without exception very stimulating and the library and library services (such as inter library loans) most helpful and efficient.
- The office situations for us Fellows could (and should) be improved. There are sometimes many of us (too many?) gathered into one single office, and the infrastructure – especially during the cold winter months – was not always in perfect shape. One strategy could be getting some working spaces in Villa Salviati (not an exclusive desk/office, but perhaps some common space with desks we could use or a locker or access to the visiting Fellows offices to use desks that might be empty or at least promotion of spaces used by researchers also for us).

**RSCAS**

(Reps: Aydin Yildirim)

- In general, all of the Fellows were grateful for all the opportunities offered by the programme. RSC Fellows have been actively involved in a number of social and academic activities outside the requirements of the MW programme, such as LGBTQ club, executive seminar programmes, Robert Schuman Centre Seminars. In doing so, they have been taking advantage of the Fellowship and the EUI to its fullest extent.
- Executive seminar programs offered by the Robert Schuman Centre usually allow EUI researchers to attend for free. It would perhaps be quite nice to advertise them to MW Fellows for them to attend / audit them.
- MW Fellows expressed some concerns regarding communication between RSC and MW, as well as communication between themselves
and the MW programme. These were mostly related to a few things:

- We wondered if the overlap of events could perhaps be better avoided with better coordination between the MWP, the departments and RSC.
- RSC Fellows suggested that RSC Seminars that involve MW Fellows could be better disseminated so the other Fellows can participate if they wish so (no other MW Fellow joined to our RSC seminars over the year...)
- Fellows noted that since RSC do not receive departmental e-mails, if it would be possible to receive information on events involving other MW Fellows in their departments.
- One idea that was put forward was to include ‘What are Fellows up to this week’ to the e-mail circulated on Fridays. This would give an overview of activities and make it easier for Fellows to track what is happening with their peers.
- Clearly this would require input from the Fellows. Early encouragement would perhaps be useful.
- Some Fellows noted issues regarding the office space in the RSC. Clearly certain issues do not fall into the responsibility of the MW team, but one thing we thought was to have hot desks for RSC Fellows in/near Badia.
- I was later told that hot desks are indeed available. This could be better communicated and made explicit to the RSC Fellows.

In addition, the SPS Fellows have acknowledged the value of the teaching certificate programme and would like to emphatically stress that Professor Lynn McAlpine has done outstanding work. Fellows who participated in the teaching programme reported that they profited from the training workshops and that they very much enjoyed the teaching week.

The SPS Max Weber Fellows recognize that, notwithstanding the overwhelmingly positive attributes of the programme, there is still some scope for further improvement. Regarding career development opportunities, a Fellow has mentioned to me the suggestion that the ACO conference should take place earlier in the academic year so that Fellows have an earlier overview of the available funding opportunities and enough time to plan ahead for applications. The same Fellow expressed the view that career development seminars and workshops should extend to offer assistance in the design and delivery of research projects, including funding proposals, such as the ones we have been asked to submit.

Another issue raised was the involvement of MW Fellows in teaching at the SPS Department. The idea is that Fellows with little or no teaching background can profit from engaging in actual teaching and will gain useful experience that would strengthen their CV. Finally, I have received a comment on the selection and delivery of Max Weber Lectures, stating a preference for further involvement of Max Weber Fellows and calling for more diversity in terms of geographical, demographic and thematic representation aiming at the inclusion of a broader range of academic views.

This year, Max Weber Fellows from the SPS department have had the unique opportunity to act as mentors to PhD students in the same department as part of the Max Weber mentorship programme. A few Fellows participated but the results have been inconsistent. Issues of commitment have been reported from both Fellows and PhD students. For the Programme to succeed and carry on, both sides should make a greater effort to communicate with one another and establish and maintain a working relationship.

As a final note, the SPS Max Weber Fellows would like to acknowledge the excellent work of the Max Weber team, both the administration and the linguistic service. The Max Weber team has been very helpful, available and friendly.
3. THEMATIC RESEARCH GROUP REPORTS

CITIZENSHIP AND MIGRATION
(Rep: Vivian Gerrand)

The Citizenship and Migration Working Group consisted of scholars of a variety of disciplinary backgrounds including cultural migration studies, history, linguistics, economics and sociology. We formally met only once, in May this year. Prior to this meeting, which occurred in the form of a migration studies workshop, papers were circulated. At the workshop, members of this thematic group presented their research, and received substantial feedback from conveners Professor Anna Triandafyllidou and Andrew Geddes and visiting Professors Tiziana Caponio (University of Turin) and Tomás Jiménez (Stanford University) and audience members from the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies. This occasion provided an excellent opportunity for stimulating cross-disciplinary exchanges and insights. Members of the thematic group were on the whole satisfied with this format.

Throughout the year, citizenship and migration group members were invited to participate in migration working group events, though only two Robert Schuman Centre-based members of our small group did this on a regular basis.

In April, following Professor Alexander Alienikoff’s (The New School) Max Weber lecture on ‘Mobility and Immobility: Migrants and Refugees’, one member of our group interviewed Professor Alienikoff. A masterclass with Professor Alienikoff on ‘The Arc of Protection: Toward a New International Refugee Regime’, was held with our group.

Suggestions:
Our Thematic Research Group was comprised of very few members and we met only once in the framework of a workshop in which three members of the group presented their work. Members of this group felt it would be worthwhile to have one other meeting during the year, perhaps in anticipation of the interdisciplinary workshop. At this meeting, members of the thematic groups would have the chance to choose a particular topic of shared interest that could be approached from the different disciplinary perspectives in order to enhance the discussion. Alternatively, it could be useful to pre-circulate one or two papers which could then be collectively discussed.

EUROPE IN THE WORLD: INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS, INTERNATIONAL SECURITY AND WORLD POLITICS
(Rep: Robin Markwica)

The Europe in the World Thematic Research Group focuses on the study of Europe’s international relations and its role in global affairs, but it also embraces innovative projects engaging with other geographical regions and issue areas. It is organized by Prof. Ulrich Krotz (SPS/RSCAS), Dr. Richard Maher (RSCAS) and Prof. Federico Romero (HEC). Through its research seminar series and publications, the Research Group integrates politically relevant empirical analysis with theoretical insights from a wide range of perspectives in political science, international relations, history, sociology, international law and other fields.

Membership
In 2017/18, the Research Group comprised a diverse and vibrant community of PhD researchers, Max Weber Fellows (MWFs), Jean Monnet Fellows, Marie Curie Fellows, EUI faculty members, visiting scholars and policymakers. Its members specialized in a broad spectrum of research areas ranging from foreign policy analysis, security studies and grand strategy to global and imperial history, international law, international institutions, political economy and international trade. The group included nine MWFs from four different departments: Angelo Caglioti (HEC), Ioanna Hadjiyianni (LAW), Marina Henke (SPS), Stefano Marcuzzi (RSCAS), Robin Markwica (RSCAS), Akisato Suzuki (SPS), Christos Tsakas (HEC), Paul van Hooft (SfPS) and Aydin Baris Yildirim (RSCAS).

The Research Group met on a regular basis throughout the year. Participants had the opportunity to present their work-in-progress and to receive detailed feedback from the conveners and the other participants.

Research Seminar Series
The group’s research seminar series included eleven 2-hour sessions this academic year. At each session, a member of the group would briefly introduce the speaker; the speaker would present for about 45 minutes to be followed by 75 minutes of Q&A and feedback from the audience:


12. In addition to these formal sessions, there were numerous informal gatherings, where the conveners took their time to meet with PhD researchers and postdocs to discuss their research and to provide career advice.

Moreover, a number of MWFs took the opportunity to participate in the three-day Executive Training Seminar on ‘Transatlantic Relations: Past, Present and Future’ (7-9 May 2018) organized by the Europe in the World conveners Ulrich Krotz and Richard Maher. Speakers included prominent scholars and practitioners, such as Prof. Stephen Brooks (Dartmouth College), Prof. Barry Posen (Massachusetts Institute of Technology), Jeremy Shapiro (Research Director, European Council on Foreign Relations) and Nathalie Tocci (Special Adviser to the High Representative of the European Union for Foreign Affairs). On a scale from 1 (lowest) to 5 (highest), the executive training seminar reached an overall satisfaction rate of 4.71 in the subsequent anonymous evaluation survey of the participants.

**Overall Evaluation**

In June 2018, the Max Weber Programme team conducted an anonymous evaluation survey of the Europe in the World Research Group and other thematic research groups among current MWFs. The results speak for themselves: 40% of respondents felt that the Europe in the World Group was ‘well organized’, and the remaining 60% thought that it was ‘very well organized’. Of those MWFs who presented their work in the group’s research seminar series, 100% felt that they received helpful feedback. Furthermore, 100% of respondents were satisfied with the conveners Ulrich Krotz, Richard Maher and Federico Romero. They felt that the presence of these senior academics enhanced the value of the group substantially. According to one respondent, the conveners were ‘very approachable, knowledgeable and encouraging’. Another respondent found that they ‘managed to bring together an incredibly strong community of scholars. The Europe in the World Group turned out to be the ideal forum for me to meet other scholars with similar interests, to discuss my work and to form friendships’. This has ‘greatly enriched our discussions and provided me with valuable insights’. A further respondent noted that they ‘especially appreciated Ulrich’s and Federico’s feedback and advice after presentations. Their comments proved extremely helpful’. Finally, 100% of respondents felt that participation in the group enhanced the value of their Max Weber Fellowship.

An additional evaluation survey gave the MWFs in the Europe in the World Group the opportunity to provide some more specific written feedback and suggestions for improvement. Respondent 1 particularly appreciated the fact that there was a regular meeting schedule with a wide variety of topics and that the group consisted of a mix of PhD researchers, postdocs, professors and policymakers. This inclusive approach by the conveners opened up invaluable opportunities for exchange and collaboration not only with other MWFs, but also with PhD researchers as well as senior scholars in the field. Respondent 2 thought that ‘it was an amazing opportunity to be able to present my work’ in the group. ‘The organisers are very nice, welcoming and give very useful feedback’. S/he suggested that ‘what could potentially be improved is the collaboration among the MWFs that are members of the group – perhaps through a writers’ group to give each other feedback’. Respondent 3 concluded that ‘attending and participating was very nice indeed’. S/he ‘found all the presentations engaging and quite different from
each other – both in terms of substance and methods.’ S/he also noted that ‘Ulrich and Richard are on top of their stuff and very approachable. To enhance the value of the seminar series even further, s/he ‘would encourage the dissemination of papers beforehand.’ Respondent 4 thought that the research group was ‘was very good. Ulrich did a great job.’ Respondent 5 observed that s/he ‘very much liked the critical, but also encouraging and constructive, atmosphere during the presentations.’ Lastly, respondent 6 suggested that the research group was ‘perfect the way it’s run. I can’t think of any improvements.’ It was ‘the highlight of the academic year for me.’

Many thanks to Ulrich Krotz, Richard Maher and Federico Romero for all their time, effort and generosity that made the Europe in the World Research Group such an enriching experience.

GOVERNANCE, CONSTITUTIONALISM AND DEMOCRACY
(Rep: Marta Morvillo)

The Thematic Research Group on Governance, Constitutionalism and Democracy met six times during the year (October-April). Ten papers were presented and discussed and the group hosted a masterclass by Prof. Wolfgang Schön. The group’s organization worked well and its activities were generally very much appreciated by group members, especially as all participants engaged with each other’s work in a thorough and constructive way. The input provided by academic leads was also highly valued.

The main points raised by MW Fellows concerned the approach to interdisciplinary discussion and the masterclasses. We felt that more structure in approaching interdisciplinarity within the group would have enhanced the quality of the discussion and feedback. In particular:

- more emphasis on methodologies would have been helpful in approaching papers from different disciplines and allowed us to gain a better understanding of the peculiarities of each disciplinary approach. Possible ways to engage in a more thorough discussion on methodologies could include arranging one or more introductory sessions on the methodological approaches adopted in the different sub-fields or sub-themes present within the group (i.e. discussing something ‘disciplinary’ before engaging in interdisciplinary debate, so that everyone can have at least a basic understanding of the others’ approach).

A similar point has been raised with regard to research questions, with the aim of increasing Fellows’ awareness not only of how researchers in different disciplines address questions, but also what type of questions they raise in the first place (e.g. descriptive, explanatory, normative).

- changing the structure of the presentations could be considered, in order to facilitate interdisciplinary dialogue. Instead of having a presentation by the author, followed by the discussant’s comments, the paper could be presented by the discussant, followed by the author’s reaction. This could enable the author to see whether the core points of the paper are also clear to a non-disciplinary readership.

- structuring feedback more clearly could help providing effective comments, avoiding the risk of both a purely intra-disciplinary debate and of feedback that fails to be constructive (as was the case on some occasions).

As to the masterclasses, while the format was appreciated, the masterclass held within the TRG failed to meet the interests of most Fellows, which was perceived as a missed opportunity to engage in a closer discussion with one of the MWP invited guests.

LEGAL AND POLITICAL THEORY AND HISTORY OF IDEAS
(Rep: Chiara Destri)

Our experience in the TRG has been positive and fruitful. All Fellows agree that the possibility to discuss their papers and get feedback from colleagues of different disciplines has been very helpful. Also the structure of sessions, with a designated discussant, worked pretty well. In particular, we appreciated Richard Bellamy’s idea to share a paper of his together with reviewers’ comments, for two main reasons. The first is that it was somehow reassuring and encouraging to see that rejections happen to all of us, even the best among us, and at every stage of one’s career. The second reason is that it provided us with the opportunity to reflect on the merit of reviews and to think of a paper as an artisanal work, where even small amendments determine noticeable upgrades in both the structure and wording of a paper.

However, we also believe that there is still room for improvement. I will list four suggestions we offer:

First, we felt that discussing two papers in each session time was both more difficult to arrange, given our different schedules, and more demanding, resulting in each of us focusing more on one paper or the other. We think that shorter meetings of one hour for one paper would be easier to arrange and would allow participants to engage properly with each other’s papers.
Second, if possible, the attendance of a senior scholar from the Law Department (preferably working in Legal Theory) would be appreciated. Since Richard Bellamy and Ann Thomson represented Political Theory and History of Political Thought, respectively, someone versed in Legal Theory would make the TRG team more complete.

Third, we thought that the masterclasses related to the MW Lectures were very interesting, but they did not match the research field of all the participants in the TRG. We understand that it might be hard to involve the Fellows in the choice of lecturers, but maybe incoming Fellows could offer a few names for the MW Lectures when they submit their application (in order to give the MW Team the necessary time to organize the lecture series) or former Fellows could give suggestions for the upcoming year.

Finally, we believe that the TRG could be even more helpful if each Fellow had the chance to present his or her paper at least twice, in order to check one's progress, especially if the paper presented is the one submitted as a Working Paper by the end of March.

TOMMASO PADOA-SCHIOPPA: MONEY, FINANCE AND REGULATION IN EUROPE
(Rep: João Rafael Cunha)

The Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa (TPS) thematic research group consisted of scholars from different disciplines and backgrounds, which created an engaging discussion group.

We found it useful to present our work to people who were working on different topics and using different methods. It required us to talk more explicitly than normally about the choices made throughout our work. Additionally, it was interesting to learn about how people structure research in the different fields and what kinds of questions motivate them.

The management of the group, the presentations, and the timing of the meetings could have been better organized. It seemed that things were being figured out as we went along.

Moreover, there were big differences among participants in terms of style and form (i.e. was the person presenting a book project, an article, a research project). These differences often became a central focus of debate and maybe distracted us from more important substantive issues. Also, the style of questioning is very different among the disciplines (i.e. do you ask clarifying questions throughout or wait for a synoptic discussion at the end), and this caused some confusion and tension.

Furthermore, we were somewhat disappointed by the fact that none of the professors presented their work or organized a discussion around an interesting reading or hot current topic.

Moreover, we felt that the policymaking perspective was underexplored in the group, especially given that the group was led by a professor with strong ties to policymaking.

Finally, the value of interdisciplinary research was not clear when the researchers are working on different subject areas as well as different methods and different disciplinary backgrounds. In other words, it was a bit too much divergence in the end, to the extent that researchers couldn’t understand each other at all.

Suggestions:
We think the thematic group would be more effective if we clarified from the beginning what its objectives are, established a schedule with tentative presentations, and defined some basic guidelines about the content and procedure of the presentations.

Organize each session around a theme or a policy question to be answered, which can be approached from different disciplines.

Explore the possibility of extending the thematic group to a reading group or a discussion group; although presenting each other’s research was very interesting, perhaps the two approaches could be combined, for instance by dividing the academic year in two parts.

To explore the policymaking perspective more, the TPS group could aim to have short policy papers based on our research, which could subsequently be published on the EUI website.
The Academic Careers Observatory (ACO) is an initiative of the Max Weber Programme. ACO facilitates the diffusion of knowledge among young researchers about academic careers and research opportunities in the social sciences and humanities, throughout Europe and beyond. Career planning for PhD candidates and postdoctoral fellows can be easy in one’s own country of reference, but often proves more challenging when trying to take advantage of the international job market.

ACO provides information on academic careers by country, discipline and theme, and links to research opportunities in Europe, job platforms and a list of funding opportunities from postdoctoral to professorial level.

**ACO CONFERENCE**

On 31 January, ACO organised the conference ‘National and European Research Funding Opportunities in the Social Sciences for Young Researchers’. The main purpose of this conference was to gather together representatives of European and national funding agencies, professors and young academics to discuss research schemes and grants available to international researchers in the Social Sciences and Humanities.

The conference was divided into four main sessions:

- European funding opportunities for young researchers;
- Roundtable: Advice and Insight on the Application Process for European Grants;
- National Funding Opportunities for Young Researchers;
- Seminar: Top Tips for Grant-Writing for Postdocs: Dos and Don’ts.

This year ACO hosted delegates from the European Commission Research Executive Agency, the EACEA and the European Research Council Executive Agency, as well as from Austria, Germany, Switzerland, the Netherlands, the US and the UK.

Further information on the conference (full programme and presentations): [webpage link](#)
**Webpage update 2017-2018**

The new interactive Max Weber Alumni Network Map was officially launched in June 2018. The map offers an immediate visual description of the essentially global reach of the Programme and was designed to facilitate networking among and with the MWP Alumni.

The map was realized thanks to the invaluable help and continuous support of the EUI web unit.

**Future developments**

In 2018-2019, ACO will continue with its regular webpage updates in order to keep its audience informed about academic careers and research opportunities in Europe and beyond.

ACO’s next annual conference on research funding opportunities takes place on 12 December 2018.
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Max Weber Fellows 2017-2018
PER F. ANDERSSON (SWE)

Departmental affiliation: SPS  
Mentor: Philipp Genschel

Per Andersson is a political scientist interested in the historical coevolution of political institutions and taxation. In particular, he researches the link between government budgets and democratization, and how different forms of democracy affect the way ideology has an impact on tax systems. He is also interested in how constitutions affect the capacity of governments to invest in the future and to cope with urgent crises.

MWP WORKING PAPER

'Power-Sharing and Income Taxation in Non-Democratic States' MWP/WP/RNS 2018/19

During my first year I attended a number of workshops, for example, methodological workshops in web scraping using Python and Bayesian statistics in R. The Max Weber Programme also offered a number of courses, such as academic writing and editing, and the Max Weber Teaching Certificate, which were extremely valuable. I was also part of a thematic research group (Governance, Constitutionalism and Democracy), which met regularly and discussed papers presented by members of the group. In addition to this, I attended meetings with other research groups, as well as workshops and lectures related to my specific subfield. During the year I also had the pleasure of commenting on PhD student prospectuses as well as on a book that was presented as part of a Max Weber Multidisciplinary Workshop.

PUBLISHED WORK

Paper (coauthored with Johannes Lindvall) accepted for publication in the Journal of Theoretical Politics. Presentation (by invitation) at the Max Planck Institute for Tax Law and Public Finance in Munich. Presentation (by invitation) at the departmental seminar at the SPS department at the EUI. Presented ongoing work at the 42nd Annual Conference of the Social Science History Association in Montréal. Attended (by invitation) the Pathways to Modern Taxation workshop at the EUI. Co-organized and attended the Max Weber Multidisciplinary Workshop on Taxation and Public Finance. Co-organized the Max Weber June Conference.
Saeed Bagheri is a Max Weber Postdoctoral Fellow in the Law Department at the EUI. He teaches and writes on public international law with a particular focus on the law of armed conflict, the law governing the use of armed force, human rights, nuclear law and international peace and security. Saeed earned his PhD in Public International Law in 2015 from Ankara University. He served as assistant professor at the Faculty of Law of Akdeniz University in Turkey (2015-17). He was also a visiting lecturer at University College London (UCL), teaching international law to pre-master students.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘The Constitutional Referendum to Create the New Republic of Artsakh in Nagorno-Karabakh: the Perspective of International Law’

PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATION

ONLINE PUBLICATIONS
‘Self-Defence Against the PKK? The Turkish Approach to International Law’, Völkerrechtsblog (22 December 2017), http://voelkerrechtsblog.org/self-defense-against-the-pkk/

CONFERENCE/SEMINAR/WORKSHOP PRESENTATIONS
Raquel was awarded a DPhil in Law by the University of Oxford in 2014, with a dissertation titled ‘Explaining Meaning: Towards a Minimalist Account of Legal Interpretation’, supervised by Professor John Gardner. Her primary research interests are in general jurisprudence with a focus on interpretation, reasoning and reasons, authority, discretion, rules and norms. As a Max Weber Fellow, Raquel started a new project on the epistemic, psychological, moral, interpersonal, and political dimensions of trust and trustworthiness, with a special focus on the connections between authority and trust in judicial decision making. She is a Teaching Fellow in Jurisprudence at UCL Faculty of Laws.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘Some thoughts on interpretation and authority’

September 2017: MWP September presentation: ‘Why Trust Matters’
November 2017: Thematic Group seminar presentation: ‘Minimalist thoughts on interpretation and authority’
December 2017: Max Weber Occasional Lecture: seminar on ‘Interpretation and Homonymous Activities’, by Professor Timothy Endicott (University of Oxford), organiser.
February Paper Workshop with PhD students, EUI Law Department, seminar leader with Professor Jurgen Kurtz.
March 2018: Seminar on ‘60 Years of The European Court of Justice: From “Benign Neglect” to the Centre of European Politics’, with Professor Miguel Poiares Maduro (EUI School of Transnational Governance), organiser.
April 2018: ‘Trust Matters’ Multidisciplinary Workshop, EUI, co-organiser and contributor.
June 2018: Max Weber June Conference, Constitutionalism and Democracy panel, chair.
ANGELO MATTEO CAGLIOTI (ITA)

**Departmental affiliation:** History and Civilization  
**Mentor:** Lucy Riall and Corinna Unger

Angelo Matteo Caglioti is a historian of European imperialism in the 19th and 20th century. His research is at the intersection of environmental history and the history of science, with particular focus on African ecologies and environmental sciences in the Italian colonial empire. He received a Laurea Magistrale from the University of Padua and a PhD in History at the University of California, Berkeley. In 2018/2019, he will be a lecturer in history of science at Berkeley and will later join the Rachel Carson Center for Environment and Society at the Ludwig-Maximilians Universität in Munich for a writing fellowship.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**


Angelo Caglioti co-organized the Max Weber Workshop ‘National Communities and International Organizations’ with Madeleine Dungy and León Castellanos. In the History Department, he co-taught the seminar ‘Empires in Modern History’ with Professors Pieter Judson and Lucy Riall, presented his research at the ‘Imperial History’ working group, and organized a lecture on the history of environmental migrations. He presented at workshops at the universities of Cambridge, Yale and Erfurt, as well as the Annual Meeting of the American Historical Association in Washington D.C. He also pursued archival research in Florence and Rome, chaired two Max Weber lectures, and finalized his book proposal thanks to the activities of the Max Weber Programme.

**PANELS ORGANIZED**


‘Fascist Internationalism. Rethinking Italian Colonialism in a Global Age’, MWP workshop, National Communities and International Institutions, EUI, Florence (Italy), June 11-12, 2018.

‘Ethiopia 1935: The Failure of Trans-Imperial Cooperation,’ International workshop Trans-Imperial Cooperation and Transfers in the Age of Colonial Globalization, Gotha Research Centre and Erfurt University (Germany), March 22-24, 2018.


MATTHEW CANFIELD (USA)

Departmental affiliation: Law
Mentor: Nehal Bhuta

Matthew Canfield holds a PhD in Cultural Anthropology from New York University. His research focuses on transnational legal mobilization in the context of global food security governance. He has forthcoming articles in the *Law & Society Review, Public Culture, and Studies in Law, Politics, and Society*. Previously he held fellowships at the Max Planck Institute for Social Anthropology and the Regulatory Institutions Network at Australia National University. In the Fall, he will join Drake University (USA) as Assistant Professor in the Law, Politics and Society

Program.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**

**CLAIMING FOOD SOVEREIGNTY: LEGAL MOBILIZATION AND GLOBAL GOVERNANCE FROM BELOW** MWP/WP/RNS 2018/26

**PEER-REVIEWED ARTICLES**


**CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS**

2018. ‘From Colonialism to Collaboration: The Energopolitics of Global Food Governance’ Symposium of Transnational Legal Theory. King’s College London.


2018. ‘Farm Workers Rising: How Farm Worker Organizations are Responding to Transformations in the Food System Through Innovative Legal Strategies’ (with Manoj Dias-Abey), Socio-Legal Studies Association, Bristol, UK


**ACADEMIC SERVICE**

Scientific Committee, Max Weber Conference 2018
Leonardo Ariel Carrió Cataldi (ARG)

**Departmental affiliation:** HEC

**Mentor:** Jorge Flores

Leonardo Ariel Carrió Cataldi received a joint PhD diploma in History from the EHESS (France) and the SNS (Italy), in October 2015 (programme, Europe and the Invention of Modernity). His research focuses on the early modern Portuguese and Spanish empires through the lens of the history of science and technology. During the forthcoming year (2018-2019), Leonardo will join the Casa de Velázquez as member of the École des hautes études hispaniques et ibériques. Between September and December, he will be Berenson fellow at the Harvard University Center for Italian Renaissance Studies, Villa I Tatti.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**

‘André de Avelar and the city of Coimbra: spaces of knowledge and belief during the early modern Iberian Union’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/39

**ARTICLES**


**BOOKS REVIEWS**


**SUBMITTED**

*Book Chapters*


‘Chronology, Chronography and Cosmography: The Sevillian Laboratory, 1540’ ca., in Michal Choptiany (ed.), Early Modern Chronologies, Leiden, Brill. Submitted. Forthcoming

**WORK IN PROGRESS**

*Temps, science et empire. Conceptions du temps au XVIe siècle dans les monarchies ibériques.* Book proposal submitted and accepted, ENS Lyon éditions

*Book Chapters*

‘André de Avelar (1546?-1623) and the city of Coimbra: mathematics, religion and superstition’ in Bert De Munck & Antonella Romano (eds.), *Knowledge and the Early Modern City*, vol. 1, Routledge, in preparation, forthcoming, 2018

**INVITED RESEARCHER**

December 2017. Framework Project ECOS-SUD-CONCYCT: *Fragments de monde en transit. Objets et artefacts américains en Europe.*, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile (Chile)

**ACADEMIC SERVICE**

Training Seminar, March Paper on Early Modern History EUI. 2nd term.


Teaching certificate programme, EUI-Pompeu Fabra University

Organization of academic events

León is joining the Asser Institute for International and European Law in The Hague as a researcher in human rights and memory laws in September 2018. He obtained his PhD in International Law at the Graduate Institute of International and Development Studies, Geneva, and held a postdoctoral grant awarded by the Swiss National Science Foundation during his Max Weber Fellowship. His research interests include international human rights law, the history of international law, comparative constitutional law and international legal theory.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**
‘Nationality, Alienage and Early International Rights’,

**EDITED VOLUME**

**BOOK CHAPTERS**

**WORKSHOP**
National Political Communities and International Institutions, Max Weber Interdisciplinary Research Workshop, European University Institute, 11-12 June 2018. Co-organizer with Madeleine Dungy (MWF-HEC)

**TEACHING**
Visiting Lecturer, Bocconi University School of Law. Course taught: The Law of International Organizations (fall 2017).

**SELECTED TALKS**
‘Nationality, Alienage and Early International Rights’, Lauterpacht Centre for International Law, University of Cambridge, Legal Histories Beyond the State Seminar, 9 May 2018.
‘Nationalism and International Rights after World War One’, Nationalism Working Group, European University Institute, 22 January 2018.
Mirjam Dageförde is a political scientist interested in the relation of citizens and politics. She is an Associated Researcher at Sciences Po Paris (CEE, LIEPP), and in early 2018 was an OXPO-fellow, conducting research at Nuffield College, Oxford. Before joining the EUI, she was a Researcher and Lecturer at Sciences Po in the Euro-American program. Mirjam received her PhD from Sciences Po, Paris (France) in 2017, with a dissertation titled 'Evaluating representation from citizens' perspective: concepts of congruence, context and Europeans' representational judgments.' She uses mixed methods and frameworks including representation theory, comparative politics, research on political parties and public opinion.

MWP WORKING PAPER
'Shifting voters, shifting parties? Congruence on multiple issue-dimensions in the wake of the crisis' MWP/ WP/RNS 2018/34

Mirjam participated and presented her work regularly in the thematic group Governance, Constitutionalism and Democracy, the Comparative Politics Seminar, the Political Behavior Seminar, the data clinic and discussions or roundtables. She continues to mentor PhD students in the SPS department and participated in activities at the School of Transnational Governance (e.g. Leaders beyond the state). She also co-organized several workshops and conferences and served as a discussant on diverse occasions.

PAPERS AND CHAPTERS

TALKS AND SEMINARS
'Evaluating representation from citizens’ perspective: Towards an individual perspective on congruence', presentation in diverse seminars and conferences.
'Societal inequality and representation (gaps)', workshop on national political communities and international institutions & conference.
with Nicolas Sauger: 'Output Legitimacy? EU policies, national policies and EU support', CES conference & workshop on the future of Europe at the University of Oxford, Nuffield College.
with Emiliano Grossman: 'Why are citizens satisfied with public policies (or not)?', CAP conference, Amsterdam.
Chiara Destri (ITA)

Departmental affiliation: SPS
Mentor: Prof. Richard Bellamy

Chiara Destri received her PhD in Political Studies from the University of Milan in 2017, with a thesis in Political Theory offering a prudential justification of the legitimate authority of democracy. Her research interests cover normative theories of deliberative and epistemic democracy, democratic legitimacy, authority and political obligation. Chiara’s current research focuses on the development of a diversified theory of political obligation for democratic citizens and political parties. She has been a visiting scholar at the University of Arizona, Tucson, and at the Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales, Paris. She is a Justitia Amplificata Postdoctoral Fellow in Frankfurt in 2018/2019.

MWP WORKING PAPER

I presented a paper in my Thematic Research Group in October and then split the paper into two different pieces, one of which became my MWP Working Paper, while I presented the second, together with two other papers I am working on, at several venues in Italy and Europe. I took advantage of various MW Academic Activities, and I interviewed Prof. Jan-Werner Müller in January, after his lecture on populism. I attended Rainer Bauböck’s courses on Liberalism and Republicanism and on Citizenship studies during the first two terms, while I mentored one of his PhD researchers in the second and third term of the year. I taught one module in Public Philosophy at the University of Milan in January and February and one class at UCL for the Teaching Exchange week in May. I sent a book proposal for review to Sarah Caro and organized a workshop with Tatyana Zhuravleva.

Book chapter ‘In Difesa dell’Eguale Impatto’, in E. Biale, C. Fumagalli (eds.) Innovazione Democratica, Milano: Fondazione Feltrinelli (forthcoming)
Teaching certificate, teaching exchange week at UCL, London, 14-18/05/2018
Conference organization: Multidisciplinary workshop, What is Corruption?, together with Tatyana Zhuravleva, 24/05/2018

PAPERS AND POSTER PRESENTATION
‘Democratic Federalism: An Oxymoron?’, co-authored with Carlo Burelli, SIFA Mid-term Conference, Genova, 01/12/2017 and Braga Meetings in Ethics and Political Philosophy, Braga, 11/06/2018
‘Il Dovere di Votare Informati’, Political Theory Permanent Seminar series, Pavia, 04/12/2017
‘Informed Voting: Is It a Duty?’, poster presentation at the MW June Conference, Florence, 14/06/2018
‘Citizens’ Input and Democratic Legitimacy’, Association of Social and Political Philosophy, Rome, 15/06/2017
‘Two Strands in Proceduralism’, ECPR General Conference, Hamburg, 24/08/2018

ACADEMIC SERVICE
Reviewer for American Political Science Review and Critical Review of International Social and Political Theory
PhD researcher mentorship
GIULIA MARIA DOTTI SANI (ITA)

**Departmental affiliation:** Social and Political Sciences  
**Mentor:** Fabrizio Bernardi

Giulia M Dotti Sani holds a PhD in Sociology and Social Research. Her main research areas are sociology of the family, female employment and social stratification. Her methodological skills include time budget analysis, multilevel and panel modeling. She is specialized in the management and analyses of large household longitudinal and cross-sectional datasets and has several years of teaching experience in quantitative methods at the master and PhD level. Her works appear in international peer reviewed journals such as *the European Sociological Review, Journal of Marriage and Family, Sex Roles and European Journal of Political Research*.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**

'Attitudes towards the right to adopt of gay male and lesbian couples: a cross-national comparison of 17 countries' MWP/WP/RNS 2018/20

During the year I pursued several individual and collaborative projects. The most prominent regarded the completion of my monograph, *Time Use in Domestic Settings Throughout the Life Course. The Italian Case* (2018, Springer). The volume addresses gender differences in unpaid work – housework, childcare and adult care – over the life course in the Italian setting. I pursued a further project based on the Italian time use survey data, which resulted in an article on the relationship between work-family arrangements and parental childcare time. I presented preliminary results at the Italian conference of economic sociology in January in Milan and I recently submitted the article to an international peer-reviewed journal.

For my second substantial project I collaborated with the Italian team for the collection of European Social Survey data. I was responsible for the translation of the questionnaire and for the CAPI implementation in round 8; I am currently in charge of the translation unit for round 9. I used parts of the data, recently released, to co-author my Max Weber Working Paper. The article explores cross-national variation in attitudes towards the right to adopt of same-sex couples; it was accepted for the Max Weber conference in June 2018, and the ECSR conference in Paris in October 2018. I have recently launched a call for papers for the Italian journal Polis to collect contributions exploiting these data.

Finally, I used my time at the EUI to wrap up and submit three co-authored pieces of research: a theoretical article on the sharing of material resources within couples; an empirical article on the long-term consequences of maternity job interruptions for mothers’ income; and, lastly, an empirical contribution on gender differences in attitudes towards mathematics in cross-national perspective.
MADELEINE DUNGY (USA)

Departmental Affiliation: HEC
Mentor: Youssef Cassis

Madeleine Dungy studies international trade institutions during the era of the World Wars, with a particular focus on Europe. In 2017, she received a PhD in history from Harvard University and previously received a master's degree in history from the University of Oxford. Next year, she will be an International Fellow at the New Europe College in Bucharest. Madeleine is currently completing a monograph based on her doctoral research, ‘Seeking International Order: Trade Politics in Europe, 1900-1930’.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘International Commerce in the Wake of Empire: Central European Economic Integration between National and Imperial Sovereignty’

SELECTED WORK
24 October 2017: presented doctoral research to Tommaso Padoa-Scioppa Working Group, ‘Seeking International Order: Trade Politics in Europe, 1900-1930’
14 December 2017: interviewed Arne Westad, together with Victor Petrov, as complement to Westad’s Max Weber Lecture
5-7 March 2018: co-organized a research seminar in HEC department together with Youssef Cassis, Business Elites and International Organizations in the Twentieth Century
24 March 2018: presented new research to a conference at the German Historical Institute in Washington, DC on the theme Settlement and Unsettlement: The Ends of World War I and their Legacies
11 April, 2018: submitted new article, co-written with Patricia Clavin, to Diplomatic History, entitled ‘Trade, Law, and Global Order, 1914-1930’
11-12 June, 2018: Co-organized MWP multidisciplinary workshop on the theme National Political Communities and International Institutions, together with León Castellanos-Jankiewicz and Angelo Caglioti
MATTEO FOSCHI (ITA)

Departmental affiliation: Economics  
Mentor: Andrea Mattozzi

Matteo works in Microeconomic Theory. His research lies in the field of industrial organization, bounded rationality, and contract theory. Matteo received a PhD in Economics from the University of Leicester in 2016. His work focuses on studying how the results of classical contract theory and industrial organization economics are affected by the presence of individuals who suffer from behavioural biases, such as naïveté, temptation and self-control or overconfidence. Thanks to his work, in 2015-2016 Matteo was awarded the Royal Economic Society Junior Fellowship. In September 2018, Matteo joins Charles River Associates’ office in London as a Senior Associate.

MWP WORKING PAPER

‘Subjective Performance Evaluation of Employees with Biased Beliefs’ (with Luis Pinto), published as an ECO Working Paper 2017/08

SELECTED WORK

I started three new projects over the year. The working paper above as well as:  
‘Broken Tyres & Flat Engines: Signalling Expertise’ (with Maria Kozlovskaya & Alexandros Rigos)  
‘(Anti)Coordination with Endogenous Network Formation’ (with Pasqualina Arca)

I presented my work at:  
SOAS University of London  
CSEF, University of Naples  
RES conference 2018 (co-author presentation)  
SAEe 2017 conference  
RES Meetings 2017  
Nuffield College at Oxford  
Leicester  
Lund

I submitted three of my working papers for peer review.
Alexandra Fotiou is an applied econometrician with an interest in Macroeconomic issues. She holds a BSc in Statistics from Athens University of Economics and Business (AUEB), an MSc in Economic Theory from AUEB, and a PhD in Economics and Finance from Bocconi University, earned in 2017. She has held research positions and in 2017 was one of the 10 invited young economist at the ECB Forum on Central Banking in Sintra, selected among PhDs globally. Her broader research interests fall within the fields of Macroeconomics and Econometrics, with a keen focus on fiscal and monetary policy applications. Her PhD thesis examines policy-relevant questions related to the fiscal austerity debate and the issue of fiscal sustainability.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**


**WORKING PAPERS**

'Non-Linearities and Fiscal Policy'

'Plague or Panacea? State-dependent Effects of Public Investment', with Bogdan Cozmanca (ECB) and Marien Ferdinandusse (ECB)

'Stuck Like Glue: The level of debt and the effects of monetary policy shocks', with Evi Pappa (EUI) and Eugenia Vella (University of Sheffield)

'Contagion as a dealmaker? The effect of financial spillovers on international and regional lending programs'. with Alicja Ida Bonk (EUI) and Georgios Manalis (EUI)

**WORK IN PROGRESS**

'Fiscal Multipliers and the Financial Cycle', with Sebastian Rast (EUI) and Matthias Rottner (EUI)

**PRESENTATIONS**

Seminar: European Central Bank, New Economic School (Moscow)
Conference: Spring Meeting of Young Economists 2018; Max Weber June Conference 2018
Workshop: Taxes and Fiscal Policy (EUI)
EUI groups: Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa thematic group (presenter and discussant), ADEMU working group

**ACADEMIC SERVICE**

Referee for academic journals: Macroeconomic Dynamics, Economia Politica (Springer)
Scientific selection committee: Spring Meeting of Young Economists
Organizing committee: Interdisciplinary workshop, Taxes and Fiscal Policy; Max Weber June Conference 2018
EUI Econ Department: Interviewer of job market candidates; discussant of the 4th year PhD-Predefence Forum
EUI School of Transnational Governance: interviewer of Jean-Claude Trichet
TINE GADE (NOR)

Departmental affiliation: RSCAS
Mentor: Prof. Olivier Roy

Tine Gade is now taking up a permanent position as a Senior Research Fellow at the Norwegian Institute of International Affairs (NUPI), where she is the scientific coordinator of the Research Council Norway-funded project ‘Hybrid pathways to resistance in the Islamic world’ and also works on the RCN Toppforsk project ‘Evaluating Power Political Repertoires (EPOS)’. Holding a doctoral degree from Sciences Po, Paris (2015), Gade works on Islamism and comparative politics in the Middle East, and has conducted fieldwork in Lebanon and Iraq.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘State religious institutions in the face of Daesh: Responses to Jihadism in Saudi Arabia, Morocco and Lebanon’, published as an RSC paper, 2018

SELECTED WORK
‘Together all the way? Abeyance and co-optation of Sunni networks in Lebanon’, Social Movement studies, special issue article, under second review.
Main organizer of a research seminar at the Issam Fares Institute for Public Policy and International Affairs at the American University in Beirut in December 2017. The attendees included 20 Arab and Kurdish social science and humanities scholars, Muslim religious scholars and European researchers. Scientific co-ordinator of the project, Hybrid pathways to resistance in the Islamic world. I developed a common operational framework, and had regular meetings with partners in five countries. Moreover, I coordinated data collection in Mosul through our Iraqi partner. Papers presented at the ECPR general conference, Oslo, September 2017; NASP 3rd International Workshop on Conflicts and Institutions, Genova, June 2018; World Congress of Middle East Studies, Sevilla, July 2018; and at four MWP workshops. Presentations at MEDirections policy roundtables: ‘The Day After IS’ Military Defeat’, European Policy Centre (EPC), December 2017; roundtable on the Iraqi elections, EUI, May 2018. Obtained the teaching certificate with a teaching exchange at University College London in February 2018. I also supervised a Norwegian Master student.

Fieldwork in Lebanon in August and December 2017

Participated in three grant applications (RCN and ERC H2020).
VIVIAN GERRAND (AUS)

**Departmental affiliation:** RSCAS  
**Mentor:** Anna Triandafyllidou

Dr Vivian Gerrand was a Max Weber Research and an Endeavour Postdoctoral Fellow at the European University Institute in Florence, Italy in 2017-18, where she undertook pilot research on young people’s online image making to build resilience to violent extremism. From 2018-2021 she will be Research Fellow and Coordinator of the AVERT (Addressing Violent Extremism and Radicalisation to Terrorism) Research Network at the Alfred Deakin Institute for Citizenship and Globalisation, Deakin University, in Melbourne, Australia.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**  
'The role of image-making in the prevention of violence'

**RESEARCH REPORTS**  
2017 with Michele Grossman. *Interviewing families of young people who have joined or attempted to join violent conflict*. Research Institute for Social Cohesion (RIOSC). Melbourne: Community Resilience Unit, Department of Premier and Cabinet, State of Victoria, November

**CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS**  
2018 ‘Transcultural image-making as a source of resilience and hope.’ Diaspore italiane: Italy in Movement. A Symposium on Three Continents: Australia, United States, Italy. Living Transcultural Spaces, Melbourne, 6 April.  
2018 ‘Peace-building from locals. Florence, 1 June.  
The role of image-making in the prevention of violence, Florence, 7 May.

**MAX WEBER MULTIDISCIPLINARY WORKSHOPS**  
Peace-building from locals, Florence, 1 June.  
The role of image-making in the prevention of violence, Florence, 7 May.

**ACADEMIC SERVICE**  

**INVITED SPEAKER**  
2017 with Dr Chris Allen, Dr Arshad Isakjee and Ozlem Young at ‘Birmingham’s Muslims: In the city, of the city’ public event, Economic and Social Research Council Festival of Social Science, Birmingham, 9 November.

**SEMINARS**  
2017 ‘The role of image-making in the prevention of violent extremism.’ Borderscapes seminar, University ‘Orientale’ of Naples, 7 December.

**GRANT APPLICATIONS**  
Horizon 2020 ‘BraVe’ coordination and support action with Prof Triandafyllidou and Dr Scrinzi to counter polarisation and extreme ideologies with a consortium of seven European civil organisation and academic partners, March 2018.  
‘Talk Back’ Horizon 2020 proposal with Prof Triandafyllidou and Dr Scrinzi to develop and disseminate an online campaign to address reciprocal radicalization, January 2018.
DENIS GOREA (ROM)

Departmental affiliation: Economics  
Mentor: Árpád Ábrahám

Denis Gorea is an economist working on issues at the interplay between macroeconomics, real estate finance and taxation. Prior to joining the Max Weber Programme, he served as a Senior Economist in the Macro-Financial Studies division of the Bank of Canada. Denis obtained his PhD in Economics from Goethe University Frankfurt in 2014. During his graduate studies, he was a teaching assistant for undergraduate courses in Macroeconomics and worked as a PhD intern at the European Central Bank and the International Monetary Fund.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘Liquidity Constraints in the U.S. Housing Market’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/11

SELECTED WORK
Presented the paper ‘Liquidity Constraints in the U.S. Housing Market’ at the Computing in Economics and Finance conference in Milan, the Max Weber Fellows June Conference in Florence, the XII REDg Workshop in Barcelona, at an internal seminar at Universitat Pompeu Fabra / CREI and at the European University Institute Macro Working Group.

Taught a short course, Intro to Web Scraping with Python, at the European University Institute and at Universitat Pompeu Fabra

Refereed three papers for the American Economic Journal, Macroeconomics

Acted as a discussant at the European University Institute Economics department pre-job market forum, and the 2nd-year student forum
Maxim is an applied economic theorist. His research interest is in how frictions and imperfections affect economic outcomes, from individual decision making to market structures to labour market outcomes. He received PhD in Economics at the Center for Economic Research and Graduate Education, Economic Institute (CERGE-EI) in Prague, Czech Republic, under the supervision of Jakub Steiner. His main research interest is the study of sorting outcomes on the frictional labour markets. He works on the design of the procedures to identify relevant economic parameters from available data. Additionally, he studies how different modes of information acquisition affect economic outcomes.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘Continuous spatial monopolistic competition : matching goods with consumers’ MWP/WP 2018/01

CONFERENCE PRESENTATION
‘Sorting with random Search When Firms Have Size’, European Winter Meeting of the Econometric Society, December 2017
IOANNA HADJIYIANNI (CYP)

Departmental affiliation: Law
Mentor: Professor Joanne Scott

Ioanna received her PhD from the Dickson Poon School of Law, King’s College London in June 2017. Her thesis investigated the extraterritorial reach of EU environmental law. She has taught EU Law and Environmental Law at King’s College London as a visiting lecturer. Prior to joining King’s, she was a Schumann trainee at the Committee on Petitions at the European Parliament in Brussels. Ioanna holds a Master of Laws (LLM) in Environmental Law and Policy from University College London and an LLB in English and European Law from Queen Mary University of London, having also completed a year studying Law in French at Université Paris II, Panthéon Assas.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘The Role of Domestic Courts in Global Environmental Governance: Judicial Review of Extraterritoriality by the Court of Justice of the European Union’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/15

SELECTED WORK
‘The Role of the Court of Justice of the European Union in Reviewing Extraterritoriality’, ICON-S Conference, Identify, Security, Democracy: Challenges for Public Law (Hong Kong, scheduled for 25-27 June 2018)
‘The Role of Domestic Courts in Global Environmental Governance: Review of Extraterritoriality by the Court of Justice of the European Union’, 1st EUI Max Weber Inter-disciplinary Research Colloquium on Regulatory Governance, Unilateral Trade Regulation in pursuance of Global Environmental Protection: Legal Perspectives (Florence, 16 April 2018)

Active member of the EUI Environmental Law Working Group
Member of the EUI inter-disciplinary thematic research group on ‘Europe in the World’
Co-organiser of the Max Weber Inter-disciplinary Colloquia Series on Regulatory Governance
Max Weber Programme Teaching Certificate.
Marina Henke is an Assistant Professor of International Relations at Northwestern University. She holds a PhD in Politics and Public Policy from Princeton University, a Double-MSc in Development Studies and International Political Economy from Sciences Po Paris and the London School of Economics and a BA (summa cum laude) in Economics, Politics and Latin American Studies from Sciences Po Paris. Her research has been published in Security Studies, International Peacekeeping, International Studies Quarterly, Providing for Peacekeeping, and Conflict Management and Peace Science. Her book Constructing Cooperation: Payments and Power in Multilateral Military Coalition-Building is forthcoming with Cornell University Press.

MWP WORKING PAPER
'Recruiting EU forces: a social-institutional theory’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/07

PUBLICATIONS


'Robust Mandates and Malicious Acts: Examining the deadly link,' IPI Global Observatory, February 21, 2018
https://theglobalobservatory.org/2018/02/mandates-malicious-acts-examining-deadly-link/


WORKING PAPERS


'Networked Cooperation: How the EU recruits military forces.' Under review.
(with Paul van Hooft), 'Europe Redux: European Grand Strategic Options in an Age of American Ambivalence.' In preparation for submission.

'Why do UN Peacekeepers die?’ In preparation for submission.

'Intervention Entrepreneurs: Revisiting Agency in Intervention Decision-Making.' In preparation for submissions

INVITED TALKS, CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS AND WORKSHOPS
EISS Conference (Paris, France), June 2018: 'Europe Redux'
EUI, MWP Conference (Florence, Italy), June 2018: 'Networked Cooperation'
University of Tennessee, Workshop on Peacebuilding (Knoxville, TN), May 2018: 'Raising a Peacekeeping Force'
International Studies Association (ISA) Annual Conference (San Francisco, CA), April 2018: 'Allies for Sale?’
University College London (London, UK), March 2018: 'Raising a Peacekeeping Force]
IE School of International Relations (Madrid, Spain), March 2018: 'Raising a Peacekeeping Force'
University of Trento, Workshop on Stability Operations (Trento, Italy), February 2018: 'Why do UN peacekeepers die?’
Workshop on Theoretical Perspectives on German Politics (Berlin, Germany), January 2018: 'Recruiting EU Forces'
European University Institute, Schuman Center (Florence, Italy), Oct 2017: 'Constructing Cooperation'
Cambridge University (Cambridge, UK), Oct 2017: 'Intervention Entrepreneurs'
American Political Science Association (APSA) Annual Conference (San Francisco, CA), Sept 2017: 'Intervention Entrepreneurs' and 'Why do UN peacekeepers die?’
Jared is interested in modern ideas about the economic and aesthetic dimensions of politics and their intellectual history. His work to date has focused on three interrelated areas: the political theory of Jean-Jacques Rousseau, the reception of ancient philosophy in the moral and political thought of the long eighteenth-century, and the critical engagement with that period by historically-minded political theorists in the twentieth.

MWP WORKING PAPER


As a Max Weber Fellow, Jared primarily worked on his first book manuscript, ‘A Taste for Virtue: Morals and Politics in Rousseau.’ Jared also developed two new research projects. The first, tentatively titled ‘The Hellenistic Turn: Ancient Virtues for Modern Democracy,’ provides a transnational comparative historical analysis of the reception of ancient Greek politics and philosophy in twentieth-century political thought. His work as a Max Weber Fellow concentrated on the role of the ancients in the work of political philosophers Hanna Arendt and Leo Strauss.

A second new research project concerns the conceptual history of ‘solidarity’ and federalism in nineteenth-century thought. The project aims to connect the revisionist historiography of eighteenth-century political thought with later attempts at reconciling individual choice and autonomy with the division of labour and social interdependence, on the one hand, and with sovereignty and state power, on the other.

PEER-REVIEWED ARTICLE

‘Rousseau on Refined Epicureanism and the Problem of Modern Liberty,’ Special Issue of European Journal of Political Theory (online first).

CONFERENCE PRESENTATION

‘Epicureanism and Popular Sovereignty in Rousseau,’ Locating the Ancient World in Early-Modern Subversive Thought, Newcastle, 14 April, 2018

WORKSHOP ORGANIZATION

Modern Epicureanism: Political Receptions and the Politics of Reception
Florence, 4 May, 2018

ACADEMIC SERVICE

Post-doctoral Faculty Representative, HEC, EUI
NAOKO HOSOKAWA (JPN)

**Departmental affiliation:** Robert Schuman Centre
**Mentor:** Prof. Anna Triandafyllidou

Naoko Hosokawa obtained a DPhil in Oriental Studies from the University of Oxford in 2015 and worked for the French Network for Asian Studies, CNRS and the France-Japan Foundation at the EHESS, France. Her main research involves media discourse analysis on the question of national and regional identities. At the EUI, she worked on a comparative study of regional identities in Europe and Asia, placing a particular focus on the choice of wording in contemporary media texts.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**

‘The Evolving Notion of the East and the West in Contemporary Japan: A Sociolinguistic Perspective’ MWP/ WP/RNS 2018/08

During the academic year 2017-2018, I conducted the research project, ‘National and Regional Identities in Media Discourse: An Empirical Analysis of Contemporary Perceptions of “Asia” and “Europe”’. In relation to this, I carried out research activities among those listed here.

**ARTICLES**


**PRESENTED AT 12 INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCES INCLUDING**


1st AEEAO International Conference - Creating New Synergies: Beyond Our Frontiers

International Symposium Multicultural Democracy: Institutions, Structures, and Norms

Multilingual Language Theories and Practices Conference

**PRESENTED RESEARCH PROJECTS AT 3 SEMINARS AND WORKSHOPS**

Organised Max Weber Multidisciplinary Workshop ‘Moving (between) Cultures: Theories and Practices of Transfer’ held at the EUI, Florence, Italy, 6 April 2018

Interviewed Professor Alexander Aleinikoff of the New School and chaired his lecture ‘Mobility and Immobility, Migrants and Refugees’ (MWP lecture series), 18 April 2018

Reviewed an article for the journal Contemporary Japan published by the German Institute for Japanese Studies

Took part in the EUI Max Weber Teaching Certificate Programme
IAKOVOS IAKOVIDIS (GRC)

Departmental affiliation: LAW
Mentor: Nehal Bhuta

As a career diplomat Iakovos Iakovidis has worked extensively in the human rights field. In particular, during his assignment with the United Nations, where he served as a Third Committee delegate, he acquired expertise in freedom of expression and related issues, among other topics, and he tabled the first General Assembly Resolution on the safety of journalists and the issue of impunity. His PhD research focuses on the conflict in international law between the principle of sovereign immunity and the implementation of the jus cogens rules, mainly through the case law of the European Court of Human Rights.

MWP WORKING PAPER
'Permanent Structured Cooperation: a game changer?' MWP/WP/RNS 2018/43

Throughout the Fellowship, Iakovos Iakovidis continued to explore the relationship between state immunities and compelling human rights norms, such as the prohibition of torture and crimes against humanity, mainly by studying the implications of the ruling of the International Court of Justice *Jurisdictional Immunities of the State* (Italy v. Germany/Greece intervening) in Italy and the subsequent *Sentenza* of the Italian Constitutional Court. At the same time, drawing from his experience as an EU negotiator, he embarked on a study of the EU strategy during human rights negotiations, which led to an article to be published by the *Cambridge Review of International Affairs*.

The working paper he submitted in March focused on the latest European defense developments. The establishment in December 2017 of Permanent Structured Cooperation (PeSCO), provided for by the Lisbon Treaty, is a landmark achievement, which needs to be further studied in order to fully grasp its potential for the role of the EU in the world. The working paper is an attempt to position the projects of PeSCO within the wider context of EU Global Strategy.

In May, as part of the teaching certificate, Iakovose participated in teaching activities in the Universitat Pompeu Fabra in Barcelona, where he taught principles of international human rights law and international case law to undergraduate law students. In the Max Weber June Conference, he made a contribution on the international osmosis of crises (a «crisis domino theory»), as experienced through the interconnection observed between the 2015 refugee crisis, the EU solidarity crisis and the impact of these two phenomena in the internal politics of EU member states, in particular the rise of populist and Eurosceptic movements.
VALENTIN JENTSCH (SWISS)

Departmental affiliation: Law
Mentor: Stefan Grundmann

Valentin graduated from the University of St. Gallen and from Stanford Law School and he received his doctorate from the University of Zurich. In his current large-scale research project on blockholder governance, he examines the role of large shareholders in corporate governance from an interdisciplinary and transnational perspective. Starting in September 2018, he will continue his research project as FAN research fellow at the University of Zurich and visiting scholar at Humboldt University of Berlin.

MWP WORKING PAPER


HABILITATION PROJECT

'Large Shareholders in Swiss Corporations: A Company and Financial Market Law Study' (Grossaktionäre in Schweizer Aktiengesellschaften: Eine gesellschafts- und finanzmarktrechtliche Untersuchung), draft manuscript of postdoctoral thesis (work in progress)

JOURNAL ARTICLE


PRESENTATIONS

'Blockholder Governance: The Role of Large Shareholders in Corporate Governance', Max Weber Fellows’ September Presentations to the Max Weber Programme

TEACHING

Max Weber Teaching Practice Week at Humboldt University of Berlin, lectures on 'Corporate Social Responsibility and the Law: The Swiss Responsible Business Initiative in an International Context'

ACADEMIC SERVICE

Member of the Organizing Committee of the 12th Max Weber June Conference on States, Societies and Crises across Time and Space
Max Weber Fellows’ Interview with Prof. Dr. Dr. Wolfgang Schön on Tax, Corporate Governance and Democracy
Max Weber Fellows’ Representative at the Department of Law of the European University Institute
KORY KANTENGA (USA)

Departmental Affiliation: Department of Economics
Mentor: Philipp Kircher

Kory Kantenga obtained his PhD in Economics from the University of Pennsylvania. He is a labour economist with training in econometrics and quantitative macroeconomics. His primary work focuses on how technology has changed the jobs people do and the differences in what these jobs pay. This work relies on quantitative modelling to draw inference on unobserved technological changes. His secondary work includes evaluating the macro-level effects of HIV drugs on risky sexual behavior and evaluating the effects of unemployment/wage policies on crime.

MWP WORKING PAPER
'The Effect of Job-Polarizing Skill Demands on the US Wage Structure' MWP/WP 2018/03

Kory worked mainly on two papers during the Max Weber Fellowship. The first paper, 'The Effect of Job-Polarizing Skill Demands on the US Wage Structure', he intends to use as his job market paper in his second year. This paper examines the explanations that account for both the evolution in the jobs people do (less middle-pay and more high- and low-pay jobs) and the greater differences in pay between these workers (rising wage inequality). The second paper, 'MSM, HIV, and Risky Sexual Behavior: Evaluating the Impact of ART and PrEP', looks at whether HIV treatment and prevention drugs have negative effects on HIV prevalence due to behavioural changes (i.e. increasing a person's willingness to engage in unsafe sex).

Kory also worked on developing two related future projects. The first looks at the labor market impact of proposed criminal justice reforms. Many advocates support these reforms, but do not consider the macro-level effects such reforms may have on a local area. The second project looks at whether the implementation of crime sentencing reforms in the 1990s affected HIV prevalence either through removing the riskiest individuals from society or contributing to social degradation.

In addition, Kory attended the macro and econometric departmental seminar series as well as Max Weber Lectures. He presented in departmental seminars at the EUI and UPenn and participated in a small multidisciplinary writer’s group called 'New Political Economy of Emotions.' He acted mainly as a discussant to provide insight on how economists model decision-making processes, including how they do or do not take into account individuals’ emotions and what their impact might be.
PAVEL KHAZANOV (USA)

Departmental affiliation: HEC
Mentor: Alexander Etkind

Pavel Khazanov received his PhD in Comparative Literature and Russian at the University of Pennsylvania. His current book project, ‘A Russia That We Have Lost: The Pre-Soviet Past as Anti-Soviet Discourse, From the Thaw to the Putin Era’ examines how recollections of the pre-Revolutionary past allowed late Soviet intellectual leaders and their audiences to define themselves and articulate a political horizon that ended up shaping the post-Soviet era. His second project explores the Soviet discourse on humanism during and after Stalin. Starting from September 2018, he will be Assistant Professor of Russian at Rutgers University in New Jersey.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘Cool Sincerity: Vladimir Sappak’s Humanism on Soviet TV’ MWP/WP 2018/04

SELECTED WORK

Review: Socialist Realism in Central and Eastern European Literatures: Institutions, Dynamics, and Discourses, Evgeny Dobrenko, Natalia Jonsson-Skradol, eds. (London: Anthem, 2018)


Co-organizer: Truth, Politics, and Subjectivity in Late Socialism and its Aftermath, workshop at the EUI, 24 May 2018

Organizer: Russian and Eastern European History Reading Group, EUI, 2017-2018
HANNA KLEIDER (DEU)

Departmental affiliation: SPS
Mentor: Anton Hemerijck

Hanna Kleider received her doctorate in political science from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill in 2014. Her research centres on different aspects of comparative political economy, with a particular interest in transformations of the welfare state and issues related to political and fiscal decentralization. More specifically, she studies the effect of decentralization reforms on territorial inequalities in the quality of and access to social services. Some of Hanna’s research is published in the European Journal of Political Research, West European Politics and in the Journal of European Social Policy. She will start as Lecturer at King’s College London in January 2019.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘On Subnational Debt and Bailouts: Does Fiscal Decentralization Lead to Overborrowing?’

PUBLISHED ARTICLES
with Leonce Röth and Julian Garritzmann ‘Ideological Alignment and the Distribution of Public Expenditures’ forthcoming in West European Politics

INTERNET PUBLICATIONS

CONFERENCE/SEMINAR/WORKSHOP PRESENTATIONS
‘Explaining Regional Social Expenditures in 334 regions’, presented in the Thematic Research Group Governance, Constitutionalism and Democracy (EUI), January 2018
‘Policy in Multi-tiered Systems’, presented at the Political Studies Association meeting in Cardiff, March 2018
‘On Subnational Debt and Bailouts: Does Fiscal Decentralization Lead to Overborrowing?’, presented at MWP Multidisciplinary Research Workshop Taxation and Fiscal Policy May 2018
‘Economic insecurity and attitudes toward immigration Internet Publications’, presented at the Max Weber June Conference, June 2018

ACADEMIC SERVICE
Co-organized MWP Multidisciplinary Research Workshop Taxation and Fiscal Policy, May 2018
Organized the panel ‘The Policy Consequences of Multi-Level Governance’, American Political Science Association, Boston, August 2018
Discussant at the the Thematic Research Group ‘Governance, Constitutionalism and Democracy’
Discussant at the MRW Colloquium on Regulatory Governance. The Governance on Social Investment, June 2018
Interview with Josep Borell for the series Leaders beyond the State, School of Transnational Governance and Department of History at the European University Institute, May 2018
Referee for Regional & Federal Studies, Publius
NEVENA KULIC (SRB)

**Departmental affiliation:** Department of Political and Social Sciences  
**Mentor:** Klarita Gerxhani

Nevena Kulic received a PhD in Sociology and Social Research from the University of Trento. Her major interests are in intra-household dynamics, educational inequality in childhood and adolescence, and gender inequality in the labour market and education.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**

with Minello, A. and Zella, S. 'Manage your money, be satisfied? The role of income and money management practices in financial well-being of Swiss couples' MWP/WP/RNS 2018/02

**GRANTS**

Principal Investigator, ‘Gender inequalities in academia: Biases in hiring or promotion?’, EUI Research Council grant (with Klarita Gerxhani and Fabienne Liechti)

**CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS**

ECSR 2017 Conference: Institutions, inequality and social dynamics, Aug 31-Sep 2, 2017, two contributions: ‘Who cares for the children? Family social position and childcare arrangements in Italy, 2002-2012’ and ‘Gender quotas or girls’ networks? Towards an understanding of recruitment in the research profession in Italy’

SLLS conference: Qualitative and quantitative longitudinal research on social change and its impacts, Milan, 9-11 July, 2018, two contributions: ‘The economic crisis, perceived labour market opportunities and subjective well-being: Evidence from Spain’ and ‘Manage your money, be satisfied? The role of income and money management practices in the financial well-being of Swiss couples’

**ACADEMIC SERVICE**

Discussant  
Colloquium, The governance of social investment. Theoretical considerations and empirical findings, EUI, 6 June 2018, Firenze  
Gemma Scalise, ‘Converging Policy Ideas, Divergent Local Interpretations: The Active Inclusion Strategy from a Comparative Perspective’

Welfare Politics, EUI Max Weber Programme and James Madison University, 11th Graduate Symposium, 10 April 2017  
Kyle Conahan, ‘Sharing the Pot of Gold: Universal Basic Income in the Republic of Ireland’

**OTHER ACADEMIC ACTIVITIES**

PhD mentorship of Roger Urbano Fernandez: Perceptions of labour market opportunities and well-being (PhD Programme in Social and Political Sciences, EUI)  
Lecturer on the course ‘Measurement and causes of poverty’ (with Alessandra Petrucci), the School of Economics and Management, University of Florence, February-June, 2018  
Appointed a member of the International Scientific Council of the *Sociological Review*, Journal of Serbian Sociological Association
FRANCESCA LAGIOIA

Departmental affiliation: Law
Mentor: Hans-W Micklitz

Francesca Lagioia received her PhD in Legal Informatics and ICT Law from the University of Bologna, Italy, in March 2016. She was a Max Weber Fellow at the European University Institute (EUI), Italy, in 2017-2018. Currently, she is a research team member of the Claudette project in the Law Department at the EUI. She works in the Artificial Intelligence and Law domain, with a particular focus on liability and automation, ICT law, privacy and consumer law.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘AIs under criminal law: A legal analysis and a regulatory perspective’

PUBLICATIONS
Lagioia F., Sartor, G., ‘AIs under criminal law: A legal analysis and a regulatory perspective’ (under review)
Lagioia et al ‘Liability and automation: legal issues in autonomous cars’, Network Industries Quarterly (forthcoming)

CONFERENCES
Max Weber June Conference, Lagioia F. and Contissa G., ‘Dr. Watson vs. Dr. House: liability issues of evidence-based clinical decision support systems’

PRESENTATIONS
‘The Ethical Knob: Ethically personalisable autonomous vehicles and the law’, 8 February 2018, Law department EUI, and 20 November 2017, Cirsfid, University of Bologna

TEACHING
Max Weber Teaching Certificate
Sergio Lo Iacono received his PhD in Sociology at the University of Essex under the ESRC +3 Advanced Quantitative Methods scheme, and holds a Master’s Degree in Political Science from the University of Toronto. His main research interests concern trust, social cohesion, collective action dilemmas, and social networks. In his thesis, he investigated the current institution-centred and society-centred explanations of trust, empirically assessing their core arguments. As a Max Weber Fellow at the EUI, Sergio continues his research agenda investigating if and how community social embeddedness fosters cooperative and trusting behaviours towards strangers, using both observational and experimental data.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**


This year I published two articles in international peer-reviewed journals: *Social Science Research*, and *Social Inclusion*. I am planning to submit two more to *Social Forces* and *Acta Sociologica*. I am waiting for a final decision from the *Bulletin of Latin American Studies* for a further paper.

I started a number of international collaborations with these colleagues:

- Neli Demireva (University of Essex), analyzing the impact of betting shops on crime rates in the UK, abstract submitted for Eurocrim 2018 conference
- Paul Bauer (University of Mannheim), designing an experiment on trust expectations and behaviour, submitting abstract for WESSI workshop 2019
- Mario Quaranta (EUI – SPS), analyzing social trust trends in Europe across time using the ESS, submitting abstract to Polis for their Special Issue on the topic
- Burak Sonmez (University of Essex), designing a novel experiment on the role of trusting and distrusting environment on collective action
- Martina Testori (University of Southampton), running a simulation to investigate how different levels of economic inequality and population distribution might change collective action.
- Alejandra Diaz Leon (LSE), running a field experiment in Mexico to investigate how transnational migrants change their trusting behaviour after their journey
- Raquel Barradas (EUI – LAW), co-editing a book on trust and legal theory

**PUBLICATIONS**


**WORKSHOPS**

I organized the Trust Matters workshop (in collaboration with Raquel Barradas, Marta Morvillo, and Carolin Schmitz) in April, and a method course on MTurk (in collaboration with Hilke Brockman – EUI data clinic) in June.
STEFANO MARCUZZI (ITA)

**Departmental affiliation:** Robert Schuman Centre for Advances Studies

**Mentor:** Olivier Roy

Stefano Marcuzzi is a Max Weber Fellow working on EU-NATO relations in the Mediterranean with a particular focus on maritime cooperation and coordination against hybrid threats. He took a BA and MA in History at the University of Padua (2009 and 2011) and a DPhil in Military History at the University of Oxford (2016). He is a member of the Globalising and Localising the Great War Group, the Changing Character of War Programme (Oxford) and the British Society for Military History, and is an external fellow at Boston University.

Marcuzzi won a Caroline-Marie Curie Fellowship and will move to Carnegie Europe in September 2018.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**

'Defending the Southern Flank. EU-NATO Cooperation in the Mediterranean' MWP/WP/RNS 2018/43

**PUBLICATIONS**

'From the Adriatic to the Mediterranean. Italy in the Allied Naval Strategy 1915-1918', *War in History*, June 2018.


**POLICY BRIEFS**

'Iran Goes Hybrid – At What Cost?’, NDCF Strategic Trends: Emerging Challenges, January 2018, No. 1.

'Insurgency or Terrorism? A New Front in Myanmar', NDCF Strategic Trends: Emerging Challenges, November 2017, No. 3.

'Iran’s Threat is more Cyber than Nuclear’, NDCF Strategic Trends: Emerging Challenges, October 2017, No. 1.

**INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ORGANIZED**

'Fears of Trolls and Little Green Men. Is Hybrid Warfare Effective? For Whom, and When?', EUI, 4 May 2018.

**CONFERENCE PAPERS**

'The Impact of the Russian Revolution on Italy', University of St. Petersburg, 19 October 2017.

'The Development of EU-NATO Collaboration along the Southern Flank', 12th MW Fellows June Conference, 13 June 2018.

**GUEST LECTURES**


'Anti-Submarine Warfare in the Mediterranean during WW1’, Boston University, 11 April 2018.
ROBIN MARKWICA (GERMAN)

**Departmental affiliation:** Robert Schuman Centre  
**Mentor:** Ulrich Krotz

Robin obtained an MPhil in Modern History from the University of Cambridge (Corpus Christi College) and a DPhil in International Relations from the University of Oxford (Nuffield College). In-between, he held a research fellowship at Harvard University's Department of Government. Robin's research interests include International Relations theory, international security, war and peace, foreign policy analysis, the European Union, constructivist and psychological approaches to International Relations as well as emotion research.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**


**BOOK**


**PRESENTATIONS**


**PARTICIPATION IN SEMINARS, WORKSHOPS AND WRITERS’ GROUP**

Media Training Workshop (22 November 2017).  
Participated in the organization of the EUI Executive Training Seminar on 'European Security' in October 2018.  
Participated in the multidisciplinary Writers’ Group on the 'New Political Economy of Emotions' with Kory Kantenga (ECO) and Victor Petrov (HEC).

**CONFERENCE ORGANIZATION**

Member of the Organizing Committee of the 2018 Max Weber June Conference, States, Societies and Crises across Time and Space (13-15 June 2018) – 20 panels with almost 100 presenters.

**OTHER ACTIVITIES**

Commented on three second-year EUI PhD researchers (May 2018).  
Max Weber Fellows’ Representative on the EUI’s Strategic Review Committee (2018/19).  
Co-coordinator of the EUI’s LGBTIQ Caucus (2018/19).
GREGOR MAUCEC (SVN)

Departmental affiliation: Department of Law
Mentor: Professor Martin Scheinin

Gregor received a PhD in Public International Law from the University of Maribor. Prior to joining the EUI, he was a postdoctoral fellow at the School of Law, Kyoto University. His research at the EUI looks at the relationship between the right to equality before the law and freedom from discrimination and global courts – such as the International Court of Justice and the International Criminal Court. In September 2018, Gregor joins the Centre of Excellence for International Courts (iCourts) at the University of Copenhagen as a Marie Skłodowska-Curie Individual Fellow to work on the project which investigates the judicial function of the International Criminal Court.

MWP WORKING PAPER
'Protecting Minorities from Discrimination and Mass Violence through Provisional Measures: The Role and Contribution of the International Court of Justice' MWP/WP/RNS 2018/25

PUBLISHED BOOKS/ARTICLES

CONFERENCE/SEMINAR/WORKSHOP PRESENTATIONS
'Protecting Minorities from Mass Violence and Atrocity Crimes through Global Courts: a Socio-Legal Appraisal', the 6th INoGS Global Conference, Genocide and Mass Violence: diagnosis, treatment, and recovery? Humanities, social and medical sciences facing extreme violence, Medical Faculty of Aix-Marseille University (France), Marseille, 4-7 July, 2018.

ACADEMIC SERVICE
Second Author Workshop: Human rights norms in ‘other’ international courts and tribunals, EUI, Florence, 27-28 April 2018 (invited workshop participation)
Research Question Workshop, EUI, Law Department, 14–15 Dec 2017 (discussant)
University of Florence, Department of Legal Sciences, ‘Transitional Justice, Hybrid Courts and Truth Commissions’ (guest lecturer, Nov 2017)
MWP Teaching Certificate, EUI, Florence, Nov 2017-June 2018
PAUL MCDONOUGH (USA)

Departmental affiliation: Law
Mentor: Gabor Halmai

Paul's main research area is how Islamic law and international human rights law interact in the constitutions and international commitments of Islamic states. He also works on EU migration and asylum law. Paul earned his PhD in law at Trinity College, Dublin, in 2016. He has taught common law subjects at Deakin University (Australia) and as a seminar tutor at Trinity.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘Islamic Democracy’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/09

I argue that modern institutions of democracy and constitutional separations of governing powers operate as instruments to realise the ancient right of the Muslim community to participate in its own government.

The teaching programme occupied a significant fraction of my Fellowship. I participated in a series of six interactive workshops, focusing on all the main aspects of university and postgraduate teaching. I designed an introductory course in human rights law and prepared the first lesson for it, which I refined and expanded before giving the entire first lesson twice in the course of a teaching week at Humboldt University.

I maintained an ongoing side activity during 2017-18 having to do with migration law and policy, particularly EU asylum law. This reflects areas of work I have been involved in before and since my (unrelated) PhD studies. I was invited to South Africa to present a paper on statelessness, and took part as a panellist in several migration related events at EUI. I also contributed to the law department, evaluating first year PhD research; meeting with external examiners; and joining a PhD seminar to provide commentary on European human rights law.

Panel presentation on EU migration and asylum policy, for Monash University students, November 2017.
Meeting with external evaluators on behalf of the law department, March 2018.
Guest lectures at UCL on EU law and public international law, March 2018.
Seetha Menon is a Max Weber Fellow in the Department of Economics. Before joining the Max Weber Programme, she completed her PhD in Economics at The Institute for Social & Economic Research (ISER), University of Essex under the supervision of Adeline Delavande and Sonia Bhalotra. Seetha’s research uses applied microeconometrics to answer questions in the fields of health, development and migration. Her current research explores the effect of intra-household bargaining on domestic violence through dowry mechanics and survival expectations in Malawi. In September 2018 she will be joining the University of Southern Denmark as an Assistant Professor.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘The effect of computer use on job quality: evidence from Europe’ MWP/WP 2018/02

Designed and taught a half-credit course on Development Economics to PhD Researchers in Economics.

Completed the teaching exchange component of the MW Teaching Certificate at Upf, Barcelona

Invited talks given at Newcastle Business School and at the University of Exeter
FRANCESCO MOLTENI (ITA)

Departmental affiliation: Economics
Mentors: Marimon Ramon / Pappa Evi (on leave)

Francesco Molteni is a Max Weber Fellow at the European University Institute in the Department of Economics. His research interests are Macro-Finance, Fiscal and Monetary Policy and International Macroeconomics and Finance. He holds a PhD in Economics from the Paris School of Economics.

MWP WORKING PAPER
Haircuts, Government Bonds and Sovereign Debt Crises'MWP/WP/RNS 2018/04

PAPERS
Revision of the paper 'Haircuts, Government Bonds and Sovereign Debt Crises'
Revision of the paper 'Monetary Policy in Times of Fiscal Turbulence: A TVP-FAVAR Approach' with Evi Pappa (submitted)

PRESENTATIONS
Seminar at the Università degli Studi di Siena
ADEMU Working Group (EUI)
ADEMU Conference 'Risk sharing, macroeconomic inter-dependencies and imbalances' (CERGE-EI)
ADEMU Final Conference (EUI)
Max Weber Fellows June Conference
Paper 'Liquidity, Government Bonds and Sovereign Debt Crises' accepted for the SED Annual Meeting 2018 (not attended).

TEACHING AND ACADEMIC SERVICE
Half-credit course 'DSGE Models with Financial Frictions'
Help in the organization of the Max Weber Fellow June Conference 2018
MARTA MORVILLO (ITA)

Departmental affiliation: LAW
Mentor: Deirdre Curtin

Marta is a constitutional lawyer interested in the interaction between law and science. Her broader research interests include domestic and EU constitutional law and governance. She holds a PhD in Constitutional law from the University of Bologna, an LLM in Public law and global governance from King’s College London and a law degree cum laude from the University of Bologna. At the EUI, Marta focused on the role of European Courts in reviewing expert-based measures adopted at EU level. From September 2018 she will be a Marie Sklodowska Curie fellow at the University of Amsterdam (ACELG), carrying out a project titled ‘The constitutional place of expertise’.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘From contestation to accountability in EU pesticides regulation? The case of glyphosate’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/40

PUBLICATIONS
‘La responsabilità amministrativa: profili di espansione e argini normativi’ (Administrative responsibility: expansive trends and legislative constraints), in T.F. Giupponi (ed), L’Amministrazione di Pubblica Sicurezza e le sue responsabilità, tra dettato normativo e prassi.

PAPER PRESENTATIONS

CONFERENCES AND WORKSHOPS ORGANISATION
Co-organiser of the Regulatory governance colloquia, EUI, April-June 2018.
Co-organiser of the Max Weber Occasional Talk ‘From capital to commons and back. The turning point in private law’ with Prof. Ugo Mattei, EUI, 3 May 2018.

BLOG ENTRY
Katya Motyl (USA)

**Departmental affiliation:** HEC  
**Mentors:** Pieter Judson and Laura Lee Downs

Katya Motyl received her PhD in History from the University of Chicago in 2017. In 2018, she accepted a position as Assistant Professor of Women, Gender, and Sexuality in the Department of History at Temple University. Motyl is currently writing a book that traces the performance of ‘new’ womanhood in early twentieth century Vienna.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**

“‘There was a shimmer’: Silent Film Acting and Emotional Expression’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/28

Began developing dissertation into a book manuscript, *New Bodies, New Women: Performing New Womanhood in Early 20th Century Vienna,* aimed at a wide audience of scholars interested in Central Europe, urban space, the body, and gender and sexuality.

**PRESENTATIONS**

‘Rethinking Vienna’s “Sexual Crisis”,’ invited by the Department of History and Civilization, EUI, October 25, 2017.  

**BOOK REVIEWS**

Nancy M. Wingfield, *The World of Prostitution in Late Imperial Austria,* German History 36, no. 2 (27 April 2018): pp. 291-293.  

**ARTICLE MANUSCRIPTS IN PREPARATION**

‘Re-Embodying History’s Lady’, which proposes and models a new way for scholars to approach women’s history by looking to embodiment.

**SERVICE**

Editor, Central and Eastern Europe, *NOTCHES: (re)marks on the history of the sexuality*
JAMIL MOUAWAD (LBN)

Departmental affiliation: RSCAS
Mentor: Professor Olivier Roy

Jamil Mouawad holds a PhD in politics from the School of Oriental and African Studies (SOAS). Before joining the Max Weber Programme in 2017 he was a researcher at the Institut Français du Proche-Orient (IFPO) in Beirut, through a grant from the Arab Council for Social Sciences (ACSS). His research interests in state-society relations span the subfields of comparative politics and political economy. He published in journals including *Middle East Critique, Arab Studies Journal* and *Critique Internationale*. He is also a founding member of the Beirut School for Critical Security Studies supported by ACSS.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**
‘Lebanon’s Wadi Khaled on the Border with Syria: Economic Transformations in times of Peace and War’

**PEER REVIEWED ARTICLES**

**POLICY PAPERS**

**CONFERENCES/PAPERS**
‘Lebanon’s Wadi Khaled: More statisit than the State’, presented at the workshop Économie Politique de la Quotidiennté dans un Moyen-Orient en Guerre at The Centre for International Studies and Research (CERI), at Sciences Po Paris (March 7, 2018).
ANDREA PAPADIA (ITA)

Departmental affiliation: RSCAS
Mentor: Ramon Marimon

Andrea is an economist researching in the fields of economic history, macroeconomics and political economy. His work focuses on two areas in particular. One is fiscal policy: he studies how deeply rooted constraints and institutions can affect countries’ ability to respond to economic crises and shocks. The main focus of his work in this area has been the Great Depression of the 1930s. His other main focus is the provision of public goods, like education and infrastructure, and how these affect economic development. For this work, he has focused mostly on Brazil from the 19th century until today.

MWP WORKING PAPER

MAIN ACADEMIC PRESENTATIONS
World Economic History Congress, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Boston (MA) USA, July/August 2018
Second European Macrohistory Workshop, University of York, UK, April 2018
III Seminar in Economic History, Banco de España, Madrid, Spain, October 2018

ACADEMIC SERVICE
Refereeing for various journals in economic history and economics
Organizers of two panels at the World Economic History Congress, 2018

RESEARCH
Three articles close to submission to journals
Two brand new projects started
MARIO QUARANTA (ITA)

**Departmental affiliation**: Political and Social Sciences  
**Mentor**: Stefano Bartolini

Mario Quaranta is a Max Weber Fellow at the Department of Political and Social Science of the European University Institute, Fiesole. Previously, he was a postdoctoral fellow at LUISS ‘Guido Carli’ and the Scuola Normale Superiore. His main research interests are comparative political behaviour and public opinion. On these topics he has published articles, among others, in *Current Sociology, Information, Communication & Society, International Political Science Review, Electoral Studies, European Political Science Review, European Journal of Political Research, Social Politics, Social Indicators Research, Social Science Research, South European Society and Politics*, and *West European Politics*.

**MWP WORKING PAPERS**

- with Giulia M. Dotti Sani ‘Attitudes towards the right to adopt of gay male and lesbian couples: A cross-national comparison of 17 countries’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/20
- with Sergio Martini ‘Political support as an evaluation: Democratic satisfaction and political trust indicators in comparative survey research’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/35

**ARTICLES**


I have worked on a book manuscript, ‘Political support in Europe: Citizens’ changing attitudes towards democratic institutions’, with S. Martini (to be published by Palgrave), and on another, ‘Hybrid citizenship: Political participation between digital and physical spaces’, with G. Masheroni, L. Mosca, M. Murru, C. Vaccari and A. Valeriani (to be published by Routledge).
Bernardo Rangoni secured external funding to extend to 2018-19 his Max Weber Postdoctoral Fellowship in Law at the EUI, which he joined in 2017 after having earned a PhD in Political Science from the London School of Economics. His expertise centres on comparative public policy and regulation (notably of network industries and financial services), European law and governance, and experimentalist governance and shadow of hierarchy theories. His work recently appeared in the *Journal of European Public Policy* and is currently under review in *Regulation & Governance*, the *European Law Journal*, and at Oxford University Press.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**
'Scope conditions and consequences of experimentalist governance: the EU regulation of electricity', MWP/ WP/RNS 2018/33

**PUBLICATIONS**
2018 'Marketcrafting through hierarchy and experimentalism: cognitive and interest-based causes and consequences', *European Law Journal*, under review.
2018 Experimentalist Governance: From Architectures to Outcomes, Oxford University Press, under review.
2019 'Retelling the European single rulebook and banking union: hierarchical governance in the shadow of polyarchy?', *Governance*, to be submitted.

**PRESENTATIONS**
'Retelling the European banking union: from global directly-deliberative polyarchy to hierarchical implementation and monitoring', Multidisciplinary Research Colloquia on Regulatory Governance, EUI, Florence, May 2018; Max Weber Postdoctoral Programme annual conference, EUI, Florence, June 2018; and ECPR Standing Group on Regulatory Governance, Lausanne, July 2018.
'Marketcrafting through hierarchy and experimentalism: cognitive and interest-based causes and consequences', Young Researchers Master Class on Agencification of EU executive governance, Brussels, April 2018
'Retelling the European banking union: experimentalist governance in hierarchical disguise', Max Weber Fellows in Law, EUI, Florence, February 2018; and EU Financial and Monetary Law Working Group, EUI, Florence, March 2018
'A matter of degrees? Comparing experimentalist governance in EU electricity and telecommunications regulation', with E. Mathieu, ECPR general conference, Oslo, September 2017

**FUNDING AWARDED**
2018-2019 LUISS Guido Carli Contratto di Ricerca
2018 – 2019 Technical University of Munich (TUM) School of Governance Visiting Post-Doc Fellowship in Global Transformations (declined)
2018 Cátedra Telefónica Institut Barcelona d’Estudis Internacionals (IBEI) Research Fellowship
2017 – 2018 EUI Multidisciplinary Workshops
CLARA RAUCHEGGER (AUT)

Departmental affiliation: LAW
Mentor: Deirdre Curtin

Clara Rauchegger completed her PhD at the University of Cambridge as a scholar of the Austrian Academy of Sciences and the UK Arts & Humanities Research Council. Her research interests lie at the intersection of EU and domestic constitutional law, with a particular focus on European fundamental rights protection. Her research project at the EUI, funded by the Austrian Science Fund, examines the enforcement of the EU Charter of Fundamental Rights by domestic constitutional courts from a comparative perspective. From December 2018, she will be a senior postdoctoral fellow at the University of Innsbruck.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘The Bundesverfassungsgericht’s Constitutional Identity Review of EU Law after Solange III’

FORTHCOMING JOURNAL ARTICLE

FORTHCOMING BOOK CHAPTER

MULTIDISCIPLINARY WORKSHOP
‘Challenges to EU Law and Governance in the Member States’, 8 June 2018 (convened with Anna Wallerman). A book proposal based on the findings of the workshop was submitted to Hart Publishing.

SELECTED CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS
‘Profiling im Lichte der Datenschutzgrundverordnung’, University of Linz, conference on Digital Transformation and Law
‘AI and Democracy’, European University Institute, conference on Opportunities and Challenges in Regulating Robotics and Artificial Intelligence
‘Challenges to EU law in the Member States’, European University Institute, workshop on National Political Communities and International Institutions (with Anna Wallerman)
‘The principle of supremacy’, University of Leicester, conference on Constructing Legal Orders in Europe: The General Principles of EU Law
GEMMA SCALISE (ITA)

Departmental affiliation: SPS
Mentor: Anton Hemerijck, Dorothee Bohle

Gemma Scalise defended her PhD in Sociology at the University of Florence with a thesis on the process of creation and diffusion of ideas and narratives of Europe. In 2016 she obtained a position as research fellow at the Department of Political and Social Sciences of the University of Florence as part of the European project ‘Active Inclusion and Industrial Relations from a Multi-level Governance Perspective (Airmulp)’. In Spring 2017 she was lecturer at the University of Florence for the Jean Monnet Chair on ‘Social dimension and EU integration’ and in Spring 2018 she taught at James Madison university in Florence.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘Converging Policy Ideas, Divergent Local Interpretations: The Active Inclusion Strategy from a Comparative Perspective’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/12

During the Max Weber Programme Gemma pursued her research on the driving policy ideas on the labour market and welfare regulation – which have inspired policy responses to the crisis – and the diverse interpretations and implementations of such paradigms in diverse European countries. She attended the academic practice activities, completed the teaching module, the teaching exchange at Humboldt University in Berlin and obtained the teaching certificate. She also taught at James Madison University and the University of Florence. Together with other Fellows, she organized the Multidisciplinary Colloquia on Regulatory Governance.

PAPERS
‘The governance of Active Inclusion: an opportunity for industrial relations’ actors? Insights from a case study in Spain’, Bottom-up Workshop on Class politics in Peripheral Capitalism after the Crisis, April 26-27, 2018, EUI
‘The political economy of policy ideas: Active inclusion strategy in context’, Multidisciplinary colloquium on the governance of social investment, June 6, 2018, EUI
‘Converging policy ideas, divergent local interpretations. Active inclusion strategy from a comparative perspective’, 22-25 August 2018, ECPR conference, University of Hamburg

LATEST PUBLICATIONS
Il mercato non basta. Attori, istituzioni e identità dell’Europa in tempo di crisi Florence University Press, 2017
BLAKE SMITH (USA)

Departmental affiliation: History and Civilizations
Mentor: Stéphane Van Damme

Blake Smith received his PhD in History from Northwestern University and the Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales in 2017. After spending the academic year 2017-2018 as a Max Weber Fellow, he will start a position in the fall of 2018 as a Harper Schmidt Fellow at the University of Chicago.

MWP WORKING PAPER

ARTICLE

WORKSHOPS ORGANIZED
2018 May Moving (Between) Cultures: Theories and Practices of Transfer. European University Institute (with Matthew Canfield, Naoko Hosokawa and Mishka Sinha).

CONFERENCE TALKS
2018 Apr. 'Anxieties of Islamic Influence: Anquetil Duperron’s Translation of the Upanishads.' Workshop Moving (Between) Cultures: Theories and Practices of Transfer at the European University Institute.
JOÃO RAFAEL CUNHA (PRT)

Departmental affiliation: Robert Schuman Centre & Economics
Mentor: Youssef Cassis

Joao is a Max Weber Fellow in the Robert Schuman Centre and the Department of Economics at the European University Institute. Previously, he was a Research Fellow at University of Cambridge and a Marie Curie Fellow at the London School of Economics. Joao studied at the Graduate Institute, Geneva for a PhD in International Economics. His current research interests include finance, monetary economics, international macroeconomics and finance, macroeconomics, financial and monetary history and political economy.

MWP WORKING PAPER

CONFERENCES
42nd Simposio de la Asociación Española de Economía-Spanish Economic Association (SAEe) Royal Economic Society Meetings

SEMINARS
University of Cambridge; Universidad Carlos III de Madrid; The University of St Andrews
AKISATO SUZUKI (JPN)

Departmental affiliation: SPS
Mentor: Ulrich Krotz

Akisato Suzuki is a Max Weber Postdoctoral Fellow at the Department of Political and Social Sciences, European University Institute. He is also affiliated as a Research Fellow with the Institute for International Conflict Resolution and Reconstruction, Dublin City University. He obtained his PhD from Dublin City University in 2015. His research interests include international/ethnic conflict, Asian security, and research methodology. His publications have appeared in Research and Politics, Cooperation and Conflict, International Politics, the Journal of Development Studies, European Political Science, and Federal Governance.

MWP WORKING PAPER

PUBLICATIONS
‘Audience Costs, Domestic Economy, and Coercive Diplomacy.’ Accepted for publication in Research and Politics.
‘The Nationalist Interpretation of Nuclear Deterrence: Evidence from the Kargil War’ International Politics, published online ahead of print version.

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS
‘Why Citizens Let Their Leaders Engage in Armed Conflict: A Rationalist Explanation.’ Paper presented at the 3rd NASP International Workshop on Conflicts and Institutions, Genoa, Italy, 26 June

TEACHING
Lecturer, Cooperation and Conflict in East Asia (14 hours, undergraduate), Faculty of Political Science, University of Bucharest, Dec. 2017 – Jan. 2018
Lecturer, Introduction: Methods and Techniques of Political Science (Seminar) (10.5 hours, undergraduate), Leuphana University Lüneburg, Dec. 2017 – Jan. 2018

SERVICE
Member of the Ethics Committee, European University Institute, 2017-18
Organizing member of the workshop, Fears of Trolls and Little Green Men: Does Hybrid Warfare Work, for Whom, and When?, European University Institute, 2018
Organizing member of the workshop, Peace from Locals, European University Institute, 2018
Organizing member of the James Madison University–European University Institute Joint Graduate Symposium, 2018
CYRILLE THIÉBAUT (FRN)

Departmental affiliation: Political and Social Sciences
Mentor: Pr. Hanspeter Kriesi

Cyrille Thiébaut is a political scientist whose research interests focus on the formation of public opinion and the influence of the media on people’s preferences, especially regarding European issues and defence matters. She received her PhD from the University of Paris I Panthéon-Sorbonne in 2015. Prior to her Max Weber Fellowship, she worked in the private sector as a communication consultant. The Max Weber Programme has allowed her both to pursue her research on public opinion and European integration, and to work on papers from her PhD dissertation. During the academic year 2018-2019, she will be a postdoctoral researcher at Sciences Po Paris – CEVIPOF, hosted by the MZES at the University of Mannheim, Germany (programme ‘Ambassadeurs’ DGRIS – IRSEM).

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘The Not-so-random French Support for European defence’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/31

ACTIVITIES
MWP Teaching certificate – Teaching Practice week in Brno, Czech Republic, March 2018.
Online class, La communication de la défense, Master 2 Security and International Relations, University Grenoble Alpes, January 2018.
Guest lecture on Euroscepticism, invited by Professor Valeria Fargion, University of Florence, Department of Political Science, Master in International Relations and European Studies, March 2018.
Presentation of the paper ‘“It is the American stupid”… Is it? The French Suspect Plebiscite for European Defence Explained by the Mood’, Political Behaviour Colloquium, EUI, November 2017; Jean Blondel Seminars in Political Science, University of Sienna (CIRCaP), Italy, December 2017.
Member of the organization committee of the 2018 MWP June Conference.
Commented on two second-year EUI PhD researchers; mentor Hanspeter Kriesi, May 2018.
Participation in two MWP multidisciplinary workshop as discussant, May and June 2018.
Co-organization with Pr. Yves Sintomer (Paris 8) of a workshop on academic freedom at the 1st Rencontres AFSP (association française de science politique), July 2018.
Aris Trantidis will be a Lecturer in Comparative Politics at the University of Lincoln in the coming academic year. Aris has published a book monograph titled Clientelism and Economic Policy: Greece and the Crisis and several journal articles on topics such as democracy and democratization, semi-authoritarianism, age and representation, affirmative action, clientelism and corruption. He is currently working on the use of history in political science, the epistemology of economic policy, public attitudes to immigration, and Trump’s economic policy.

Aris previously held full-time positions at King’s College London and George Mason University and holds degrees from King’s College London, LSE, University of Surrey and University of Athens.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘The Use of History in Political Science: Methodological Synergies for a Mixed-Methods Approach’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/10

After a very successful year in terms of publications, I have submitted a new round of papers for peer review in Politics, Philosophy and Economics and the Review of Political Economy and Perspectives on Politics. Work in progress includes a paper on anti-immigration sentiments and party-issue congruence, a paper on European integration and the four freedoms, a paper on party politics and the comparative political economy of reforms and a paper on the use of history in political science.

This year, my book Clientelism and Economic Policy has received reviews in Public Choice, Political Studies Review and Mediterranean Quarterly and has been nominated for the J. David Greenstone Book Prize for best book in history and politics at the American Political Science Association.

After three years of exclusive engagement with research, I was again involved in teaching. I enjoyed teaching lectures and seminar discussions for the ‘Foundations of Economic Sociology’ in collaboration with Klarita Gërxtani and Nevena Kulic. This was an opportunity for me to apply principles of research-led teaching, and I find it the most important work activity of the year, parallel to my participation in workshops and writing for publication.
ZBIGNIEW TRUCHLEWSKI (POL)

Departmental affiliation: Political and Social Sciences  
Mentor: Dorothee Bohle

Zbig is a political economist interested in the politics of macroeconomic policies and the Euro. He received his PhD from the Central European University and was awarded the best doctoral dissertation prize for his work on the social sources of austerity in the UK and France. Zbig has held visiting fellowships, at Cornell University among others, and has worked at the European Central Bank, the European Commission (DG ECFIN) and the OSCE. He has published on the comparative politics of taxation, the political economy of the Euro, on fiscal policy in Germany, and other topics. In September 2018, Zbig joins the Department of Political Science and International Relations at the University of Geneva.

MWP WORKING PAPER
'Austerity: End of the Party?' MWP/WP/RNS 2018/14

PUBLICATIONS
'Oh, what a tangled web we weave' How tax linkages shape responsiveness in the United Kingdom and France in Party Politics, 2018

CONFERENCE PRESENTATIONS
Attrition and Austerity: Evidence from Bayesian Regression Analysis, presented at the EUI Tax and Fiscal Policy Workshop, May 28 2018
The Politics of In-Work Benefits and the Taxation of the Low-Wage Sector, with A. Kemmerling, presented at the Fiscal Welfare Workshop, Sciences-Po/LIEPP

WORKSHOP ORGANIZATION
Bayesian Statistics, 2 day workshop at the EUI Data Clinic, invited speaker: Juraj Medzhorsky, May 22-3 2018
Fiscal and Tax Policies, 1 day workshop at the EUI, May 28 2018

ACADEMIC SERVICE
Reviewer for European Politics and Society

TEACHING
University Pompeu Fabra, May 14-18, 2018
CHRISTOS TSAKAS (GRC)

**Departmental affiliation:** Department of History and Civilisation  
**Mentor:** Federico Romero

Christos Tsakas is a historian and a Hannah Seeger Davis postdoctoral fellow 2018–19 at Princeton University. He holds a PhD from the University of Crete (2015). He is the author of Old Friends. Germany, Greece, Europe 1953–81 (Edition Romiosini, forthcoming). Before moving to Princeton, Christos held postdoctoral positions in Berlin (2015–17) and Florence (2017–18). He has taught at the Free University of Berlin, Ionian University, Pompeu Fabra University and the European University Institute. He is currently extending his research to frame Greece’s path to Europe in wider debates on European integration.

**MWP WORKING PAPER**

'Shipping Tycoons, Oil Majors and Authoritarian Rulers: Doing the Oil Business with the Greek Dictatorship, 1967–74 MWPWP/RNS 2018/05

During my stay in Florence, I had the chance to write and submit three papers in peer-reviewed journals and deliver invited talks at the University of Thessaly (Volos, Greece), Lund University (Lund, Sweden) and Pompeu Fabra University (Barcelona, Spain). Moreover, I participated in numerous Max Weber Academic Practice Activities (Job Market Package Workshop by Laurie Anderson, Writing Skills Module by Laurie Anderson, Public Presentation Skills Workshop by Nicki Hargreaves and Nicky Owtram and the Teaching Training Module by Lynn McAlpine and others). In this context, I had the chance to teach at Pompeu Fabra University and acquire a joint EUI/UPF Teaching Certificate. Furthermore, I was part of the Scientific Committee of the Max Weber June Conference (13-15.6.2018) and of the multidisciplinary Thematic Group Europe in the World, where I presented my research (13.2.2018), while I also had the chance to discuss my research with Max Weber Fellows from other disciplines in the context of the Multidisciplinary Workshop, National Political Communities and International Institutions (11-12.6.2018). Last, but not least, I attended two research seminars in HEC (International History: Prof Federico Romero and Prof Corina Unger, European Integration History: Prof Federico Romero, Dr Emmanuel Mourlon-Druol), while I participated in a third (Business Elites and International Organizations in the 20th Century: Prof Youssef Cassis and Dr Madeleine Dungy), where I had the opportunity to teach as a guest speaker (6.3.2018). Moreover, I have been research assistant in the Alcide De Gasperi Research Centre (HAEU/HEC-EUI) and I participated in the 1st Graduate Student Conference on the History of European Integration (17-19.9.2017), where I acted as a discussant.
GIULIA TURA (ITA)

Departmental affiliation: Department of Economics
Mentor: Michele Belot

Giulia Tura obtained a PhD in Economics from the University of Bologna in June 2017. She is an applied microeconomist with research interests in the fields of Cultural and Family Economics. The main goal of her research is to study the interplay between cultural transmission dynamics and household choices. She aims to identify the role cultural-ethnic traits play in the marriage choices and to uncover the implications of marital sorting on consequent intra-household decision. From an empirical perspective, Giulia’s research focuses on interethnic marriages formed within the Italian marriage market.

MWP WORKING PAPER

As a Max Weber Fellow at the EUI, Giulia actively participated in the Microeconometrics working group and in the Econometrics Research Seminars, organized by the Economics department. She was also involved in the Citizenship and Migration Working Group. Moreover, during the year Giulia was invited to various conferences and seminars to present her work. Some of these conferences were focused particularly on intermarriage and labour market dynamics in general, others were more oriented to deepen the role of culture in economics. Both dimensions are crucial in Giulia’s research agenda.

Her working paper relates intermarriage formation to cultural distance between spouses and legal status acquisition motives in the context of a marital matching framework, by exploiting exogenous variation in immigrants’ legal status, as determined by the EU enlargement process. The results suggest that immigrants are willing to trade off cultural proximity for legal status, and to marry down on other individual traits for that purpose.
PAUL VAN HOOFT (NDL)

Departmental affiliation: SPS
Mentor: Ulrich Krotz

Paul van Hooft was a 2016-2018 Max Weber Fellow at the EUI. He works on American grand strategy, European strategic autonomy, and issues of alliances and nuclear strategy. He wrote his dissertation at the University of Amsterdam on how experiences with victory and defeat in past wars shape post-war strategy. In 2016, he won the Dutch-Flemish political science association thesis prize. He has taught extensively on international security, supervised theses, and previously worked as a policy analyst. Paul van Hooft will be a 2018-2019 Stanton Nuclear Security Fellow at the Security Studies program of MIT.

MWP WORKING PAPER

‘Insularity, Threats, and Credibility: Why the United States has pursued either All-In or All-Out Grand Strategies’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/16

PUBLICATIONS

Hooft, P.A. van & A. Freyberg-Inan (forthcoming, July 2018). ‘Europe may be done with power, but power is not done with Europe: Europe in an era of American unipolarity and relative decline’, Fear and Uncertainty: Realism and Foreign Policy in Europe (ed. Della Salla, V. & Belloni, B.), Palgrave

PRESENTATIONS

‘Europe Redux’ (with Marina Henke). 2018 European Initiative on Security Studies (EISS), Paris, June 21 2018

ACADEMIC SERVICE

Discussant Round Table ‘Twilight of the Titans: Great Power Decline and Retrenchment’ University of Notre Dame, Rome Global Gateway, June 2018.
Co-organizer (with Marina Henke) of ‘European Security in an Age of American Restraint’ workshop, EUI, Florence (IT), May 2018
Co-organizer (with Aki Suzuki, Stefano Marcuzzi) of ‘Fears of Trolls and Little Green Men: the who, what, when of hybrid warfare’ workshop, EUI, Florence (IT), May 2018.
Co-organizer (with Monika Sus) of panel ‘Recalibrating the Compass: Grand Strategies in the US, Europe, and Asia in an Era of Global Shifts, ISA San Francisco, April 2018.
Anna Wallerman has been an assistant professor at the Department of Law, University of Gothenburg since 2016, and will resume that position from September 2018. Previously she was a postdoctoral research assistant at the University of Zurich and held visiting positions at the Universities of Vienna and Oxford. During the autumn term 2018 she will be a visiting professor at the University of Berne. Anna’s research interests include judicial decision making, the interactions between European and national law, and legal empirical methods.

MWP WORKING PAPER
‘Negotiating sovereignty: Dialogues on national procedural autonomy in the preliminary reference procedure’
MWP/WP/RNS 2018/06

During her Max Weber Fellowship, started working on a three-year research project titled ‘As You Sow, So Shall You Reap? The Influence of the Referring Court on the Preliminary Ruling of the Court of Justice’. She has also regularly participated as chair and discussant at seminars and doctoral student workshops at the EUI.

PUBLICATIONS
‘A Day in the Life: Aryanization Before the Swedish Supreme Court 1941-1942’ 36 Law and History Review 2018 (forthcoming)

MAX WEBER MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH WORKSHOP
Challenges to EU Law and Governance in the Member States, June 8 (co-organiser)

INVITED PRESENTATIONS
‘Negotiating sovereignty in the preliminary reference procedure’, ICON-S Annual Conference, Hong Kong, China, 25-27 June 2018
‘Seeds of a case law: How national courts address the Court of Justice within the preliminary ruling procedure’, NoLesLaw Annual Conference, Copenhagen, Denmark, 24-25 January 2018.
‘The Nordic Legal Systems’, Guest lecture, Università degli Studi di Firenze, 24 November 2017

ACADEMIC SERVICE
Max Weber LAW representative 2017/18
AYDIN BARIS YILDIRIM (TUR)

Departmental affiliation: RSCAS
Mentor: Bernard Hoekman

Aydin Yildirim is a Max Weber Fellow at the Robert Schuman Centre for Advanced Studies. He conducts theory driven empirical research on international political economy (IPE) and focuses on global trade governance. During his Fellowship Aydin is working on a new project to understand the distributive consequences of trade liberalization at the firm level. He also collaborates on the EU Horizon 2020 project titled ‘Realising Europe's soft power in external cooperation and trade’ at the Robert Schuman Centre. His work has appeared in journals such as the Review of International Organizations, World Trade Review, and Comparative European Politics.

MWP WORKING PAPER
’When internationalization increases trade protectionism: Global firms and anti-dumping protection’ MWP/WP/RNS 2018/17

I was involved in a number of activities across the European University Institute (EUI) as a Max Weber Fellow and contributed to the academic and social life of the institute in a number of ways. I produced with co-authors a Robert Schuman working paper on the determinants of dispute initiation at the World Trade Organization that is currently under review at the international journal Global Policy. I produced a Max Weber working paper, presented at the June Conference as well as the European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR) general conference in Hamburg (September 2018). In addition to my scholarly work, I have started collaborating with Bernard Hoekman on his new Horizon 2020 project on EU trade policy and with Jean Pisani-Ferry on his new project on the transformation of global governance, jointly organized by the School of Transnational Governance. I concluded a successful interdisciplinary workshop organized with my colleagues, which focused on post-Brexit trade issues at the EU level. I attended all the Max Weber lectures that were incredibly engaging.


Picking up After Brexit: Explaining and Assessing the British Exit from the European Union. Workshop organized under the aegis of Max Weber Interdisciplinary Workshops.